

Supplementary material for **Mathematical induction in education research: A systematic review** (*Educational Studies in Mathematics*) by Kristin Krogh Arnesen and Øystein Ingmar Skartsæterhagen

Corresponding author: Kristin Krogh Arnesen, kristin.arnesen@ntnu.no, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway

Complete data set

This document lists the 85 studies that were reviewed. The studies are alphabetically ordered. The asterisk * marks studies with mathematical induction as a main focus (see the Methods for further information).

Anderson, J., Austin, K., Barnard, T., & Jagger, J. (1998). Do third-year mathematics undergraduates know what they are supposed to know? *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 29(3), 401–420.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739980290310>

*Arnold, R., & Norton, A. (2017). Mathematical actions, mathematical objects, and mathematical induction. In A. Weinberg, C. Rasmussen, J. Rabin, M. Wawro, & S. Brown (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 20th Conference on Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education* (pp. 53–66). SIGMAA. <http://sigmaa.maa.org/rume/RUME20.pdf>

*Avital, S., & Hansen, R. T. (1976). Mathematical induction in the classroom. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 7, 399–411. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00452222>

*Avital, S., & Libeskind, S. (1978). Mathematical induction in the classroom: Didactical and mathematical issues. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 9(4), 429–438.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00410588>

Avital, S., & Libeskind, S. (1978). Some ideas on how to create mathematical problems. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 9(4), 417–422. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739780090404>

*Baker, J. D. (1996, April 8–12). *Students' Difficulties with Proof by Mathematical Induction* [Paper presentation]. Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York, NY, United States.

*Baldwin, J. T. (2016). Foundations of mathematics: Reliability and clarity: The explanatory role of mathematical induction. In J. Väänänen, Å. Hirvonen, & R. de Queiroz (Eds.), *Logic, Language, Information, and Computation. WoLLIC 2016 Proceedings. Lecture Notes in Computer Science vol 9803* (pp. 68–82). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-52921-8_5

*Baroody, A. J., Reid, E. E., & Purpura, D. J. (2013). An example of a hypothetical learning progression: The successor principle and emergence of informal mathematical induction. In R. L. Mayes & L. L. Hatfield (Eds.), *WISDOMe Monograph Series Volume 3: Quantitative Reasoning in Mathematics and Science Education: Papers from an International STEM Research Symposium* (pp. 1–9). WISDOMe Monograph Series Volume 3.

Baroody, A. J., Lai, M. L., Li, X., & Baroody, A. E. (2009). Preschoolers' understanding of subtraction-related principles. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 11(1-2), 41–60. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986060802583956>

Belin, M., & Akar, G. K. (2020). The effect of quantitative reasoning on prospective mathematics teachers' proof comprehension: The case of real numbers. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 57, . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2020.100757>

Bleiler-Baxter, S. K., & Pair, J. D. (2017). Engaging students in roles of proof. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 47, 16–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.05.005>

*Blubaugh, W. L. (1993). Computer assisted introduction to mathematical induction. *School Science and Mathematics*, 93, 31–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1993.tb12188.x>

*Brown, S. A. (2003). *The Evolution of Students' Understanding of Mathematical Induction: A Teaching Experiment* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of California, San Diego and San Diego State University.

*Brown, S. A. (2008). Exploring epistemological obstacles to the development of mathematics induction. In M. Zandieh (Ed.), *Proceedings of The 11th Conference on Research on Undergraduate Mathematics Education* (pp. 1–19). SIGMAA. <http://sigmaa.maa.org/rume/crume2008/>

Brown, S. A. (2014). On skepticism and its role in the development of proof in the classroom. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 86, 311–335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-014-9544-4>

Brown, S. A. (2018). Are indirect proofs less convincing? A study of students' comparative assessments. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 49, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2016.12.010>

*Brumfiel, C. (1974). A note on mathematical induction. *The Mathematics Teacher*, 67(7), 616–618. <https://doi.org/10.5951/mt.67.7.0616>

Bucciarelli, M., Mackiewicz, R., Khemlani, S. S., & Johnson-Laird, P. N. (2018). Simulation in children's conscious recursive reasoning. *Memory & cognition*, 46, 1302–1314. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13421-018-0838-0>

Campbell, T. G., King, S., & Zelkowski, J. (2021). Comparing middle grade students' oral and written arguments. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 23(1), 21–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2020.1722960>

*Cusi, A., & Malara, N. A. (2008). Improving awareness about the meaning of the principle of mathematical induction. In O. Figueras, J. L. Cortina, S. Alatorre, T. Rojano, & A. Sepúlveda (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Joint Meeting of PME 32 and PME-NA XXX* (pp. 393–398). Cinvestav-UMSNH. <https://doi.org/10.30827/pna.v4i1.6170>

*Davis, M., Grassl, R., Hauk, S., Mendoza-Spencer, B., & Yestness, N. (2009). Learning proof by mathematical induction. In M. Zandieh (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 12th Conference on Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education* (pp. 1–12). SIGMAA. <http://sigmaa.maa.org/rume/crume2009/proceedings.html>

Demiray, E., & Işıksal Bostan, M. (2017). An investigation of pre-service middle school mathematics teachers' ability to conduct valid proofs, methods used, and reasons for invalid arguments. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 15, 109–130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-015-9664-z>

*Dobbs, D. E. (2009). Iteration, not induction. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 40(4), 517–523. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207390802136586>

*Dubinsky, E. (1986). Teaching mathematical induction I. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 5(3), 305–317.

*Dubinsky, E. (1989). Teaching mathematical induction II. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 8(3), 285–304.

*Dubinsky, E., & Lewin, P. (1986). Reflective abstraction and mathematics education: The genetic decomposition of induction and compactness. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 5(1), 55–92.

*Ernest, P. (1982). Mathematical induction: A recurring theme. *The Mathematical Gazette*, 66(436), 120–125. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3617747>

*Ernest, P. (1984). Mathematical induction: A pedagogical discussion. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 15(2), 173–189. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00305895>

*Fishbein, E. & Engel, I. (1989). Psychological difficulties in understanding the principle of mathematical induction. In G. Vergnaud, J. Rogalski, & M. Artigue (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 13th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 1, pp. 276–282). CNRS.

*García-Martínez, I., & Parraguez, M. (2017). The basis step in the construction of the principle of mathematical induction based on APOS theory. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 46, 128–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.04.001>

Hanna, G. (1989). Proofs that prove and proofs that explain. In G. Vergnaud, J. Rogalski, & M. Artigue, (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 13th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 1, pp. 45–51). PME.

Hanna, G., & De Villiers, M. (2008). Proof and proving in mathematics education: The 19th ICMI study. *ZDM Mathematics Education* 40, 329–336. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-008-0073-4>

*Harel, G. (2001). The development of mathematical induction as a proof scheme: A model for DNR-based instruction. In S. Campbell, & R. Zaskis (Eds.), *Learning and teaching number theory: Research in cognition and instruction* (pp. 185–212). Ablex Publishing Corporation. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9798216976448.0012>

*Harel, G., & Brown, S. (2008). Mathematical induction: Cognitive and instructional considerations. In M. Carlson & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Making the connection: Research and practice in undergraduate mathematics* (pp. 111–123). Mathematical Association of America. <https://doi.org/10.5948/upo9780883859759.010>

- *Hirsch, C. R. (1976). Making Mathematical Induction Meaningful. *School Science and Mathematics*, 76(1), 27–31. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1976.tb09917.x>
- *Jetter, M. (2012, February). Assessing proof schemes: An interesting “proof” by mathematical induction. In S. Brown, S. Larsen, K. Marrongelle, & M. Oehrtman (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 15th Conference on Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education* (Vol. 2, pp. 463–466). SIGMAA.
http://sigmaa.maa.org/rume/RUME_XV_Proceedings_Volume_2.pdf
- Kidron, I., & Dreyfus, T. (2014). Proof image. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 87, 297–321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-014-9566-y>
- Knuth, E. J. (1999). *The nature of secondary school mathematics teachers’ conceptions of proof* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of Colorado, Boulder.
- Ko, Y. Y. (2010). Mathematics teachers’ conceptions of proof: implications for educational research. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 8, 1109–1129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-010-9235-2>
- Ko, Y. Y., & Rose, M. K. (2021). Are self-constructed and student-generated arguments acceptable proofs? Pre-service secondary mathematics teachers’ evaluations. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2021.100912>
- *Kong, C. M. (2003). Mastery of Mathematical Induction among Junior College Students. *The Mathematics Educator*, 7(2), 37–54.
- *Lange, M. (2009). Why proofs by mathematical induction are generally not explanatory. *Analysis*, 69(2), 203–211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/analys/anp002>
- *Leron, U., & Zazkis, R. (1986). Computational recursion and mathematical induction. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 6(2), 25–28.
- *Lestyanto, L. M., Rahmadani, D., Asmianto, A., & Cahyowati, E. T. D. (2022). The Factors Influencing Students’ Proof Comprehension on Mathematical Induction. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 656, 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.220403.018>
- *Lowenthal, F., & Eisenberg, T. (1992). Mathematical induction in school: An illusion of rigor? *School Science and Mathematics*, 92(5), 233–238. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1992.tb15580.x>
- Maher, C. A., & Martino, A. M. (2000). From patterns to theories: Conditions for conceptual change. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 19(2), 247–271. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-3123\(00\)00047-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-3123(00)00047-X)
- Malcom, P. S. (1974). The Well-Ordering Property as an Alternative to Mathematical Induction. *School Science and Mathematics*, 74(4), 277–279. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1974.tb13675.x>
- *McAndrew, A. (2009). Mathematical induction, difference equations and divisibility. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 40(8), 1013–1025. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207390903121743>

*Michaelson (2008) Michaelson, M. T. (2008). A literature review of pedagogical research on mathematical induction. *Australian Senior Mathematics Journal*, 22(2), 57–62.

Moore, R. C. (2016). Mathematics professors' evaluation of students' proofs: A complex teaching practice. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, 2, 246–278. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40753-016-0029-y>

*Movshovitz-Hadar, N. (1993a). The false coin problem, mathematical induction and knowledge fragility. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 12(3), 253–268.

*Movshovitz-Hadar, N. (1993b). Mathematical induction: A focus on the conceptual framework. *School Science and Mathematics*, 93, 408–408. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1993.tb12271.x>

Norton, A., & Arnold, R. (2019), Meeting the cognitive demands of proof by induction: the case of Ben. In S. Otten, A. G. Candela, Z. de Araujo, C. Haines, & C. Munter (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 41st annual meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (pp. 887–891). University of Missouri.

*Norton, A., Arnold, R., Kokushkin, V., & Tiraphatna, M. (2023). Addressing the cognitive gap in mathematical induction. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, 9(2), 295–321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40753-022-00163-2>

Ozgur, Z., Ellis, A. B., Vinsonhaler, R., Dogan, M. F., & Knuth, E. (2019). From examples to proof: Purposes, strategies, and affordances of example use. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 53, 284–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.03.004>

*Palla, M., Potari, D., & Spyrou, P. (2012). Secondary school students' understanding of mathematical induction: Structural characteristics and the process of proof construction. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 10, 1023–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-011-9311-2>

Pedemonte, B. (2007). How can the relationship between argumentation and proof be analysed?. *Educational studies in mathematics*, 66(1), 23–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-006-9057-x>

Pedemonte, B., & Buchbinder, O. (2011). Examining the role of examples in proving processes through a cognitive lens: the case of triangular numbers. *ZDM*, 43, 257–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-011-0311-z>

*Polycarpou, I. (2006). Computer science students' difficulties with proofs by induction: an exploratory study. In R. Menezes (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 44th annual Southeast regional conference* (pp. 601–606). The Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1185448.1185579>

Pratt, N., & Back, J. (2009). Spaces to discuss mathematics: communities of practice on an online discussion board. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 11(2), 115–130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14794800903063323>

*Reid, D. A. (1992). *Mathematical induction: An epistemological study with consequences for teaching* [Unpublished master thesis]. Concordia University.

Reid, D. A. (2001). Elements in accepting an explanation. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 20(4), 527–547. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-3123\(02\)00090-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-3123(02)00090-1)

*Relaford-Doyle, J., & Núñez, R. (2021). Characterizing students' conceptual difficulties with mathematical induction using visual proofs. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, 7(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40753-020-00119-4>

*Rips, L. J., & Asmuth, J. (2007). Mathematical induction and induction in mathematics. In A. Feeney & E. Heit (Eds.), *Inductive Reasoning: Experimental, Developmental, and Computational Approaches* (pp. 248–268). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511619304.011>

Rips, L. J., Bloomfield, A., & Asmuth, J. (2008). From numerical concepts to concepts of number. *Behavioral and brain sciences*, 31(6), 623–642. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X08005566>

*Ron, G., & Dreyfus, T. (2004). The Use of Models in Teaching Proof by Mathematical Induction. In M. J. Høines, & A. B. Fuglestad (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 28th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 4, pp. 113–120). Bergen University College.

*da Rosa, S., & Chmiel, A. (2012). A Study about Students' Knowledge of Inductive Structures. In *Proceedings of The 24th Annual Psychology of Programming Interest Group Workshop*, 1–7. PPIG.

Saxe, G. B., & Farid, A. M. (2022). The interplay between individual and collective activity: An analysis of classroom discussions about the Sierpinski Triangle. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40753-021-00151-y>

*Segal, J. (1998). Learners' difficulties with induction proofs. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 29(2), 159–177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739980290201>

*Sfard, A. (1988). Operational vs structural method of teaching mathematics – a case study. In A. Borbás (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 12th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 2, pp. 560–567). Ferenc Genzwein.

*Sharif-Rasslan, A., & Rasslan, S. (2015). A Mathematician's Influence on Students' Perceptions–The Case of Mathematical Induction. *International Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 2(1), 1–10.

Smith, J. C. (2006). A sense-making approach to proof: Strategies of students in traditional and problem-based number theory courses. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 25(1), 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2005.11.005>

*Smith, L. (2003). Children's reasoning by mathematical induction: normative facts, not just causal facts. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 39(7), 719–742. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2004.10.004>

Stylianides, A. J. (2007). Proof and proving in school mathematics. *Journal for research in Mathematics Education*, 38(3), 289–321. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30034869>

*Stylianides, G. J., Sandefur, J., & Watson, A. (2016). Conditions for proving by mathematical induction to be explanatory. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 43, 20–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2016.04.002>

*Stylianides, G. J., Stylianides, A. J., & Philippou, G. N. (2007). Preservice teachers' knowledge of proof by mathematical induction. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 10, 145–166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-007-9034-z>

Stylianides, G. J., Stylianides, A. J., & Weber, K. (2017). Research on the teaching and learning of proof: Taking stock and moving forward. In J. Cai (Ed.), *Compendium for research in mathematics education* (pp. 237–266). National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM).

Tabach, M., Barkai, R., Tsamir, P., Tirosh, D., Dreyfus, T., & Levenson, E. (2010). Verbal justification – is it a proof? Secondary school teachers' perceptions. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 8, 1071–1090. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-010-9230-7>

*Tay, E. G., & Toh, P. C. (2014). Learning Mathematical Induction through Experiencing Authentic Problem Solving. In P. C. Toh, T. L. Toh, & B. Kaur (Eds.), *Learning Experiences To Promote Mathematics Learning: Yearbook 2014 Association of Mathematics Educators* (pp. 209–226). https://doi.org/10.1142/9789814612920_0011

Telloni, A. I. (2022). Roleplaying to develop students' awareness and robust learning of advanced mathematical concepts. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2022.2077852>

*Telloni, A. I., & Malara, N. A. (2021). A constructive and metacognitive teaching path at university level on the Principle of Mathematical Induction: focus on the students' behaviours, productions and awareness. *Teaching Mathematics and Computer Science*, 19(1), 133–161. <https://doi.org/10.5485/TMCS.2021.0525>

Valenta, A., & Enge, O. (2022). Teaching practices promoting meta-level learning in work on exploration-requiring proving tasks. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2022.100997>

*Vinner, S. (1976). Implicit Axioms, ω -Rule and the Axiom of Induction in High School Mathematics. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 83(7), 561–566. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2319359>

Wistedt, I., & Brattström, G. (2005). Understanding mathematical induction in a cooperative setting: Merits and limitations of classroom communication amongst peers. In A. Chronaki & I. M. Christiansen (Eds.), *Challenging perspectives on mathematics classroom communication* (pp. 173–203). Information Age.

Yee, S. P., Boyle, J. D., Ko, Y. Y. W., & Bleiler-Baxter, S. K. (2018). Effects of constructing, critiquing, and revising arguments within university classrooms. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 49, 145–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.11.009>