

Optimizing enzymatic processing of apple pomace: a strategy for modifying techno-functional properties and dietary fiber

Alba Díaz-Núñez^{a,b}, Gloria López-Gámez^{a, b}, Olga Martín-Belloso^{a,b}, Robert Soliva-Fortuny^{a,b}, P. Elez-Martínez^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Food Technology, Engineering and Science. University of Lleida, Lleida, Spain

^b Agrotecnio-CERCA Research Center, Lleida, Spain

Av. Alcalde Rovira Roure, 191, 25198, Lleida, Spain

*Corresponding author.

e-mail address: pedro.elez@udl.cat (P. Elez-Martínez).

European Food Research and Technology

*Table S 1. Values of SUA, SNS, and SDF content from AP treated with carbohydrolytic enzymatic complex for the Pearson correlation analysis. ** $p < 0.001$.*

(a)	Treatment	SUA (g/100g)	SNS (g/100g)	SDF (%)	(b)			
	Untreated	5.10 $\pm$ 0.12	2.52 $\pm$ 0.05	29.49 $\pm$ 0.20				
	5.0 mL/kg DW – 3.5 h	11.14 $\pm$ 1.34	5.30 $\pm$ 0.20	40.01 $\pm$ 0.97		SUA	SNS	SDF
	5.0 mL/kg DW – 6 h	13.88 $\pm$ 0.97	5.30 $\pm$ 0.09	41.58 $\pm$ 0.74	SUA	1**	0.8139**	0.8751**
	12.5 mL/kg DW – 1 h	5.26 $\pm$ 0.34	2.30 $\pm$ 0.03	28.15 $\pm$ 1.66	SNS	0.8139**	1**	0.9749**
	12.5 mL/kg DW – 6 h	8.85 $\pm$ 0.07	2.88 $\pm$ 0.20	32.23 $\pm$ 0.55	SDF	0.8751**	0.9749**	1**
	20 mL/kg DW – 1 h	14.19 $\pm$ 1.00	4.09 $\pm$ 0.06	36.77 $\pm$ 0.78				

(a) Values of soluble uronic acid (SUA), soluble neutral sugar (SNS), and soluble dietary fiber (SDF) for the Pearson correlation analysis, (b) results from the Person correlation analysis, red shades indicate a positive correlation, whereas blue shades indicate a negative correlation.