

Supplementary Information 4. Citizens Jury Checklist

Checklist Item	Question	Checklist Responses		
		Yes	No	Reason
Planning	Was the stakeholder committee's role clearly described?		X	This was an exploratory deliberative research project in the field of applied ethics. Because the process focussed on speculated futures without immediate and direct policy relevance, there was no independent steering committee.
	Was the selection of experts (who was chosen and why) adequately described?	X		
	Were the experts roles clearly defined?	X		
	Was the Jury "charge" or instruction clearly described?	X		
Jurors	Was the study recruitment strategy clearly described?	X		
	Were inclusion/exclusion criteria reported?	X		
	Was the type of participant/juror described (unaffected public, affected public)?	X		
	Were the demographics of the jurors reported (age, gender, education, attainment)?	X		
	Was the number of jurors reported?	X		
Procedure	Was the role and experience of the facilitator described (e.g. impartial, informed, member of research team, independent)?	X		
	Were materials provided to the jurors adequately described and accessible?	X		
	Was the expert cross-examination opportunities described?	X		
	Was the jury outcome reported?	X		
Scheduling	Was the schedule of events (meeting frequency, timing of deliberations) described?	X		
	Was the number of presenters and their topics described?	X		
	Are the expert presentations available?	X		