

Supplementary table

TABLE S3. QATSDD RISK OF BIAS ASSESSMENT

	Risk of Bias Rating		
	Low RoB (%)	Moderate RoB (%)	High RoB (%)
1. Explicit theoretical framework	34 (79)	7 (16)	2 (5)
2. Statement of aims/objectives in main body of report	39 (91)	3 (7)	1 (2)
3. Clear description of research setting	6 (14)	7 (16)	30 (70)
4. Evidence of sample size considered in terms of analysis	25(58)	14(33)	4(9)
5. Representative sample of target group of a reasonable size	26(61)	10(23)	7(16)
6. Description of procedure for data collection.	22(51)	15(35)	6(14)
7. Rationale for choice of data collection tool(s)	0(0)	8(19)	35(81)
8. Detailed recruitment data	14(32)	5(12)	24(56)
9. Statistical assessment of reliability and validity of measurement tool(s) (Quantitative only)	25(58)	12(28)	6(14)
10. Fit between stated research question and method of data collection (Quantitative)	31(72)	12(28)	0(0)
11. Fit between stated research question and format and content of data collection tool e.g., interview schedule (Qualitative)	N/A	N/A	N/A
12. Fit between research questions and method of analysis	26(60)	17(40)	0(0)
13. Good justification for analytical method selected	5(12)	14(32)	24(56)
14. Assessment of reliability of analytical process (Qualitative only)	N/A	N/A	N/A
15. Evidence of user involvement in design	7(16)	14(33)	22(51)
16. Strengths and limitations critically discussed	14(32)	11(26)	18(42)

Quality Assessment

