

Landscape-level naturalness of conservation easements in a mixed-use matrix

Landscape Ecology

Nakisha Fouch^{1*}, Robert F. Baldwin², Patrick Gerard³, Caitlin Dyckman⁴, David M. Theobald⁵

^{1*}Department of Forestry and Environmental Conservation, Clemson University
nfouch@clemson.edu

²Department of Forestry and Environmental Conservation, Clemson University

³Department of Mathematical Sciences, Clemson University

⁴Department of City Planning and Real Estate Development, Clemson University

⁵Conservation Science Partners

1 – Low HM	2 – Moderate HM	3 – High HM	4 – Very High HM	<i>Categorical Levels of Human Modification Theobald (2003)</i>
0	0.2	0.5	1	1 - Low HM - wilderness (< 0.1)
0.2	0	0.3	0.7	2 - Moderate HM - fairly remote state parks, forests, some smaller, more isolated agriculture (0.1-0.4)
0.5	0.3	0	0.3	3 - High HM - agricultural areas, less remote forest typically nearing major roads, small towns, higher populations (0.4-0.7)
1	0.7	0.3	0	4 - Very High HM - near major roads, towns, urban areas, high population (>0.7)

Online Resource 4 Edge contrast weights table used in FRAGSTATS edge contrast analysis (ECON) built based on HM categories defined in the multinomial linear regression analysis and Theobald (2003)