

Supplement 2

Article Title: Stereotype threat in learning situations? An investigation among language minority students

Journal Name: European Journal of Psychology of Education

Authors & Affiliations: Sabrina König^a, Justine Stang-Rabrig^a, Bettina Hannover^b, Lysann Zander^c, and Nele McElvany^a

^aCenter for Research on Education and School Development (IFS), TU Dortmund University, Dortmund, Germany; ^bDepartment of Educational Science and Psychology, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin; ^cInstitute of Education, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Hannover, Germany

Table B

Bayesian estimation

	P(M)	P(M data)	BF _M	BF ₁₀	Error %
Conditions: implicit, explicit and control					
Null model	0.200	7.402e-35	2.961e-35	1.000	–
Time	0.200	0.691	8.947	9.336e+33	9.829
Time + stereotype threat condition	0.200	0.291	1.638	3.925e+33	1.416
Time + stereotype threat condition + time*stereotype threat condition	0.200	0.018	0.075	2.491e+32	1.683
Condition	0.200	1.944e-35	7.775e-35	0.263	1.052
Conditions: explicit ST with vs. without removal of the threat before posttest					
Null model	0.200	7.818e-26	3.127e-25	1.000	–
Time	0.200	0.781	14.296	9.994e+24	1.361
Time + stereotype threat condition	0.200	0.173	0.839	2.218e+24	1.160
Time + stereotype threat condition + time*stereotype threat condition	0.200	0.045	0.189	5.780e+23	3.896
Condition	0.200	1.316e-26	5.266e-26	0.168	1.232

Note. P(M) = prior model probabilities. P(M|data) = updated probabilities after having observed the data. BF_M = the extent to which the data changed the odds of the prior model. BF₁₀ = Bayes factor for each model. Error % = indicates the size of the error in the integration routine relative to the Bayes factor (Wagenmakers et al., 2018).

Reference

Wagenmakers, E. J., Love, J., Marsman, M., Jamil, T., Ly, A., Verhagen, J., ... Meerhoff, F. (2018). Bayesian inference for psychology. Part II: Example applications with JASP. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 25(1), 58–76. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-017-1323-7>