

Appendix 1

Selected Mathematics Education Journals

Journal for Research in Mathematics Education

International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education

Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education

Educational Studies in Mathematics

ZDM-Mathematics Education

Mathematical Thinking and Learning

Journal of Mathematical Behavior

For the Learning of Mathematics

Mathematics Education Research Journal

School Science and Mathematics

The Mathematics Educator

Research in Mathematics Education

International Journal of Math Education in Science and Technology

Appendix 2

Coding schemes for the review of international comparative studies in mathematics education

Table 1: Coding scheme for basic information

Category	Codes	Short description
Number	-	Articles in alphabetical order (from 001 to XXX)
Year	-	Year the article was published
Methods	1. Qualitative 2. Quantitative 3. Mixed 4. Theoretical	Non-numerical data is used, small number of participants Numerical data is used, large number of participants Both qualitative and quantitative methods are employed Non-empirical studies
Data collection year	1. Before 2020 2. After 2020	Not include 2020 Include 2020
School Year	1. Preschool school 2. Primary school 3. Junior secondary 4. Senior secondary 5. University 6. Others	Before Grade 1 (e.g., kindergarten) Grade 1-6 Grade 7-9 Grade 10-12 No schooling stage information could be identified (e.g. teacher education)
Geographic Location 1- continent	1. Within continent 2. Cross-continent	All participants (countries) were from a same continent All participants (countries) were from at least two different continents
Geographic Location 2- countries (number of countries)	1. 2 countries 2. 3 countries 4. 4 countries 4. 5 countries 5. ≥ 6 countries	List all the countries in another column and the frequency of the countries will be counted and compared.

Main theme	1. Curriculum/textbook	Topic for comparison is curriculum or textbooks (including policies related to curriculum and textbooks).
	2. Classroom practice	Topic for comparison is teaching or classroom practice, or lessons.
	3. Student learning	Topic for comparison is students' mathematics learning related issues such as achievement, affective variables, or learning approaches.
	4. Teacher education	Topic for comparison is preparation or attributes of mathematics teacher, such as knowledge and beliefs or mathematics teacher education policies/curriculum/programs.

Appendix 3

References marked with * in the text.

- Akveld, M., Cáceres, L., Crawford, D., & Henao, F. (2022). The perceived impact of mathematics competitions on teachers and their classrooms in Puerto Rico, Switzerland and the UK. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, 54(5), 1009–1025. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-022-01414-x>
- Andrews, P., & Diego-Mantecón, J. (2015). Instrument adaptation in cross-cultural studies of students' mathematics-related beliefs: Learning from healthcare research. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 45(4), 545–567. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2014.884346>
- Artigue, M., Knip, C., Novotná, J., & Specht, B. (2023). Language as a resource for teachers describing mathematics classroom teaching and learning: a comparative approach. *ZDM–Mathematics Education*, 55(3), 597–610. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-023-01476-5>
- Avcu, R. (2018). A comparison of mathematical features of Turkish and American textbook definitions regarding special quadrilaterals. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 50(4), 577–602. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2018.1529338>
- Bergwall, A. (2019). Proof-related reasoning in upper secondary school: characteristics of Swedish and Finnish textbooks. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 52(5), 731–751. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2019.1704085>
- Blömeke, S., Thiel, O., & Jenßen, L. (2019). Before, during, and after examination: Development of prospective preschool teachers' mathematics-related enjoyment and self-efficacy. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 63(4), 506–519. <http://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2017.1402368>
- Braeken, J., & Blömeke, S. (2016). Comparing future teachers' beliefs across countries: Approximate measurement invariance with Bayesian elastic constraints for local item dependence and differential item functioning. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 41(5), 733–749. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2016.1161005>
- Buhl-Wiggers, J., Jones, S., & Thornton, R. (2021). Boys lagging behind: Unpacking gender differences in academic achievement across East Africa. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 83, 102382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102382>
- Bümen, N. T., & Holmqvist, M. (2022). Teachers' sense-making and adapting of the national curriculum: A multiple case study in Turkish and Swedish contexts. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 54(6), 832–851. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2022.2121178>
- Cai, J., Ding, M., & Wang, T. (2014). How do exemplary Chinese and U.S. mathematics teachers view instructional coherence? *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 85(2), 265–280. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-013-9513-3>
- Cai, J., Jiang, C. (2017). An Analysis of Problem-Posing Tasks in Chinese and US Elementary Mathematics Textbooks. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 15(8), 1521–1540. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-016-9758-2>
- Carnoy, M., Ngware, M., & Oketch, M. (2015). The role of classroom resources and national educational context in student learning gains: Comparing Botswana, Kenya, and South Africa. *Comparative Education Review*, 59(2), 199–233. <https://doi.org/10.1086/680173>

- Chang, Y.-P., Krawitz, J., Schukajlow, S., & Yang, K.-L. (2020). Comparing German and Taiwanese secondary school students' knowledge in solving mathematical modelling tasks requiring their assumptions. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, 52(1), 59–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-019-01090-4>
- Chen, X., & Lu, L. (2022). How classroom management and instructional clarity relate to students' academic emotions in Hong Kong and England: A multi-group analysis based on the control-value theory. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 98, 102183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2022.102183>
- Clivaz, S., & Miyakawa, T. (2020). The effects of culture on mathematics lessons: an international comparative study of a collaboratively designed lesson. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 105(1), 53–70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-020-09980-1>
- Condon, L., Koljonen, T., Remillard, J. T., Krzywacki, H., & Van Steenbrugge, H. (2023). Navigating multiple languages and meanings in cross-cultural research on teachers' resource use. *ZDM–Mathematics Education*, 55(3), 565–577. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-022-01466-z>
- Di Martino, P., Gregorio, F., & Iannone, P. (2023). The transition from school to university mathematics in different contexts: Affective and sociocultural issues in students' crisis. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 113(1), 79–106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-022-10179-9>
- Ding, M. (2016). Opportunities to Learn: Inverse Relations in U.S. and Chinese Textbooks. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 18(1), 45–68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2016.1107819>
- Ding, M., Chen, W., & Hassler, R. S. (2019). Linear quantity models in US and Chinese elementary mathematics classrooms. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 21(2), 105–130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2019.1570834>
- Ding, M., Li, X., Manfredonia, M. L., & Luo, W. (2023). US and Chinese elementary teachers' noticing of cross-cultural mathematics videos. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 26(2), 211–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-021-09526-z>
- Dreher, A., Kuntze, S., & Lerman, S. (2016). Why use multiple representations in the mathematics classroom? Views of English and German preservice teachers. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14, 363–382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-015-9633-6>
- Funderud, T., Mononen, R., Radišić, J., & Laine, A. (2019). A comparative study of variations in arithmetic fluency between Norwegian and Finnish third graders. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 34(5), 572–585. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2018.1560618>
- Gökçe, S., Berberoğlu, G., Wells, C. S., & Sireci, S. G. (2021). Linguistic distance and translation differential item functioning on trends in international mathematics and science study mathematics assessment items. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 39(6), 728–745. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07342829211010537>
- Hankeln, C. (2020). Mathematical modelling in Germany and France: A comparison of students' modelling processes. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 103(2), 209–229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-019-09931-5>
- Helliwell, T., & Ng, O.-L. (2022). Imagining possibilities: Innovating mathematics (teacher) education for sustainable futures. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 24(2), 128–149. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2022.207>
- Hemmi, K., & Ryve, A. (2015). Effective mathematics teaching in Finnish and Swedish teacher education discourses. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 18, 501–521. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-014-9293-4>

- Hemmi, K., Bråting, K., & Lepik, M. (2020). Curricular approaches to algebra in Estonia, Finland and Sweden – a comparative study. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 23(1), 49–71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2020.1740857>
- Hermann, Z., & Kopasz, M. (2021). Educational policies and the gender gap in test scores: a cross-country analysis. *Research Papers in Education*, 36(4), 461–482. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2019.1678065>
- Hong, D. S., & Choi, K. M. (2018). A comparative analysis of linear functions in Korean and American standards-based secondary textbooks. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 49(7), 1025–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2018.1440327>
- Hong, D. S., Choi, K. M. (2018). Runnalls, C. et al. Do textbooks address known learning challenges in area measurement? A comparative analysis. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 30, 325–354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-018-0238-6>
- Hsieh, W.-Y., & McCollum, J. A. (2019). Teachers' perceptions of early math concepts learned from unit blocks: A cross-cultural comparison. *Early Child Development and Care*, 189(12), 1954–1969. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2018.1423562>
- Hu, X., Leung, F. K., & Teng, Y. (2018). The influence of culture on students' mathematics achievement across 51 countries. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16, 7–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-018-9899-6>
- Huang, L., Doorman, M., & van Joolingen, W. (2021). Inquiry-based learning practices in lower-secondary mathematics education reported by students from China and the Netherlands. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 19(7), 1505–1521. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-020-10122-5>
- Ivanova, A., Kardanova, E., Merrell, C., Tymms, P., & Hawker, D. (2018). Checking the possibility of equating a mathematics assessment between Russia, Scotland and England for children starting school. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 25(2), 141–159. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2016.1231110>
- Jäder, J., Lithner, J., & Sidenvall, J. (2019). Mathematical problem solving in textbooks from twelve countries. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 51(7), 1120–1136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2019.1656826>
- Jerrim, J., & Choi, Á. (2014). The mathematics skills of school children: how does England compare to the high-performing East Asian jurisdictions? *Journal of Education Policy*, 29(3), 349–376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2013.831950>
- Jiang, C., Hwang, S., & Cai, J. (2014). Chinese and Singaporean sixth-grade students' strategies for solving problems about speed. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 87(1), 27–50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-014-9559-x>
- Káčovský, P., Jedličková, T., Kuba, R., Snětinová, M., Surynková, P., Vrhel, M., & Urválková, E. S. (2021). Lower secondary intended curricula of science subjects and mathematics: a comparison of the Czech Republic, Estonia, Poland and Slovenia. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 54(3), 384–405. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2021.1978557>
- Kar, T., Güler, G., Şen, C., & Özdemir, E. (2017). Comparing the development of the multiplication of fractions in Turkish and American textbooks. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 49(2), 200–226. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2017.1355993>
- Ker, H. W. (2016). The impacts of student-, teacher-and school-level factors on mathematics achievement: an exploratory comparative investigation of Singaporean students and the USA students. *Educational Psychology*, 36(2), 254–276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2015.1026801>

- Kim, J., & Pang, J. (2018). A comparative analysis of division in elementary mathematics textbooks in Korea and Japan. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(3), 811–822. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/80946>
- Kleickmann, T., Richter, D., Kunter, M., Elsner, J., Besser, M., Krauss, S., Cheo, M., & Baumert, J. (2015). Content knowledge and pedagogical content knowledge in Taiwanese and German mathematics teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 46, 115–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2014.11.004>
- Kyriakides, L., Georgiou, M. P., Creemers, B. P. M., Panayiotou, A., & Reynolds, D. (2018). The impact of national educational policies on student achievement: A European study. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 29(2), 171–203. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09243453.2017.1398761>
- Lee, J. (2021). Teacher–student relationships and academic achievement in Confucian educational countries/systems from PISA 2012 perspectives. *Educational Psychology*, 41(6), 764–785. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2021.1919864>
- Li, W., & Konstantopoulos, S. (2016). Class Size Effects on Fourth-Grade Mathematics Achievement: Evidence From TIMSS 2011. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 9(4), 503–530. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19345747.2015.1105893>
- Li, X., Chi, L., DeBey, M., & Baroody, A. J. (2015). A Study of Early Childhood Mathematics Teaching in the United States and China. *Early Education and Development*, 26(3), 450–478. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2015.994464>
- Marcinek, T., Jakobsen, A., & Partová, E. (2023). Using MKT measures for cross-national comparisons of teacher knowledge: Case of Slovakia and Norway. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 26(3), 303–333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-021-09530-3>
- Marks, G. N., & Pokropek, A. (2019). Family income effects on mathematics achievement: Their relative magnitude and causal pathways. *Oxford Review of Education*, 45(6), 769–785. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2019.1620717>
- Mejía-Rodríguez, A. M., & Kyriakides, L. (2023). Searching for the impact of national culture dimensions on student achievement: implications for educational effectiveness research. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 34(2), 226–246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09243453.2023.2171068>
- Mesiti, C., Artigue, M., Grau, V., & Novotná, J. (2022). Towards an international lexicon. *ZDM–Mathematics Education*, 54(2), 239–255. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-022-01349-3>
- Miao, Z., Reynolds, D., Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2015). Comparing performance: a cross-national investigation into the teaching of mathematics in primary classrooms in England and China. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 35(3), 392–403. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2015.1056593>
- Nicoladis, E., Li, F., & Ma, J. (2022). Chinese children show sensitivity to transparency in number gestures earlier than Canadian children. *Cognitive Development*, 62, 101191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogdev.2022.101191>
- Niehues, W., Selcuk, B., & Kisbu-Sakarya, Y. (2023). Parental Predictors of Children’s Math Learning Behaviours in Different Cultures. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 32(11), 3554–3567. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-022-02501-z>
- Norton, A., Wilkins, J. L. M., & Xu, C. Z. (2018). Brief Report: A Progression of Fraction Schemes Common to Chinese and U.S. Students. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 49(2), 210–226. <https://doi.org/10.5951/jresmetheduc.49.2.0210>

- Norton, S., & Zhang, Q. (2018). Primary mathematics teacher education in Australia and China: What might we learn from each other? *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 21(3), 263–285. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-016-9359-6>
- Novotná, J., Gosztonyi, K., Hošpesová, A., Fried, K., Moraová, H., & Gordon Györi, J. (2021). Mathematics teacher education in the Czech Republic and Hungary: Commonalities and differences. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, 53(7), 1541–1553. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01278-7>
- Planas, N., Adler, J., & Mwadzaangati, L. (2023). What is mathematics teaching talk for? A response based on three sites of practice in mathematics education. *ZDM–Mathematics Education*, 55(3), 521–534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-022-01452-5>
- Rasmussen, J., & Bayer, M. (2014). Comparative study of teaching content in teacher education programmes in Canada, Denmark, Finland and Singapore. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 46(6), 798–818. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2014.927530>
- Remillard, J. T., Van Steenbrugge, H., Machalow, R., Koljonen, T., Krzywacki, H., Condon, L., & Hemmi, K. (2021). Elementary teachers’ reflections on their use of digital instructional resources in four educational contexts: Belgium, Finland, Sweden, and U.S. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, 53(6), 1331–1345. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01295-6>
- Revina, S., & Leung, F. K. S. (2019). How the same flowers grow in different soils? The implementation of realistic mathematics education in Utrecht and Jakarta classrooms. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 17, 565–589. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-018-9883-1>
- Richland, L. E. (2015). Linking gestures: Cross-cultural variation during instructional analogies. *Cognition and Instruction*, 33(4), 295–321. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07370008.2015.1091459>
- Sandefur, J., Lockwood, E., Hart, E. et al. (2022). Teaching and learning discrete mathematics. *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, 54(4), 753–775. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-022-01399-7>
- Schmidt, W. H., Burroughs, N. A., Cogan, L. S., & Houang, R. T. (2017). The role of subject-matter content in teacher preparation: An international perspective for mathematics. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 49(2), 111–131. doi:10.1080/00220272.2016.1153153
- Sevgi, S., Berberoglu, G., Cobb, P., & Smith, T. M. (2022). A cross-cultural comparison of the self-efficacy of middle-school mathematics teachers across Turkey and the United States. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 53(8), 2065–2082. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2020.1861348>
- Shayshon, B., Gal, H., Tesler, B., & Ko, E.-S. (2014). Teaching mathematically talented students: A cross-cultural study about their teachers’ views. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 87(3), 409–438. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-014-9568-9>
- Shin, J., & Lee, S. J. (2018). The alignment of student fraction learning with textbooks in Korea and the United States. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 51, 129–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.11.005>
- Simsek, E., Jones, I., Hunter, J., & Xenidou-Dervou, I. (2021). Mathematical equivalence assessment: Measurement invariance across six countries. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 70, 101046. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.101046>
- Solis, D., & Isoda, M. (2022). Comparing elementary school textbooks of China, Japan, and Malaysia: a praxeological and developmental progression analysis regarding length measurement. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 25(3), 359–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2022.2103022>

- Sunde, P. B., Petersson, J., Nosrati, M., Rosenqvist, E., & Andrews, P. (2021). Estimation in the mathematics curricula of Denmark, Norway and Sweden: Inadequate conceptualisations of an essential competence. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 66(4), 626–641. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2021.1897881>
- Takeuchi, H., Shinno, Y. (2020). Comparing the lower secondary textbooks of Japan and England: a praxeological analysis of symmetry and transformations in Geometry. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 18(4), 791–810. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-019-09982-3>
- Thompson, P. W., Hatfield, N. J., Yoon, H., Joshua, S., & Byerley, C. (2017). Covariational reasoning among U.S. and South Korean secondary mathematics teachers. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 48, 95–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.08.001>
- Torbeyns, J., Schneider, M., Xin, Z., & Siegler, R. S. (2015). Bridging the gap: Fraction understanding is central to mathematics achievement in students from three different continents. *Learning and Instruction*, 37, 5–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2014.03.002>
- Tuohilampi, L., Laine, A., Hannula, M. S., & Varas, L. (2016). A comparative study of Finland and Chile: The culture-dependent significance of the individual and interindividual levels of the mathematics-related affect. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14(6), 1093–1111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-015-9639-0>
- Ünal, Z. E., Powell, S. R., Özel, S., Scofield, J. E., & Geary, D. C. (2021). Mathematics vocabulary differentially predicts mathematics achievement in eighth grade higher-versus lower- achieving students: Comparisons across two countries. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 92, 102061. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2021.102061>
- Van Steenbrugge, H., & Remillard, J. T. (2023). The multimodality of lesson guides and the communication of social relations. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, 55(3), 579–595. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-023-01479-2>
- Wang, J., & Lu, X. (2018). Selection of content in high school mathematics textbooks: An international comparison. *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, 50, 813-826. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-018-0977-6>
- Wang, Y., Barmby, P., & Bolden, D. (2017). In which ways and to what extent do English and Shanghai students understand linear function? *Research in Mathematics Education*, 19(1), 66–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2017.1287001>
- Wang, Y., & Fan, L. (2021). Investigating students’ perceptions concerning textbook use in mathematics: a comparative study of secondary schools between Shanghai and England. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 53(5), 675–691. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2021.1941265>
- Watson, A., Ayalon, M., & Lerman, S. (2018). Comparison of students’ understanding of functions in classes following English and Israeli national curricula. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 97(3), 255–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-017-9798-8>
- Xenofontos, C., & Andrews, P. (2014). Defining mathematical problems and problem solving: Prospective primary teachers’ beliefs in Cyprus and England. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 26(2), 279–299. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-013-0098-z>
- Xu, L., & Clarke, D. (2019). Speaking or not speaking as a cultural practice: Analysis of mathematics classroom discourse in Shanghai, Seoul, and Melbourne. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 102, 127–146. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-019-09901-x>

- Xu, L., & Mesiti, C. (2022). Teacher orchestration of student responses to rich mathematics tasks in the US and Japanese classrooms. *ZDM–Mathematics Education*, 54(2), 273–286. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01322-6>
- Yang, D.C., & Lin, Y.C. (2015). Examining the differences of linear systems between Finnish and Taiwanese textbooks. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(6), 1265–1281. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1483a>
- Yang, D.C., & Sianturi, I. A. (2017). An Analysis of Singaporean versus Indonesian textbooks based on trigonometry content. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(7), 3829–3848. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00760a>
- Yang, X., Kaiser, G., König, J., & Blömeke, S. (2018). Measuring Chinese teacher professional competence: Adapting and validating a German framework in China. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 50(5), 638–653. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2018.1502810>
- Yang, X., Kaiser, G., König, J., & Blömeke, S. (2019). Professional noticing of mathematics teachers: A comparative study between Germany and China. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 17(5), 943–963. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-018-9907-x>
- Yang, X., Schwarz, B., & Leung, I. K. C. (2022). Pre-service mathematics teachers' professional modelling competencies: A comparative study between Germany, Mainland China, and Hong Kong. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 109(2), 409–429. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-021-10064-x>
- Yoon, H., & Thompson, P. W. (2020). Secondary teachers' meanings for function notation in the United States and South Korea. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 60, 100804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2020.100804>
- Yuan, Z., Tan, J., & Ye, R. (2023). A cross-national study of mathematics anxiety. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 32(3), 295–306. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-022-00652-7>
- Zhang, S., Cao, Y., Chan, M. C. E., & Wan, M. E. V. (2022). A comparison of meaning negotiation during collaborative problem solving in mathematics between students in China and Australia. *ZDM–Mathematics Education*, 54(2), 287–302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-022-01335-9>