

Online resource 2

Article Title: "Do translocal networks matter for agricultural innovation? A case study on advice sharing in small-scale farming communities in northeast Thailand."

Journal Name: Agriculture and Human Values

Authors: Till Rockenbach, Patrick Sakdapolrak, Harald Sterly

Corresponding Author:

Till Rockenbach

Department of Geography, University of Bonn; Meckenheimer Allee 166, 53115 Bonn
Germany

Email: till.rockenbach@uni-bonn.de


Content:

Online resource 2: Sociograms of the sugarcane network and the rice network,
disaggregated by type of advice (adoption, implementation)

Online Resource 2a Sociograms of the sugarcane network and the rice network, adoption advice only


Sugarcane adoption network:

Ties: $nT = 65$ (incl. 15 transl. ties)
Actors: $nA = 54$ (incl. 39 egos & 12 transl. actors)



Rice adoption network:

Ties: $nT = 65$ (incl. 12 transl. ties)
Actors: $nA = 63$ (incl. 46 egos & 12 transl. actors)



Tie strength:

--- Weak
— Strong

Node size:

Centrality
(degree)

Actor attributes:

Translocational broker
Institutional representative


Actor network position:

Non-central receiver
Non-central sender
Central receiver
Central sender

Online Resource 2b Sociograms of the sugarcane network and the rice network, implementation advice only


Sugarcane implementation network:

Ties: $nT = 55$ (incl. 14 transl. ties)
Actors: $nA = 48$ (incl. 38 egos & 8 transl. actors)




Rice implementation network:


Ties: $nT = 65$ (incl. 23 transl. ties)
Actors: $nA = 65$ (incl. 43 egos & 19 transl. actors)




Tie strength:



Node size:



Actor attributes:



Actor network position:

