

Neddylation Signaling Inactivation by Tetracaine Hydrochloride Suppresses Cell Proliferation and Alleviates Vemurafenib-resistance of Melanoma

By Xiang Huang

1 Neddylaton Signaling Inactivation by Tetracaine Hydrochloride Suppresses Cell 2 Proliferation and Alleviates Vemurafenib-resistance of Melanoma

3 Abstract

4 Tetracaine, a local anesthetic, exhibits potent cytotoxic effects on multiple cancer; however,
5 the precise underlying mechanisms of its anti-cancer activity remain uncertain. The anti-cancer
6 activity of tetracaine was found to be the most effective among commonly used local anesthetics
7 in this study. After tetracaine treatment, the differentially expressed genes in melanoma cells
8 were identified by the RNAseq technique and enriched in the lysosome signaling pathway,
9 cullin family protein binding, and proteasome signaling pathway through Kyoto Encyclopedia
10 of Genes and Genomes. Additionally, the ubiquitin-like neddylation signaling pathway, which
11 is hyperactivated in melanoma, could be abrogated due to decreased NAE2 expression after
12 tetracaine treatment. The neddylation of the pro-oncogenic Survivin, which enhances its
13 stability, was significantly reduced following treatment with tetracaine. The activation of
14 neddylation signaling by NEDD8 overexpression could reduce the antitumor efficacy of
15 tetracaine in vivo and in vitro. Furthermore, vemurafenib-resistant melanoma cells showed
16 higher level of neddylation, and potential substrate proteins undergoing neddylation
17 modification were identified through immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry. The
18 tetracaine treatment could reduce drug resistance via neddylation signaling pathway
19 inactivation in melanoma cells. These findings demonstrate that tetracaine effectively inhibits
20 cell proliferation and alleviates vemurafenib resistance in melanoma by suppressing the
21 neddylation signaling pathway, providing a promising avenue for controlling cancer

22 progression.

23 **Introduction**

24 ²⁹ Melanoma is the most deadly kind of skin cancer, with a 5-year relative survival
25 rate of only 32% for individuals diagnosed with stage IV [1]. A retrospective study has
26 suggested that patients may benefit from local anesthetics administered during cancer
27 surgery [2]. Through interactions with cancer cells, local anesthetics affect a variety of
28 processes, including cell death pathways and epigenetics [3]. Tetracaine hydrochloride
29 (TTC) has been shown to be safe and effective when used during eye surgery or skin
30 laceration healing [4, 5]. In addition to its analgesic effect, TTC also exhibits anti-tumor
31 activity. For example, Yoon et al. have showed that TTC prevented the aggregation and
32 reattachment of breast cancer cells by preventing the elongation of tubulin
33 microtentacles [6]. Our previous research showed that TTC downregulates hnRNAP1
34 and thus disrupts cell cycle progression in melanoma [7]. Patients with advanced
35 melanoma may be accompanied by significant cancer pain in the course of conventional
36 treatment, which seriously affects the quality of life. Hence, TTC can be considered as
37 an adjuvant therapeutic strategy, exerting effective tumor-suppressive effect along with
38 analgesia. However, this adjuvant therapeutic strategy needs further validation in
39 clinical trials.

40 Ubiquitylation is a common post-translational ³ modification that leads to the
41 degradation of proteins in the proteasome. Several additional ⁶¹ ubiquitin-like
42 ¹ modifications, including neddylation and sumoylation, are generally involved in the

onset and progression of cancer [8, 9]. Recently, researchers have discovered that neddylation effects protein function by binding NEDD8 (a ubiquitin-like protein) to the substrate protein [10]. Adenylation initiates the neddylation cascade, which is then followed by activation of mature NEDD8 by NEDD8-activating enzyme (NAE), a complex made up of the subunits of UBA3 and NAE1. Thereafter, activated NEDD8 is transferred by a trans-thiolation process to either UBC12 or UBE2F. [11]. Ultimately, the E3 ligase covalently attaches the NEDD8 to lysine residue on the substrate protein and thus completes the neddylation process [12]. Recent studies demonstrated that the neddylation pathway considerably influences the functions of macrophages [13, 14], cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) [15], and tumor angiogenesis [16], eventually regulating tumorigenesis and the metastasis of tumor cells. Considering the vital role of the neddylation in cancer progression, suppressing this process may become a feasible therapeutic option for cancer. Our previous study indicated that the TTC-induced downregulation of hnRNPA1 might be associated with ubiquitination-like modifications of hnRNPA1. Thus, TTC might affect the neddylation signaling in melanoma cells. However, little relevant attention by researchers to studying the relationship between TTC and neddylation signaling has been paid to date.

Survivin is poorly expressed (barely detectable) in most normal tissues. However, it is remarkably increased in the majority of human cancers [17-19] and melanoma [20], indicating that Survivin can be a tumor-associated antigen (TAA). Previous research has demonstrated that Survivin promoted the progression of UV-induced melanoma *in vivo* [21] and enhanced the migration and invasion of melanoma cells probably

through the modulation of Akt-dependent $\alpha 5$ integrin [22]. Moreover, previous study⁴² has showed that MLN4924, a small molecule inhibitor of NAE, reduces Survivin expression, suggesting the neddylation signaling may be involved in regulating Survivin stability [23]. However, more² research is needed to elucidate the relationship between neddylation signaling and Survivin.

In recent years, *BRAF* inhibitors have demonstrated promising efficacy in melanoma patients [24]. However, About half of melanoma patients receiving *BRAF* inhibitor therapy have disease progression six to seven months after starting treatment. Moreover, intrinsic or acquired resistance to *BRAF* inhibitors limits their therapeutic utility [25, 26]. Therefore, the investigation of the mechanisms underlying⁵⁷ resistance to *BRAF* inhibitors, as well as the potential of drug combination strategies in *BRAF*-inhibitor-resistant melanoma is essential to improve patient outcomes.

Herein, TTC was demonstrated to exhibit the highest potential in suppressing melanoma proliferation. TTC could effectively inhibit the expression of NEDD8, which is overexpressed in melanoma and involved in the posttranslational modification of Survivin. Further experimental results also confirmed that the NEDD8 overexpression blocked the anti-tumor activity of TTC. Moreover, we studied the inhibitory effect of TTC on vemurafenib-resistant A375 (A375R) cells and investigated whether the combination of TTC and vemurafenib resulted in synergistic inhibition. The results indicated that TTC might be an efficient adjuvant agent in melanoma therapy.

Materials and methods

86 **Cell culture**

87 B16 cells (RRID: CVCL_F936) and A375 cells (RRID: CVCL_0132) were
88 purchased from the Stem Cell Bank (Shanghai, China). Normal human epidermal
89 melanocytes cells NHEM (RRID: CVCL_B447), A2058 cells (RRID: CVCL_1059),
90 and SK-MEL-28 cells (RRID: CVCL_0526) were acquired ³ from Procell Life Science
91 & Technology Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). These cells were grown in RPMI-1640 or
92 DMEM media (Hyclone, China) with 1% antibiotics (Beyotime, China) and ⁴ 10% fetal
93 bovine serum (PEAK SERUM, US) added as supplements. Parental A375 cells received
94 treatment with successively increasing concentrations of vemurafenib (MCE, USA)
95 from 0.1 to 2.0 μ M in order to generate A375R cells.

96 **Viability assay, immunostaining, and colony formation array**

97 Viability assay, immunostaining, and colony formation array were conducted as
98 previously described [7].

99 **Immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

100 Cutaneous melanoma and melanoma adjacent normal skin tissue array were
101 obtained from US Biomax (ME241b). Sections were heated to induce epitope retrieval
102 using antigen repair solution (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) following deparaffinization
103 and rehydration. After that, the sections were treated for a whole night ²⁷ at 4°C with
104 primary antibodies (NEDD8, 1:100, Cell Signaling Technology). The sections were
105 then allowed to react with streptavidin-biotin complex (SABC) after being treated ²⁴ for
106 30 minutes at 37°C with goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody that had been biotin-labeled.
107 Hematoxylin counterstaining on DAB substrate was used for color development.

Western blot and qRT-PCR

Melanoma cells were treated with TTC (200 or 400 μ M) or MLN4924 (0.1 μ M) for 24 h. Western blotting and qRT-PCR were performed to detect the protein expression and mRNA expression as previously described [7]. Main antibodies were as follows: β -actin (1:5000, catalog 4967, Cell Signaling Technology), NEDD8 (1:1000, catalog 2754, Cell Signaling Technology), NAE1 (1:1000, catalog 14321, Cell Signaling Technology), UBA3 (1:500, catalog sc-377272, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), UBC12 (1:1000, catalog 14520-1-AP, proteintech), cullin1 (1:1000, catalog R24008, Zen-Bioscience), and Survivin (1:1000, catalog R381056, Zen-Bioscience). Primer sequences were as follows: *survivin* (F: GAGGCTGGCTTCATCCACTG, R: ATGCTCCTCTATCGGGTTGTC); β -actin (F: GGCTGTATTCCCCTCCATCG, R: CCAGTTGGTAACAATGCCATGT).

Sequencing of RNA

A375 cells were treated with 400 μ M TTC or vehicle for 24 h. According to manufacturer instructions, TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) was used to extract total RNA of A375 cells. The RNA was sequenced using the DNBseq platform in BGI (Shenzhen, China). Raw data was uploaded to GEO database (accession number: GSE273497).

Immunoprecipitation

The fragments of *survivin* (UniProt: O15392-1, 142 amino acids) were PCR-amplified with high-fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB, UK) using the cDNA from A375 cells as the template. Thereafter, the amplified gene was cloned into pcDNA3.1-Flag

vector to construct recombinant plasmids pcDNA3.1-*survivin*-Flag, which were further confirmed by sequencing (Beijing Genomics Institute, China). A375 cells were transfected with recombinant plasmids pcDNA3.1-*survivin*-Flag until 70–80% confluence. After 2 days, the transfected cells were collected and lysed in iced NP40 lysis buffer with 1 mM PMSF. The NEDD8 primary antibody was added into the lysates for incubation at 4 °C overnight, and then for another 4 h incubation on a rotator with 50 µL protein A/G magnetic beads (Beyotime, China, P2108) addition to each sample. Beads were washed thrice with sterilized PBS buffer. Then the expression of *survivin* was detected using Western blot.

Lentiviral-mediated NEDD8 overexpression in melanoma cells

The *NEDD8* gene was subjected to reverse-PCR amplification and inserted into the pLVX-DsRed-Monomer-N1 plasmid with BamH1 and XbaI enzyme sites. Thus, the recombinant lentivirus plasmid pLVX-*NEDD8* was generated, which was confirmed by sequencing (Beijing Genomics Institute, China). The lentiviral plasmid pLVX-*NEDD8* or the control vector pLVX-DsRed-Monomer-N1 was co-transfected with packaging vectors pCMV-VSV-G (Addgene, USA, 8454) and pCMV-dR8.2 dvpr (Addgene, USA, 8455) into HEK293T cells for lentivirus production using Effectene Transfection Reagent (Qiagen, USA, 301427). The lentivirus supernatant was then applied for melanoma cell infection. Melanoma cells were screened using 2 µg/mL puromycin (Beyotime, China, ST551) for 7 days. Melanoma cells with stable NEDD8 overexpression were validated by Western blot.

Establishment of melanoma subcutaneous tumor model

4-6-week-old C57BL/6 male mice were purchased from Chengdu Dossy Experimental Animals Co., Ltd and housed at the Animal Experimental Center of Southwest Medical University. The melanoma subcutaneous tumor model was constructed following the method described in our previous report [7]. Briefly, melanoma cells (1×10^6) were subcutaneously injected into C57BL/6 mice. When the tumor volume reaches approximately 30 mm^3 , TTC (10 mg/kg), MLN4924 (30 mg/kg), or the same dose of normal saline (NS) was administered by intraperitoneal injection once a day. After continuously observing the growth of the subcutaneous tumor for seven days, the mice were euthanized with pentobarbital sodium and subcutaneous melanoma were removed.

Mass spectrometry

A375 and A375R cell lysates were homogenized and incubated with the NEDD8 primary antibody overnight at 4°C , followed by immunoprecipitation with protein A/G magnetic beads (Beyotime, China, P2108) for 4 h at 4°C . After three washes with sterile PBS. Coimmunoprecipitates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted through Western blotting. The gels were silver-stained (Beyotime, China, P0017S) and bands with significant differences were precisely excised for mass spectrometry. In-gel tryptic digestion was performed before analysis by ion trap mass spectrometry (Applied Protein Technology China). Tandem mass spectrometry coupled with database searching was used to determine the amino acid sequences of precipitated proteins.

Statistics

The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0. Comparisons

174 between the two groups were performed by an unpaired two-tailed student's t-test.
 175 Comparisons among multiple groups were performed using a one-way analysis of
 176 variance (ANOVA). Post-hoc analysis was performed by using Tukey's post-hoc test
 177 following ANOVA. The statistical significance level was set at $P < 0.05$.

178 Results

179 TTC exerted a potent anti-cancer effect in melanoma among common local 180 anesthetics

181 To test the anti-cancer effect of local anesthetics (tetracaine, procaine, lidocaine,
 182 bupivacaine, and ropivacaine) on melanoma cell viability, B16, and A375 cells were
 183 exposed to different local anesthetics at indicated concentrations and their viability was
 184 estimated by CCK-8 assay. Local anesthetics inhibited the cell viability of A375 and
 185 B16 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1A and B). In addition, the results
 186 demonstrated that TTC exhibited the most prominent inhibitory effect on the viability
 187 of melanoma cells compared to other local anesthetics. Consistent with the results of
 188 CCK8, colony formation assays also demonstrated TTC was the most potent anti-cancer
 189 agent among common local anesthetics (Fig. 1C and D; Fig. S1).

190 Neddylation signaling pathway inactivation was involved in the anti-tumor 191 activity of TTC according to RNAseq data

192 To understand the plausible mechanism of the anti-cancer activity of TTC and
 193 identify potential functional targets, A375 cells were treated in triplicate for 24 h with
 194 400 μ M TTC or vehicle. Then total RNA of A375 cells was isolated and sequenced. 841

upregulated and 287 downregulated genes were identified in the TTC group compared to the control group using the limma R package (Fig. 2A and Table. S1). These differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were mainly enriched in the lysosome signaling pathway (Fig. 2B). Lysosomes are acidic degradative organelles that play an important role in protein degradation and recycling. The KEGG analysis indicated that TTC might affect the degradation process of proteins. Additionally, further GSEA revealed that the cullin family protein binding and proteasome signaling pathway were enriched (Fig. 2C and D). The cullin family protein are the most common substrates of neddylation. Neddylation is a ubiquitination-like process in which NEDD8 is conjugated to particular substrates, and this process regulates the stability of proteins. Because of the close relationships between the neddylation signaling pathway and the three enriched biological processes or aforementioned pathways, the neddylation signaling pathway was probably involved in the anti-tumor activity of TTC.

Neddylation signaling is highly activated in melanoma

Neddylation is a crucial post-translational modification that is over-activated in multiple cancers and has been validated as an attractive therapeutic target for anti-cancer strategies [12, 23, 27]. To evaluate the role of NEDD8 in melanoma tumorigenesis, the NEDD8 expression level in cutaneous melanoma and adjacent areas of cutaneous melanoma was measured. Immunohistochemical staining confirms that the NEDD8 expression level is significantly higher in cutaneous melanoma than in the adjacent normal skin tissue (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, the expression level of NEDD8-conjugated proteins in different melanoma cell lines (B16, A375, SK-MEL-28, A2058)

217 and normal melanocytes was detected (Fig. 3B). The western blot analysis (Fig. 3C)
 218 indicates an increased ¹⁰ expression of NEDD8-conjugated proteins in melanoma cell
 219 lines compared to normal human melanocytes. Comprehensively, these results
 220 encourage efforts to treat human melanoma by pharmacological inhibition of the
 221 neddylation pathway.

222 **TTC inhibited neddylation in melanoma cells**

223 ⁵² Based on the findings of the high throughput transcriptome sequencing and the
 224 correlation between NEDD8 and melanoma malignant progression, the effect of TTC
 225 ⁴⁵ on the neddylation pathway was investigated. MLN4924, a well-identified specific
 226 inhibitor of the enzymatic action of NAE, served as the positive control in the
 227 subsequent experiments. First, the post-TTC treatment expression levels of the
 228 NEDD8-conjugated proteins were measured. As shown in Fig. 4A, TTC reduced the
 229 expression of NEDD8-conjugated proteins in both B16 and A375 cells. Neddylation
 230 occurs through a ubiquitylation-like enzymatic cascade in which NEDD8 is activated
 231 ⁴⁷ by NAE and is subsequently transferred to the UBC12. Therefore, the effect of TTC on
 232 NAE1, UBA3, and UBC12 in both B16 and A375 cells was analyzed (Fig. 4B and C).
 233 Our experimental results demonstrated that TTC downregulated the UBA3 expression
 234 but had no effect on NAE1 and UBC12 expressions (Fig. 4D and E). In addition, the
 235 neddylation level of cullin1, one of the most typical target proteins for neddylation,
 236 significantly decreased in B16 and A375 cells after TTC treatment (Fig. 4D and E).
 237 These results comprehensively indicate that TTC downregulates the neddylation

238 signaling pathway by inhibiting UBA3 expression. Additionally, both MLN4924 and
 239 TTC exhibited significant anti-tumor activity *in vivo* (Fig. 4F and G).

240 **TTC exerts anti-tumor activity by downregulating the neddylation signaling**
 241 **pathway in melanoma**

242 To further ⁵investigate the effects of neddylation signaling pathway activation on
 243 the TTC-induced inhibition of melanoma, NEDD8 was overexpressed via the
 244 recombinant lentivirus approach to increase the ratio of free NEDD8 in B16 cells and
 245 activate the neddylation signaling pathway as previously reported [28]. The cell lines
 246 stably overexpressing GFP served as a control group. Both the cell viability and colony
 247 number of B16 cells stably overexpressing NEDD8 were higher ⁵¹compared to the control
 248 group (Fig. 5A-C). Moreover, the anti-tumor activity of TTC is partially weakened by
 249 overexpression of NEDD8 (Fig. 5A-C). Similar result was obtained when cell
 250 proliferation was measured by immunofluorescence staining for Ki67 and EDU
 251 staining assay (Fig. S2 and Fig. S3). Thereafter, the expression of NEDD8-conjugated
 252 proteins and Survivin were detected by immunoblotting assay. The results indicated a
 253 significantly higher expression level of NEDD8-conjugated proteins ⁴in the LV-NEDD8
 254 group than that in the LV-GFP group (Fig. 5D), validating the overexpression of
 255 NEDD8 in the LV-NEDD8 group. However, ²⁵there was no significant difference in
 256 Survivin expression between LV-NEDD8 and LV-GFP groups (Fig. 5E). Moreover,
 257 NEDD8 overexpression was found to diminish ⁶²the effects of TTC on downregulating
 258 Survivin expression (Fig. 5E). These finds suggest ³⁰that neddylation plays a crucial role
 259 in mediating the anti-tumor effect of TTC on melanoma.

260 **TTC decreased the stability of Survivin**

261 ¹⁶ Survivin, a member of inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) family, is upregulated
 262 in human melanoma and contributes to the onset and progression of melanoma [29]. Its
 263 degradation is mediated by Fbx17 via ubiquitylation [30]. The neddylation is capable of
 264 antagonizing ubiquitylation and enhances protein stability [31, 32]. Furthermore, ⁵ X-
 265 linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP), another member of IAP family, can
 266 undergo neddylation modification [33]. Therefore, our focus lies on elucidating the role
 267 of the Survivin and its neddylation in melanoma. Herein, whether TTC regulates
 268 Survivin expression was determined. TTC significantly ¹³ downregulated the protein
 269 expression level of Survivin (Fig. 6A and B) and slightly reduced ¹³ the mRNA expression
 270 level of Survivin (Fig. 6C), indicating that TTC treatment mainly influences ²⁸ the
 271 expression of Survivin at the protein level. To further explore the role of TTC in
 272 regulating Survivin expression, ³⁶ the expression of Survivin in melanoma cells treated
 273 with the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) and TTC was measured (Fig.
 274 ⁴⁴ 6D). The results showed that TTC enhanced the degradation of Survivin in B16 and
 275 A375 cells (Fig. 6E and G). Furthermore, TTC-induced Survivin degradation could be
 276 partially blocked by MG132, suggesting that proteasome degradation plays a critical
 277 role in modulating Survivin stability (Fig. 6F and H). Considering the regulatory role
 278 of TTC in the neddylation pathway, we next investigated whether TTC modulates the
 279 stability of Survivin by regulating its neddylation. The results of the
 280 coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP) demonstrated the interaction between Survivin and
 281 NEDD8 (Fig. 6I), supporting the hypothesis that TTC decreased Survivin level via

promoting the degradation of Survivin.

Activating the neddylation signaling pathway alleviated TTC-induced melanoma growth suppression in the mouse subcutaneous tumor model

The macroscopic appearance shows that TTC significantly inhibited melanoma growth in the LV-GFP or the LV-NEDD8 group (Fig. 7A). In LV-GFP groups, the weight of tumors in the TTC group decreased by approximately 54% ($P=0.031$) compared to the NS group. In LV-NEDD8 groups, the weight of melanoma in the TTC group decreased by approximately 34% ($P=0.037$) compared to the NS group (Fig. 7B). In addition, the tumors formed in the LV-NEDD8 group were approximately 63% heavier than those in the LV-GFP group when NS was injected intraperitoneally ($P=0.016$). Conversely, When TTC was injected intraperitoneally, the tumors developed from NEDD8-transfected cells were approximately 148% heavier than those from GFP-transfected cells ($P=0.013$). Similar result was obtained when cell proliferation was measured by Ki67 immunohistochemistry (Fig. S4). Moreover, TTC suppressed the expression levels of both NEDD8-conjugated proteins and Survivin in the mouse subcutaneous melanoma model, which was consistent with the *in vitro* findings (Fig. 7C and D). Additionally, NEDD8 overexpression attenuated Survivin downregulation mediated by TTC (Fig. 7C and D). These results implied that TTC suppressed the neddylation pathway and downregulated Survivin, thereby inhibiting melanoma growth in the mouse subcutaneous tumor model.

Anti-tumor activity of TTC in vemurafenib-resistance melanoma

A2058 cells exhibit intrinsic vemurafenib resistance. The CCK-8 assay also

304 indicated that vemurafenib ⁷ had little effect on the viability of A2058 cells (Fig. 8A).
 305 Notably, ⁷ the expression of NEDD8-conjugated proteins in A2058 cells was higher than
 306 that in other melanoma cells (Fig. 3C). Hence, the association of ¹ the activation of the
 307 neddylation signaling pathway with vemurafenib resistance was studied. First, A375R
 308 cells were obtained by continuously exposing the cells to 2 μ M vemurafenib for more
 309 than 3 months. Thereafter, CCK-8 assays confirmed that at concentrations up to 4 μ M,
 310 vemurafenib ⁴⁹ had no significant effect on the viability of A375R cells (Fig. 8B).
 311 Additionally, the immunofluorescence indicated significant ¹² activation of the
 312 neddylation signaling pathway in A375R cells (Fig. 8D). To investigate the proteins
 313 exhibiting elevated neddylation modification levels in A375R cells, we conducted silver
 314 staining following immunoprecipitation of NEDD8 from A375 and A375R cell lysates
 315 (Fig. 8E). Subsequently, the silver-stained gel bands with significant alteration at
 316 approximately 25kDa, 35kDa and 45kDa were observed and subjected to mass
 317 spectrometry analysis. Numerous proteins were identified as the potential substrates for
 318 neddylation modification, including hnRNPA1, Rps4x, Aldob, etc (Table. S2). These
 319 proteins were enriched through KEGG pathway analysis and found to be mainly
 320 associated with the Ribosome, Spliceosome, and Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis pathway
 321 (Fig. 8F and Table. S3).

322 As TTC significantly inhibited ⁴⁶ the activation of the neddylation signaling pathway
 323 in melanoma, the anti-tumor activity of TTC in A375R cells was further explored via
 324 cell viability analysis. The findings demonstrated that TTC could significantly decrease
 325 the cell viability of A375R cells. TTC in combination with vemurafenib achieved a

326 better anti-tumor effect compared to the treatment with vemurafenib or TTC alone (**Fig.**
327 **8C**). Thereafter, the expression of the key proteins associated with neddylation in
328 **A375R cells were detected**. As shown in **Fig. 8G-I**, TTC decreased the NEDD8
329 conjugation, UBA3 expression, and cullin1 neddylation, without affecting the NAE1
330 and UBC12 expression levels. Furthermore, the combined administration of TTC and
331 vemurafenib induced more pronounced suppression of global NEDD8 conjugation,
332 UBA3 expression, and cullin1 neddylation than TTC alone. These results
333 comprehensively suggest a significant anti-tumor effect of TTC *via* neddylation
334 signaling inactivation in A375R cells.

335 Discussion

336 Local anesthetics have been shown in several recent investigations to have anti-
337 tumor effect against several tumor cell types. [34-37]. These findings are of
338 considerable clinical significance in guiding the anesthetic protocol of oncological
339 surgery and the drug selection for postoperative analgesia. Herein, the anti-tumor
340 activity of several common local anesthetics on melanoma cells was determined,
341 suggesting that TTC exhibited the highest inhibitory effect (**Fig. 1**). **This result is**
342 **supported by a previous study** [6] and our published article [7]. **Survivin is upregulated**
343 **in several cancers including melanoma** [20, 38, 39], which may be associated with
344 **tumor metastasis and chemoresistance** [40]. Our experimental findings indicate that
345 TTC treatment can effectively inhibit the expression of Survivin. Hence, this may be a
346 plausible mechanism *via* which TTC inhibits the proliferation of melanoma cells.

347 Additionally, TTC slightly reduced the mRNA expression level of Survivin, indicating
 348 that TTC treatment mainly influences the Survivin stability. To further elucidate the
 349 probable mechanism, protein stability assay and CoIP experiments were performed.
 350 The results demonstrated that TTC could regulate Survivin expression by reducing
 351 Survivin stability. This explained why Survivin was not included in the DEGs in our
 352 RNAseq analysis results (Table. S1). The aforementioned results indicate that TTC
 353 inhibits melanoma cell viability by regulating the post-translational modifications of
 354 Survivin. This is consistent with the finding of KEGG enrichment analysis, which
 355 suggest that the DEGs were significantly enriched in the lysosome pathway (Fig. 2).
 356 Furthermore, some researchers have reported that local anesthetics can affect epigenetic
 357 modifications, such as DNA methylation in tumor cells [41, 42].

358 Neddylolation and lysosomes have been linked in multiple biological processes,
 359 particularly in the degradation of proteins. For example, polyneddylolation contributes to
 360 autophagic degradation in lysosomes, wherein this process is regulated by the
 361 scaffolding function of HYPK. HYPK regulates aggrephagy by specifically binding to
 362 NEDD8 and LC3 [43]. MLN4924 is also reported to induce autophagy in liver cancer
 363 cells [44]. Furthermore, neddylolation modification of Corol1a regulated lysosomal
 364 targeting of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) [45], which may be a contributing factor to
 365 the effect of neddylolation modifications on protein stability. These facts suggest a strong
 366 connection between neddylolation and lysosomes, but further exploration is needed to
 367 uncover the underlying details.

368 Aberrant activation of neddylolation signaling pathway was reported in several

369 cancers, including melanoma [23, 46-49]. Herein, our results also indicated the
370 activation of neddylation signaling pathway in multiple ¹melanoma cells compared to
371 normal human melanocytes. Since TTC significantly inhibited the neddylation
372 modification (Fig. 4 and Fig. 7), further investigation was conducted to discover the
373 crucial enzymes involved in this pathway. Our results imply that TTC may suppress
374 neddylation modification by decreasing UBA3 expression (Fig. 4). Notably, the
375 concentrations of TTC used in this study were 200 or 400 μ M, which is much higher
376 than what is used for most of the small molecule inhibitors. These observations suggest
377 that TTC may not directly target NAE2. In addition, molecular docking was performed,
378 revealing low binding affinity between TTC and NAE (Fig. S5). The aforementioned
379 results suggest that TTC downregulates the neddylation signaling pathway possibly
380 through other enzymes or indirectly inhibiting UBA3 expression. TTC has been shown
381 to inhibit the mitochondrial ATPase activity and therefore the production of ATP [50].
382 As neddylation is an ATP-dependent process, TTC may inhibit the neddylation
383 modification levels in melanoma by suppressing mitochondrial ATPase activity.

384 A previous study indicated a crucial part of Survivin in the development and
385 metastasis of melanoma [21]. Since the ubiquitination of Survivin has been extensively
386 investigated [51-53], the possibility of a ubiquitination-like modification of Survivin
387 was investigated. The CoIP experiment confirmed the interaction between Survivin and
388 NEDD8 (Fig. 5). However, identification of the specific modification sites of Survivin
389 and the interaction mechanism between Survivin and NEDD8 still requires further
390 investigation. In addition, our previous research indicated that TTC may affect the

neddylation of hnRNPA1 [7], indicating that the neddylation modification may be affected by TTC. Therefore, identifying specific neddylation substrates affected by TTC will help to explain the mechanism underlying the anti-tumor activity of TTC.

The current study also discovered a significant association between neddylation signaling and vemurafenib resistance. Firstly, the expression of NEDD8-conjugated proteins was compared, demonstrating activated neddylation signaling in melanoma cells. Additionally, a distinct protein band at approximately 45 kD was observed when detecting NEDD8-conjugated proteins in A2058 cells (Fig. 3). Notably, the A2058 cells exhibits intrinsic resistance to vemurafenib [54], suggesting that the activation of neddylation signaling may contribute to vemurafenib resistance. The immunoblotting assay results also indicated the activation of neddylation signaling and a similar distinct protein band at approximately 45 kD in A375R cells (Fig. 8). Furthermore, the potential substrate proteins undergoing neddylation modification were also identified through immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry in A375R cells. These results suggested a strong correlation between the neddylation modification and vemurafenib resistance in melanoma, representing novel evidence highlighting the role of neddylation in conferring vemurafenib-resistance in melanoma. Thus, these results provide theoretical support for utilizing a neddylation inhibitor (MLN4924) as a therapeutic agent for patients with vemurafenib-resistant melanoma. Furthermore, these findings offer a rational basis for combining vemurafenib with MLN4924 as a treatment strategy for *BRAF*-mutated melanoma, thereby preventing the development of drug resistance.

MLN4924, discovered via high-throughput screening, demonstrated promise for

cancer treatment [55]. Subsequent studies suggested more plausible mechanisms for the anti-tumor activity of MLN4924, including triggering protective autophagy and upregulation of the c-Myc-Noxa axis [48, 56]. Currently, several studies investigating the clinical utility of MLN4924 (NCT03772925, NCT04800627, NCT03965689, NCT03238248) are underway. Herein, the melanoma suppressive efficacy of MLN4924 was confirmed *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Interestingly, TTC exhibited better anti-tumor activity (Fig. 4), suggesting that TTC may exert anti-tumor activity through other potential mechanisms apart from the inhibition of the neddylation signaling pathway. For example, our previous research has demonstrated that TTC arrests the G0/G1 cell cycle and inhibits melanoma proliferation [7]. Despite the potential cardiotoxicity and neurotoxicity of high concentrations of TTC, it is expected to find new anti-tumor targets by investigating the potential mechanisms underlying the anti-tumor activity of TTC. Developing computer-aided drug designs based on these targets is promising to enhance the efficacy of anti-tumor therapies. In addition, the molecular modification or detoxification of TTC will be favorable for its clinical anti-tumor application. A group of Turkish scientists have designed several new drugs based on the molecular structure of TTC and finally screened a small molecule, which effectively suppressed the growth of HepG2 and A549 cells at low concentrations [57]. However, the anti-tumor effects of this small molecule still require further confirmation in other tumor cells and animal models, and the underlying molecular mechanisms of anti-tumor activity need to be thoroughly investigated. Engineered nanomaterials and targeted extracellular vesicles for drug delivery can achieve more precise drug targeting [58, 59], which can provide

435 novel insights to expand the clinical application of TTC as an adjuvant agent in
436 combination with other conventional therapies.

437 In conclusion, TTC exhibits pronounced proliferation suppressive potential
438 against melanoma. Inhibition of the neddylation pathway might be the potential
439 mechanism underlying this anti-tumor activity of TTC. Furthermore, it was proposed
440 for the first time in this study that activation of the neddylation pathway may be a
441 potential mechanism of vemurafenib resistance in melanoma. Additionally, TTC
442 inhibits the neddylation pathway and cell viability in A375R cells.

443

444 Reference

- 445 1. Reitmajer M, Leiter U, Nanz L, Amaral T, Flatz L, Garbe C, Forschner A: **Long-term survival**
446 **of stage IV melanoma patients: evaluation on 640 melanoma patients entering stage**
447 **IV between 2014 and 2017.** *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology* 2024,
448 **150(1).**
- 449 2. Tedore T: **Regional anaesthesia and analgesia: relationship to cancer recurrence and**
450 **survival.** *Br J Anaesth* 2015, **115 Suppl 2**:ii34-45.
- 451 3. Xuan W, J H, H Z, S Y, D M: **The potential benefits of the use of regional anesthesia in**
452 **cancer patients.** *Int J Cancer* 2015, **137(12)**:2774-2784.
- 453 4. Soltani A, M G, M G, S M: **Cooling tetracaine to reduce pain of instillation before**
454 **surgery.** *Eye* 2009, **23(6)**:1470.
- 455 5. Harman S, R Z, MJ D, Y Y, W P: **Efficacy of pain control with topical lidocaine-**
456 **epinephrine-tetracaine during laceration repair with tissue adhesive in children: a**
457 **randomized controlled trial.** *Can Med Assoc J* 2013, **185(13)**:E629-634.
- 458 6. Yoon J, RA W, EM B, EH C, MA M, M P, SS M: **Local anesthetics inhibit kinesin motility**
459 **and microtentacle protrusions in human epithelial and breast tumor cells.** *Breast*
460 *Cancer Res Treat* 2011, **129(3)**:691-701.
- 461 7. Huang X, Y C, J Y, P Y, J J, Y L, J F, X J: **Tetracaine hydrochloride induces cell cycle arrest**
462 **in melanoma by downregulating hnRNPA1.** *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2022, **434**:115810.
- 463 8. Naik SK, Lam EWF, Parija M, Prakash S, Jiramongkol Y, Adhya AK, Parida DK, Mishra SK:
464 **NEDDylation negatively regulates ERR β expression to promote breast cancer**
465 **tumorigenesis and progression.** *Cell Death Dis* 2020, **11(8).**
- 466 9. Li R, Wei J, Jiang C, Liu D, Deng L, Zhang K, Wang P: **Akt SUMOylation Regulates Cell**
467 **Proliferation and Tumorigenesis.** *Cancer Research* 2013, **73(18)**:5742-5753.
- 468 10. Vijayasimha K, BP D: **The Many Potential Fates of Non-Canonical Protein Substrates**
469 **Subject to NEDDylation.** *Cells* 2021, **10(10)**:2660.
- 470 11. Walden H, MS P, DT H, DW M, RJ H, DL M, JM H, BA S: **The structure of the APPBP1-**
471 **UBA3-NEDD8-ATP complex reveals the basis for selective ubiquitin-like protein**
472 **activation by an E1.** *Mol Cell* 2003, **12(6)**:1427-1437.
- 473 12. Gai W, Z P, CH L, L Z, H J: **Advances in Cancer Treatment by Targeting the Neddylation**
474 **Pathway.** *Front Cell Dev Biol* 2021, **9**:653882.
- 475 13. Chang F, SM R, JC G, SJ W, W I-W, SK M, E R, TJ S, JD S: **Inhibition of neddylation**
476 **represses lipopolysaccharide-induced proinflammatory cytokine production in**
477 **macrophage cells.** *J Biol Chem* 2012, **287(42)**:35756-35767.
- 478 14. Li L, B L, T D, HW L, J Y, Y Z, H G, Y Z, Y C, G L *et al*: **Neddylation pathway regulates the**
479 **proliferation and survival of macrophages.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2013,
480 **432(3)**:494-498.
- 481 15. Zhou L, Y J, Q L, L L, L J: **Neddylation: a novel modulator of the tumor**
482 **microenvironment.** *Mol Cancer* 2019, **18(1)**:77.
- 483 16. Yao W, JF W, GY Y, R W, K W, LH L, P C, YN J, H C, HW L *et al*: **Suppression of tumor**
484 **angiogenesis by targeting the protein neddylation pathway.** *Cell Death Dis* 2014,
485 **5:e1059.**

- 486 17. Wang T, Liu Z, Zhang Z, Tang S, Yue M, Feng S, Hu M, Xuan L, Chen Y: **Evaluation of**
487 **antitumor activity of survivin short interfering RNA delivered by lipid nanoparticles**
488 **in colon cancer in vitro and in vivo.** *Oncology Letters* 2017, **14**(2):2001-2008.
- 489 18. Chen W, Dong W, Wang J, Wen Z, Hao X: **Elevated Expressions of Survivin and Endoglin**
490 **in Patients with Hepatic Carcinoma.** *Cancer Biotherapy and Radiopharmaceuticals* 2019,
491 **34**(1):7-12.
- 492 19. Vajen B, Bhowmick R, Greiwe L, Schäffer V, Eilers M, Reinkens T, Stalke A, Schmidt G,
493 Fiedler J, Thum T *et al.* **MicroRNA-449a Inhibits Triple Negative Breast Cancer by**
494 **Disturbing DNA Repair and Chromatid Separation.** *International Journal of Molecular*
495 *Sciences* 2022, **23**(9):5131.
- 496 20. Fan H, Hu Z, Wang S, Wu W, Liu X, Geng H: **5-aminolevulinic-acid-mediated**
497 **sonodynamic therapy improves the prognosis of melanoma by inhibiting survivin**
498 **expression.** *Cancer Biomarkers* 2020, **28**(3):301-308.
- 499 21. Thomas J, T L, MA C, SR F, K R, AN H, D G: **Melanocyte expression of survivin promotes**
500 **development and metastasis of UV-induced melanoma in HGF-transgenic mice.**
501 *Cancer Res* 2007, **67**(11):5172-5178.
- 502 22. McKenzie J, T L, AG G, D G: **Survivin enhances motility of melanoma cells by supporting**
503 **Akt activation and α 5 integrin upregulation.** *Cancer Res* 2010, **70**(20):7927-7937.
- 504 23. Jin Y, P Z, Y W, B J, J Z, J Z, J P: **Neddylation Blockade Diminishes Hepatic Metastasis**
505 **by Dampening Cancer Stem-Like Cells and Angiogenesis in Uveal Melanoma.** *Clin*
506 *Cancer Res* 2018, **24**(15):3741-3754.
- 507 24. Chapman P, A H, C R, JB H, P A, J L, R D, C G, A T, M M *et al.* **Improved survival with**
508 **vemurafenib in melanoma with BRAF V600E mutation.** *N Engl J Med* 2011,
509 **364**(26):2507-2516.
- 510 25. Flaherty K, JR I, A D, R G, RF K, J S, O H, L S, J C, N I *et al.* **Combined BRAF and MEK**
511 **inhibition in melanoma with BRAF V600 mutations.** *N Engl J Med* 2012, **367**(18):1694-
512 1703.
- 513 26. Sosman J, KB K, L S, R G, AC P, JS W, GA M, TE H, SJ M, KT F *et al.* **Survival in BRAF V600-**
514 **mutant advanced melanoma treated with vemurafenib.** *N Engl J Med* 2012,
515 **366**(8):707-714.
- 516 27. Shapiro DD, Zacharias NM, Tripathi DN, Karki M, Bertocchio JP, Soeung M, He R,
517 Westerman ME, Gao J, Rao P *et al.* **Neddylation inhibition sensitises renal medullary**
518 **carcinoma tumours to platinum chemotherapy.** *Clinical and Translational Medicine*
519 2023, **13**(5).
- 520 28. Hjerpe R, Y T, J C, A Z, S C, N S, LR D, T K: **Changes in the ratio of free NEDD8 to**
521 **ubiquitin triggers NEDDylation by ubiquitin enzymes.** *Biochem J* 2012, **441**(3):927-936.
- 522 29. Dallaglio K, A M, C P: **Survivin: a dual player in healthy and diseased skin.** *J Invest*
523 *Dermatol* 2012, **132**(1):18-27.
- 524 30. Liu Y, Lear T, Iannone O, Shiva S, Corey C, Rajbhandari S, Jerome J, Chen BB, Mallampalli
525 RK: **The Proapoptotic F-box Protein Fbx17 Regulates Mitochondrial Function by**
526 **Mediating the Ubiquitylation and Proteasomal Degradation of Survivin.** *Journal of*
527 *Biological Chemistry* 2015, **290**(19):11843-11852.
- 528 31. Li T, Guan J, Huang Z, Hu X, Zheng X: **RNF168-mediated H2A neddylation antagonizes**
529 **its ubiquitination and regulates DNA damage repair.** *Journal of Cell Science* 2014.

- 530 32. Guan J, Yu S, Zheng X: **NEDDylation antagonizes ubiquitination of proliferating cell**
531 **nuclear antigen and regulates the recruitment of polymerase η in response to**
532 **oxidative DNA damage.** *Protein & Cell* 2017.
- 533 33. Nagano T, Hashimoto T, Nakashima A, Kikkawa U, Kamada S: **X-linked inhibitor of**
534 **apoptosis protein mediates neddylation by itself but does not function as a NEDD8-**
535 **E3 ligase for caspase-7.** *FEBS Letters* 2012, **586**(11):1612-1616.
- 536 34. Chang Y, YC H, CL L, SY H, MC H, SP C: **Local anesthetics induce apoptosis in human**
537 **thyroid cancer cells through the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway.** *PLoS one*
538 2014, **9**(2):e89563.
- 539 35. Chang Y, CL L, MJ C, YW H, SN C, CH L, CM C, FM Y, MC H: **Local anesthetics induce**
540 **apoptosis in human breast tumor cells.** *Anesth Analg* 2014, **118**(1):116-124.
- 541 36. Zhao L, S H, J H, W S, Y Z, Y C: **The local anesthetic ropivacaine suppresses progression**
542 **of breast cancer by regulating miR-27b-3p/YAP axis.** *Aging* 2021, **13**(12):16341-16352.
- 543 37. Yang M, CD M, A D, A C, SA A, KS R, SH J, H K, KM H, G S *et al.* **Procaine Abrogates the**
544 **Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Process through Modulating c-Met**
545 **Phosphorylation in Hepatocellular Carcinoma.** *Cancers* 2022, **14**(20):4978.
- 546 38. Gunaldi M, N I, H K, Y O, O G, TO T, M K: **The value of serum survivin level in early**
547 **diagnosis of cancer.** *J Cancer Res Ther* 2018, **14**(3):570-573.
- 548 39. Li X, JS P, YM L, FA A, RQ H, J M, FC M, G C: **Clinical value of survivin and its underlying**
549 **mechanism in ovarian cancer: A bioinformatics study based on GEO and TCGA data**
550 **mining.** *Pathol Res Pract* 2018, **214**(3):385-401.
- 551 40. Mak C, MM Y, LM H, LL L, R L, K C, SS L, Y Q, TH L, KF L *et al.* **MicroRNA-141 enhances**
552 **anoikis resistance in metastatic progression of ovarian cancer through targeting**
553 **KLF12/Sp1/survivin axis.** *Mol Cancer* 2017, **16**(1):11.
- 554 41. Lirk P, R B, MW H, H F: **Lidocaine time- and dose-dependently demethylates**
555 **deoxyribonucleic acid in breast cancer cell lines in vitro.** *Br J Anaesth* 2012, **109**(2):200-
556 207.
- 557 42. Lirk P, MW H, M F, NC W, H F: **Lidocaine and ropivacaine, but not bupivacaine,**
558 **demethylate deoxyribonucleic acid in breast cancer cells in vitro.** *Br J Anaesth* 2014,
559 **113** Suppl 1:i32-38.
- 560 43. Ghosh DK, Ranjan A: **HYPK coordinates degradation of polyneddylation proteins by**
561 **autophagy.** *Autophagy* 2021, **18**(8):1763-1784.
- 562 44. Luo Z, Yu G, Lee HW, Li L, Wang L, Yang D, Pan Y, Ding C, Qian J, Wu L *et al.* **The Nedd8-**
563 **Activating Enzyme Inhibitor MLN4924 Induces Autophagy and Apoptosis to**
564 **Suppress Liver Cancer Cell Growth.** *Cancer Research* 2012, **72**(13):3360-3371.
- 565 45. Fei X, Li Z, Yang D, Kong X, Lu X, Shen Y, Li X, Xie S, Wang J, Zhao Y *et al.* **Neddylation of**
566 **Coro1a determines the fate of multivesicular bodies and biogenesis of extracellular**
567 **vesicles.** *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles* 2021, **10**(12).
- 568 46. Li L, M W, G Y, P C, H L, D W, J Z, L X, H J, J S *et al.* **Overactivated neddylation pathway**
569 **as a therapeutic target in lung cancer.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014, **106**(6):dju083.
- 570 47. Xu J, L L, G Y, W Y, Q G, W Z, X L, C D, Y J, D W *et al.* **The neddylation-cullin 2-RBX1 E3**
571 **ligase axis targets tumor suppressor RhoB for degradation in liver cancer.** *Mol Cell*
572 *Proteomics* 2015, **14**(3):499-509.
- 573 48. Jia X, C L, L L, X L, L Z, W Z, S N, Y L, Chen, LS J *et al.* **Neddylation Inactivation Facilitates**

- 574 **FOXO3a Nuclear Export to Suppress Estrogen Receptor Transcription and Improve**
 575 **Fulvestrant Sensitivity.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2019, **25**(12):3658-3672.
- 576 49. Cheng F, He R, Zhang LEI, Li HUI, Zhang WEI, Ji X, Kong F, Sun J, Chen S: **Expression of**
 577 **neddylation-related proteins in melanoma cell lines and the effect of neddylation on**
 578 **melanoma proliferation.** *Oncology Letters* 2014, **7**(5):1645-1650.
- 579 50. Adade AB, Chignell D, Vanderkooi G: **Local anesthetics: A new class of partial inhibitors**
 580 **of mitochondrial ATPase.** *Journal of Bioenergetics and Biomembranes* 1984, **16**(5-
 581 6):353-363.
- 582 51. Li Z, XH P, J Y, F Y, KM C, AW W, Y X: **CUL9 mediates the functions of the 3M complex**
 583 **and ubiquitylates survivin to maintain genome integrity.** *Mol Cell* 2014, **54**(5):805-819.
- 584 52. Li M, F G, X Y, Q Z, L Z, W L, W L: **Promotion of ubiquitination-dependent survivin**
 585 **destruction contributes to xanthohumol-mediated tumor suppression and**
 586 **overcomes radioresistance in human oral squamous cell carcinoma.** *J Exp Clin Cancer*
 587 *Res* 2020, **39**(1):88.
- 588 53. Chandrasekaran A, A T, N P, N S, JK K, K K, CH P, SH H, KS K, S R: **Dual role of**
 589 **deubiquitinating enzyme USP19 regulates mitotic progression and tumorigenesis by**
 590 **stabilizing survivin.** *Mol Ther* 2022, **30**(11):3414-3429.
- 591 54. Feng JH, Nakagawa-Goto K, Lee KH, Shyur LF: **A Novel Plant Sesquiterpene Lactone**
 592 **Derivative, DETD-35, Suppresses BRAFV600E Mutant Melanoma Growth and**
 593 **Overcomes Acquired Vemurafenib Resistance in Mice.** *Mol Cancer Ther* 2016,
 594 **15**(6):1163-1176.
- 595 55. Soucy T, PG S, MA M, AJ B, JM G, S A, JE B, KE B, DP C, S C *et al.* **An inhibitor of NEDD8-**
 596 **activating enzyme as a new approach to treat cancer.** *Nature* 2009, **458**(7239):732-736.
- 597 56. Luo Z, Y P, LS J, J L, L J: **Inactivation of the Cullin (CUL)-RING E3 ligase by the NEDD8-**
 598 **activating enzyme inhibitor MLN4924 triggers protective autophagy in cancer cells.**
 599 *Autophagy* 2012, **8**(11):1677-1679.
- 600 57. İhsan HM, Deniz YBÖ, Şatır BG, Gülay S, Dilek T, Güniz KŞ: **Design, synthesis and**
 601 **anticancer activity studies of novel 4-butylaminophenyl hydrazide-hydrazones as**
 602 **apoptotic inducers.** *Tetrahedron* 2022, **115**:132797.
- 603 58. Palombo M, M D, D M, J G, Z S, PJ S: **Pharmaceutical and toxicological properties of**
 604 **engineered nanomaterials for drug delivery.** *Annu Rev Pharmacol* 2014, **54**:581-598.
- 605 59. Gudbergsson J: **Extracellular vesicles for targeted drug delivery: triumphs and**
 606 **challenges.** *Future Med Chem* 2020, **12**(14):1285-1287.

607 Legends

608 **Fig. 1. Tetracaine hydrochloride (TTC) exhibited the most pronounced potential to**
 609 **suppress melanoma proliferation melanoma.** (A, B) Melanoma cells (B16 and A375 cells) were
 610 treated with different local anesthetic drugs (tetracaine, procaine, lidocaine, bupivacaine, and
 611 ropivacaine) for 24 h and cell viability was normalized against the vehicle control group and

expressed in percentage. (C, D) B16 and A375 cells were exposed to 50 or 100 μM TTC, then the number of colonies was counted under the optical microscope. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical differences were determined using an unpaired two-tailed student's t-test, *** P < 0.001 vs the control group.

616

Fig. 2. The gene alteration and significantly enriched pathways after TTC treatment. (A) Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified using the R package limma, and the criteria for differential analysis were set as follows: $P < 0.05$ and $|\log_2 \text{FC}| > 1$. (B) Based on these DEGs, the KEGG enrichment analysis was performed by the R package clusterProfiler. (C, D) GSEA was performed by the GSEA software (Version: 4.2.3) based on our transcript RNA high-throughput sequencing.

623

Fig. 3. NEDD8 protein expression was significantly higher in melanoma than in normal skin tissues. (A) IHC (immunohistochemical) staining showed that the NEDD8 expression level was significantly higher in melanoma tissues ($n=20$) than in the adjacent normal skin tissues ($n=4$). Scale bar = 200 μm . (B, C) NEDD8-conjugated protein expression levels in normal human epidermal melanocytes (NHEM) cells and melanoma cells (B16, A375, SK-MEL-28, and A2058) were determined by western blotting analysis. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical differences were determined using one-way ANOVA, followed by *post hoc* Tukey's test, ** $P < 0.01$, and *** $P < 0.001$ vs. NHEM group.

632

Fig. 4. Effect of TTC treatment on neddylation in melanoma cells. (A) B16 and A375 cells

633

were treated with 200 μ M or 400 μ M TTC for 24 h, and the western blot analysis showed TTC reduced the expression levels of NEDD8-conjugated proteins. (B-C) Melanoma cells were treated with MLN4924 (0.1 μ M) or TTC (200 or 400 μ M) for 24 h, cullin1 neddylation, and protein levels of NAE1, UBA3, and UBC12 were estimated by western blotting analysis. (D-E) Quantitative data of relative protein expression levels as determined by ImageJ software. (F) A mice subcutaneous tumor model was established by the subcutaneous injection of B16 cells. When the tumors reached a volume of approximately 30 mm³, the mice received seven daily intraperitoneal injections of either MLN4924 (30 mg/kg) or TTC (10 mg/kg). The tumors from each group were photographed with a millimeter ruler (n=6). (G) The weight of individual tumors in each group was measured by an electronic balance (n=6). Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical differences were determined using one-way ANOVA, followed by *post hoc* Tukey's test, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, and *** P < 0.001 vs. control group.

Fig. 5. NEDD8 overexpression impeded the anti-tumor activity of TTC. (A) The B16 cell line with stable NEDD8 overexpression was established via lentivirus infection, and the GFP-stable expression cell line was used as a control. After TTC treatment, the viability and colony-forming ability of B16 cells with NEDD8 or GFP overexpression were detected by CCK-8 assay. (B, C) After TTC treatment, the colony-forming ability of B16 cells with NEDD8 or GFP overexpression were detected by colony-formation assay. (C, D) Expression levels of NEDD8-conjugated proteins and Survivin were measured by western blot analysis. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical differences were determined using one-way ANOVA, followed by *post hoc* Tukey's test, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001 vs. LV-GFP without TTC group; # P < 0.05, ### P < 0.001 vs. LV-GFP +

656 TTC group. \$\$\$ $P < 0.001$ vs. LV-NEDD8 without TTC group.

657

658 **Fig. 6. TTC affected the stability of Survivin.** (A, B) B16 and A375 cells were treated with
 659 200 or 400 μ M TTC. After 24 h, western blot analysis was performed to detect Survivin expression
 660 at the protein level. (C) After the treatment of TTC (200 or 400 μ M), the mRNA expression level of
 661 Survivin was detected by qRT-PCR. (D, E, and G) Stability of the Survivin protein was detected in
 662 CHX-treated cells in the presence or absence of TTC. (F, H) Following the administration of MG132
 663 and TTC, immunoblotting was performed to measure the Survivin expression level. (I) A375 cells
 664 were transfected with pcDNA3.1-*survivin*-Flag constructs for 48 h. Result of Co-IP showed that
 665 Survivin interacts with NEDD8. In Fig.5B, C, E, and G, data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD.
 666 Statistical differences were determined using an unpaired two-tailed student's t-test, * $P < 0.05$, and
 667 *** $P < 0.001$ vs. the control group. In Fig.5H, data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical
 668 differences were determined using one-way ANOVA, followed by *post hoc* Tukey's test, ** $P < 0.01$,
 669 and *** $P < 0.001$ vs. control group; ### $P < 0.001$ vs. TTC group.

670

671 **Fig. 7. TTC suppressed melanoma cell growth by inhibiting neddylation *in vivo*.** A mice
 672 subcutaneous tumor model was established by the subcutaneous injection of B16 cells with
 673 overexpressed NEDD8 or GFP. When the tumors reached a volume of approximately 30 mm³, the
 674 mice received seven daily intraperitoneal injections of either NS or TTC (10 mg/kg). (A) The tumors
 675 from each group were photographed with a millimeter ruler (n=6). (B) The weight of individual
 676 tumors in each group was measured by an electronic balance (n=6). (C, D) Expression levels of
 677 NEDD8-conjugated proteins and Survivin in melanoma tissues were detected by western blot

analysis. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical differences were determined using one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey's test, $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$ vs. LV-GFP+NS group; $\#P < 0.05$, $\$P < 0.05$ vs. LV-GFP+TTC group.

681

Fig. 8. Anti-tumor activity of TTC in vemurafenib-resistant melanoma. (A) Effect of vemurafenib on the activity of A2058 cells. (B) Effect of vemurafenib on the activity of vemurafenib-resistant A375 (A375R) cells. (C) CCK-8 assay was performed to detect the effect of TTC or (and) vemurafenib on the cell viability of A375R cells *in vitro*. (D) Immunofluorescence showed that the NEDD8 expression was higher in A375R cells than that in A375 cells. Scale bar = 100 μ m. (E) Samples obtained from co-immunoprecipitation experiments in A375 and A375R cell lysates using the NEDD8 primary antibody were subjected to SDS-PAGE, followed by silver staining, and the significantly altered bands were marked with red boxes (approximately at 25kDa, 35kDa and 45kDa). (F) The proteins within the altered bands were identified by mass spectrometry and then enriched by KEGG analysis. (G, H, and I) After the TTC or vemurafenib treatment, the expression levels of vital proteins for the neddylation pathway (NAE1, UBA3, UBC12, and Cullin1) were assessed by western blot analysis and quantitatively analyzed by ImageJ software. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical differences were determined using one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey's test, $*P < 0.05$, $***P < 0.001$ vs. control group; $###P < 0.001$ vs. PLX group; $\$P < 0.05$ vs. TTC group; $\&P < 0.05$, $\&\&P < 0.001$ vs. control group (A375R cells).

697

Table. S1. Proteins with increasing level of neddylation modification in A375R cells were identified by mass spectrometry.

699

700

701 **Table. S2.** Transcript RNA high-throughput sequencing was performed after treating
702 melanoma with TTC. DEGs were further identified using the limma package.

703

704 **Table. S3.** Contribution of proteins modified by neddylation to KEGG pathway.

705

706 **Fig. S1.** The effect of local anesthetics on the colony formation of melanoma cells. 375
707 cells were exposed to 200 μ M lidocaine, 400 μ M ropivacaine, or 300 μ M bupivacaine, then the
708 number of colonies was counted under the optical microscope. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$
709 SD. Statistical differences were determined using one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc Tukey's
710 test, * $P < 0.05$, and *** $P < 0.001$ vs. control group.

711

712 **Fig. S2.** TTC inhibited the proliferation of melanoma cells. B16 cells with NEDD8 or GFP
713 overexpression were treated with PBS or 200 μ M TTC, the expression of Ki67 was assessed by
714 immunofluorescence staining. Scale bar = 100 μ m.

715

716 **Fig. S3.** TTC inhibited the proliferation of melanoma cells. B16 cells with NEDD8 or GFP
717 overexpression were treated with PBS or 200 μ M TTC, cell proliferation was analyzed by EdU
718 proliferation assay. EdU-positive nuclei indicate proliferating cells. Scale bar = 200 μ m.

719

720 **Fig. S4.** TTC inhibited the proliferation of melanoma in vivo. The paraffin-embedded
721 melanoma tissue was cut into 5 μ m sections, then IHC staining were performed to evaluate Ki-67

722 expression in each group. Scale bar = 200 μ m.

723

724 **Fig. S5. TTC showed a lower binding capacity to NAE than MLN4924.** (A) The overlay of
725 the crystal structures of MLN4924 and NAE was illustrated by the Molecule of the Month feature.
726 (B) Spotlight on the combination of MLN4924 and NAE. (C) 2D interactions of MLN4924 and
727 NAE. (D) The overlay of the crystal structures of TTC and NAE was illustrated by the Molecule of
728 the Month feature. (E) Spotlight on the combination of TTC and NAE.

Neddylation Signaling Inactivation by Tetracaine Hydrochloride Suppresses Cell Proliferation and Alleviates Vemurafenib-resistance of Melanoma

ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	"Encyclopedia of Signaling Molecules", Springer Nature, 2018 Crossref	43 words — 1%
2	www.mdpi.com Internet	37 words — 1%
3	www.frontiersin.org Internet	33 words — 1%
4	Xiang Huang, Yirong Chen, Junxiu Yi, Peng Yi, Jing Jia, Yonghong Liao, Jianguo Feng, Xian Jiang. "Tetracaine hydrochloride induces cell cycle arrest in melanoma by downregulating hnRNPA1", Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 2022 Crossref	29 words — 1%
5	www.nature.com Internet	28 words — 1%
6	dalspace.library.dal.ca Internet	27 words — < 1%
7	P Santos, D Murtinho, AS Pires Lourenço, J Araújo, R Martins, AM Abrantes, MF Botelho, MES Serra. "PO-416 Cytotoxicity of Ru (II) and Ru (III) salen	19 words — < 1%

complexes against breast and colorectal cancer cell lines",
Poster Presentation: Experimental/Molecular Therapeutics,
Pharmacogenomics, 2018

Crossref

- 8 N. Okashita, Y. Kumaki, K. Ebi, M. Nishi et al. 18 words — < 1%
"PRDM14 promotes active DNA demethylation
through the Ten-eleven translocation (TET)-mediated base
excision repair pathway in embryonic stem cells",
Development, 2013

Crossref

- 9 bmcgenomics.biomedcentral.com 18 words — < 1%
Internet

- 10 spandidos-publications.com 16 words — < 1%
Internet

- 11 www.nrcresearchpress.com 16 words — < 1%
Internet

- 12 www.spandidos-publications.com 16 words — < 1%
Internet

- 13 Dickson Adah, Yijun Yang, Quan Liu, Kranthi 14 words — < 1%
Gadidasu et al. "Plasmodium infection inhibits the
expansion and activation of MDSCs and Tregs in the tumor
microenvironment in a murine Lewis lung cancer model", Cell
Communication and Signaling, 2019

Crossref

- 14 Manuel J. Coelho-e-Silva, Amândio Cupido-dos- 14 words — < 1%
Santos, António J. Figueiredo, José P. Ferreira, Neil
Armstrong. "Children and Exercise XXVIII - The Proceedings of
the 28th Pediatric Work Physiology Meeting", Routledge, 2013

Publications

15 Wenjuan Zhang, Lihui Li, Lili Cai, Yupei Liang et al. "Tumor-associated antigen Prame targets tumor suppressor p14/ARF for degradation as the receptor protein of CRL2Prame complex", Cell Death & Differentiation, 2021

Crossref

14 words — < 1%

16 www.science.gov

Internet

14 words — < 1%

17 Yanyu Jiang, Wei Cheng, Lihui Li, Lisha Zhou et al. "Effective targeting of the ubiquitin-like modifier NEDD8 for lung adenocarcinoma treatment", Cell Biology and Toxicology, 2020

Crossref

13 words — < 1%

18 Kristina Bielskienė, Lida Bagdonienė, Julija Mozūraitienė, Birutė Kazbarienė, Ernestas Janulionis. "E3 ubiquitin ligases as drug targets and prognostic biomarkers in melanoma", Medicina, 2015

Crossref

12 words — < 1%

19 iris.univr.it

Internet

12 words — < 1%

20 docksci.com

Internet

11 words — < 1%

21 molecularbrain.biomedcentral.com

Internet

11 words — < 1%

22 Mi Jeong Heo, Sung Hyun Kang, Yun Seok Kim, Jung Min Lee et al. "UBC12-mediated SREBP-1 neddylation worsens metastatic tumor prognosis", International Journal of Cancer, 2020

Crossref

10 words — < 1%

23 Yoon Jin Lee, Yu Sung Choi, Sooyoung Kim, Jae Young Heo et al. "Overexpression of Dock180 and Elmo1 in melanoma is associated with cell survival and migration", Research Square Platform LLC, 2022 10 words — < 1%
Crossref Posted Content

24 patents.google.com 10 words — < 1%
Internet

25 repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp 10 words — < 1%
Internet

26 Jianguo Feng, Menghong Long, Xin Zhao, Pijun Yan, Yunxiao Lin, Maohua Wang, Wenhua Huang. "RBM3 Accelerates Wound Healing of Skin in Diabetes through ERK1/2 Signaling", Current Molecular Pharmacology, 2023 9 words — < 1%
Crossref

27 Ke DING, Yiguo Zhang, Lele Zhang, Shishi Liao, Ziyi Weng, Rong Chen, Qingtao Meng. "STING Drives Pyroptosis and Exacerbates Intestinal Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2024 9 words — < 1%
Crossref Posted Content

28 Ma, Wen-Hui, Yong-Chao Liu, Mei-Lan Xue, Zheng Zheng, and Yin-Lin Ge. "Downregulation of survivin expression exerts antitumoral effects on mouse breast cancer cells in *in vitro* and *in vivo*", Oncology Letters, 2015. 9 words — < 1%
Crossref

29 assets.researchsquare.com 9 words — < 1%
Internet

30 link.springer.com 9 words — < 1%
Internet

31 pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

9 words — < 1%

32 [rcastoragev2.blob.core.windows.net](#)
Internet

9 words — < 1%

33 [repository.hkbu.edu.hk](#)
Internet

9 words — < 1%

34 [tgh.amegroups.com](#)
Internet

9 words — < 1%

35 [www.medrxiv.org](#)
Internet

9 words — < 1%

36 [www.oncotarget.com](#)
Internet

9 words — < 1%

37 [0-www-mdpi-com.brum.beds.ac.uk](#)
Internet

8 words — < 1%

38 David F Stojdl, Brian D Lichty, Benjamin R tenOever, Jennifer M Paterson et al. "VSV strains with defects in their ability to shutdown innate immunity are potent systemic anti-cancer agents", Cancer Cell, 2003
Crossref

8 words — < 1%

39 Jadd Shelton, Xiao Lu, Joseph A. Hollenbaugh, Jong Hyun Cho, Franck Amblard, Raymond F. Schinazi. "Metabolism, Biochemical Actions, and Chemical Synthesis of Anticancer Nucleosides, Nucleotides, and Base Analogs", Chemical Reviews, 2016
Crossref

8 words — < 1%

40 Nathan D. Mathewson, Hideaki Fujiwara, Shin-Rong Wu, Tomomi Toubai et al. "SAG/Rbx2-

8 words — < 1%

Dependent Neddylation Regulates T-Cell Responses", The American Journal of Pathology, 2016

Crossref

41 Qing Yu, Yihan Jiang, Yi Sun. "Anticancer drug discovery by targeting cullin neddylation", Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B, 2019

Crossref

42 Qi Yin Zhou, Hua Li, Yuanyuan Li, Mingjia Tan et al. "Inhibiting neddylation modification alters mitochondrial morphology and reprograms energy metabolism in cancer cells", JCI Insight, 2019

Crossref

43 Sen Hong, Qiuxia Li, Ying Yang, Deqian Jing, Fengbo Zhu. "Silencing of Long Non-coding RNA LINC01106 Represses Malignant Behaviors of Gastric Cancer Cells by Targeting miR-34a-5p/MYCN Axis", Molecular Biotechnology, 2021

Crossref

44 Zhen Yang, Qi Sun, Junfei Guo, Shixing Wang, Ge Song, Weiying Liu, Min Liu, Hua Tang. " -mediated promotes malignant behavior and nuclear autophagy by directly upregulating and in cervical cancer cells ", Autophagy, 2018

Crossref

45 aacrjournals.org

Internet

46 academic.oup.com

Internet

47 ashpublications.org

Internet

-
- 48 edoc.unibas.ch 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 49 etd.uwc.ac.za 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 50 mdpi-res.com 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 51 nagasaki-u.repo.nii.ac.jp 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 52 www.dovepress.com 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 53 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov 8 words — < 1%
Internet
-
- 54 Irma Zubrickė, Ilona Jonuškienė, Kristina Kantminienė, Ingrida Tumosienė, Vilma Petrikaitė. "Synthesis and In Vitro Evaluation as Potential Anticancer and Antioxidant Agents of Diphenylamine-Pyrrolidin-2-one-Hydrazone Derivatives", International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2023 7 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 55 Meng-ge Du, Zhi-qiang Peng, Wen-bin Gai, Fan Liu et al. "The Absence of PTEN in Breast Cancer Is a Driver of MLN4924 Resistance", Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 2021 7 words — < 1%
Crossref
-
- 56 Shaohua Li, Wei Fang, Yu Cui, Huisen Shi, Jun Chen, Lei Li, Lingqiang Zhang, Xueli Zhang. "Neddylation promotes protein translocation between the 7 words — < 1%

57 Sullivan, R J, and K Flaherty. "MAP kinase signaling and inhibition in melanoma", Oncogene, 2012. 7 words — < 1%

Crossref

58 www.fedoa.unina.it 7 words — < 1%

Internet

59 "Cullin-RING Ligases and Protein Neddylation", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020 6 words — < 1%

Crossref

60 Awet Tecleab, Said M. Sebti. "Depletion of K-Ras promotes proteasome degradation of survivin", Cell Cycle, 2014 6 words — < 1%

Crossref

61 Bingbing Hao, Kaifeng Chen, Linhui Zhai, Muyin Liu, Bin Liu, Minjia Tan. "Substrate and Functional Diversity of Protein Lysine Post-translational Modifications", Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics, 2024 6 words — < 1%

Crossref

62 Guodong Liu, Shan Liu, Guanyi Cao, Weihuan Luo, Peng Li, Shiping Wang, Yu Chen. "SPAG5 contributes to the progression of gastric cancer by upregulation of Survivin depend on activating the wnt/ β -catenin pathway", Experimental Cell Research, 2019 6 words — < 1%

Crossref

63 Kavitha Gowrishankar, Matteo S., Helen Rizos. "Chapter 7 Acquired Resistance to Targeted MAPK Inhibition in Melanoma", IntechOpen, 2013 6 words — < 1%

Crossref

64 M. A. Cotter, J. Thomas, P. Cassidy, K. Robinette, N. Jenkins, S. R. Florell, S. Leachman, W. E. Samlowski, D. Grossman. "N-Acetylcysteine Protects Melanocytes against Oxidative Stress/Damage and Delays Onset of Ultraviolet-Induced Melanoma in Mice", Clinical Cancer Research, 2007 6 words — < 1%
Crossref

65 Weijie Wu, Miao Yu, Qianchen Li, Yiqian Zhao et al. "Loss function of tumor suppressor FRMD8 confers resistance to tamoxifen therapy via a dual mechanism", Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2024 6 words — < 1%
Crossref Posted Content

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF