

Clinical potential of [¹⁸F]FET PET in patients with circumscribed astrocytic glioma

European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging

Jan-Michael Werner^{1,2,3}, Maximilian J. Mair^{1,2,4}, Michael M. Wollring³,
Enio Barci⁴, Isabelle Stetter³, Hannah C. Puhr^{1,2}, Caroline Tscherpel^{5,6},
Gabriele Stoffels⁶, Johannes A. Hainfellner⁷, Anna S. Berghoff^{1,2},
Vincent Sunder-Plassmann^{1,2}, Georg Widhalm⁸, Franziska Eckert⁹,
Gregor Kasprian^{10,11}, Thomas S. Nakuz^{10,12}, Alexander Beck¹³,
Patrick N. Harter^{13,14,15}, Louisa von Baumgarten^{14,15,16,17}, Niklas Thon^{17,18},
Stephan Schönecker¹⁹, Robert Forbrig²⁰, Felix M. Mottaghy^{21,22,23},
Philipp Lohmann^{6,21}, Gereon R. Fink^{3,6}, Karl-Josef Langen^{6,21,23},
Norbert Galldiks^{3,6,23}, Nathalie L. Albert^{4,15}, and Matthias Preusser^{1,2}

¹Division of Oncology, ²Christian Doppler Laboratory for Personalized Immunotherapy, Department of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ³Dept. of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; ⁴Dept. of Nuclear Medicine, LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; ⁵Dept. of Neurology, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; ⁶Inst. of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-3, INM-4), Research Center Juelich, Juelich, Germany; ⁷Division of Neuropathology and Neurochemistry, Department of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ⁸Dept. of Neurosurgery, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ⁹Dept. of Radiation Oncology, Comprehensive Cancer Center Vienna, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ¹⁰Dept. of Biomedical Imaging and Image-guided Therapy, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; Divisions of ¹¹Neuroradiology and Musculoskeletal Radiology, ¹²Nuclear Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ¹³Center for Neuropathology and Prion Research, LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; ¹⁴German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), University Hospital, Partnersite LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; ¹⁵Bavarian Cancer Research Center (BZKF), Munich, Germany; Depts. of ¹⁶Neurology, ¹⁷Neurosurgery, LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; ¹⁸Department of Neurosurgery, Knappschaft University Hospital Bochum, Bochum, Germany; ¹⁹Dept. Radiation Oncology, LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; ²⁰Institute of Neuroradiology, LMU University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; ²¹Dept. of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany; ²²Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Center (MUMC+), Maastricht, The Netherlands; ²³Center for Integrated Oncology Aachen Bonn Cologne Duesseldorf (CIO ABCD), Germany

Correspondence

Prof Matthias Preusser
Division of Oncology
Department of Medicine I
Medical University of Vienna
Vienna 1090, Austria
Phone: +43-(0)1-40400-44450
Email: matthias.preusser@meduniwien.ac.at

Supplementary Material (Online Resource 1)

SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

PET Imaging

The tracer [^{18}F]FET was produced as described previously (1,2). Following the international practice guidelines for glioma imaging using PET with radiolabeled amino acids (3), all patients fasted for at least 4 hours before PET measurements. After intravenous injection of 2.5 - 3 MBq of [^{18}F]FET/kg of body weight, static (20-40 minutes post-injection) or dynamic PET images (0-40 and 0-50 minutes post-injection) were acquired using an ECAT EXACT HR+ PET scanner, Biograph PET/CT, or simultaneously with 3T MR imaging using a BrainPET insert (all PET scanners manufactured by Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). PET images were analyzed on a Hermes workstation (Hermes Medical Solutions; Stockholm Sweden) or PMOD Software (Bruker's Preclinical Imaging; Fällanden, Switzerland).

PET Data Analysis

Metabolic tumor volumes were assessed semi-automatically using a tumor-to-brain ratio (TBR) of > 1.6 . The maximal and mean standardized uptake value (SUV) were evaluated as a ratio to the mean SUV of the healthy background (4), and served as measures for uptake intensity (TBR_{max} and TBR_{mean}). [^{18}F]FET PET scans were classified as having *no measurable* disease (absence of any visually increased signal in [^{18}F]FET PET), *non-measurable disease* (visible lesion with $\text{TBR}_{\text{max}} < 1.6$ or volume < 0.5 mL), or *measurable disease* ($\text{TBR}_{\text{max}} > 1.6$ and volume > 0.5 mL) according to PET RANO 1.0 criteria (5), and changes of [^{18}F]FET uptake for assessment of response were classified as *PET-based complete* or *partial response*, *PET-based stable disease*, or *PET-based progressive disease*.

Diagnosis of Tumor Progression and Treatment-related Changes

Supplementary Material (Online Resource 1)

The confirmation of tumor relapse was based on neuropathological analysis (i.e., presence of viable tumor tissue) after repeated biopsy or resection or confirmed clinicoradiologically if a neuropathological diagnosis was unavailable. For this, imaging or clinical worsening within six months after PET imaging prompting a change in treatment was considered tumor progression. Prominent necrosis with no or only minimal identifiable tumor remnants in neuropathological analysis confirmed treatment-related changes. Treatment-related changes were assumed if no treatment change was required for at least six months. These definitions, based on Young and colleagues (6), allowed a continued mild increase of enhancing lesions compared to the usual decrease or stabilization as long as no treatment change occurred during this period.

REFERENCES

1. Hamacher K, Coenen HH. Efficient routine production of the ¹⁸F-labelled amino acid O-2-¹⁸F fluoroethyl-L-tyrosine. *Appl Radiat Isot.* 2002;57:853-856.
2. Wester HJ, Herz M, Weber W, et al. Synthesis and radiopharmacology of O-(2-[¹⁸F]fluoroethyl)-L-tyrosine for tumor imaging. *J Nucl Med.* 1999;40:205-212.
3. Law I, Albert NL, Arbizu J, et al. Joint EANM/EANO/RANO practice guidelines/SNMMI procedure standards for imaging of gliomas using PET with radiolabelled amino acids and [(18)F]FDG: version 1.0. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2019;46:540-557.
4. Unterrainer M, Vettermann F, Brendel M, et al. Towards standardization of (18)F-FET PET imaging: do we need a consistent method of background activity assessment? *EJNMMI Res.* 2017;7:48.
5. Albert NL, Galldiks N, Ellingson BM, et al. PET-based response assessment criteria for diffuse gliomas (PET RANO 1.0): a report of the RANO group. *The Lancet Oncology.* 2024;25:e29-e41.
6. Young RJ, Gupta A, Shah AD, et al. Potential utility of conventional MRI signs in diagnosing pseudoprogression in glioblastoma. *Neurology.* 2011;76:1918-1924.