

References

- Aaron, W. R., & Herbst, P. G. (2019). The teacher's perspective on the separation between conjecturing and proving in high school geometry classrooms. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 22, 231-256. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-017-9392-0>
- Adelabu, F.M., Makgato, M., & Ramaligela, M.S. (2019). Enhancing learners' geometric thinking using dynamic geometry computer software. *Journal of Technical Education and Training*, 11(1), 44-53. <https://doi.org/10.30880/jtet.2019.11.01.006>
- Adelabu, F. M., Marange, I. Y., & Alex, J. (2022). GeoGebra Software to Teach and Learn Circle Geometry: Academic Achievement of Grade 11 Students. *Mathematics Teaching Research Journal*, 14(3), 2-16.
- Akyuz, D. (2016). Mathematical practices in a technological setting: A design research experiment for teaching circle properties. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14, 549-573. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-014-9588-z>
- Albano, G. Iacono, U. D., & Mariotti, M. A. (2019). A computer-based environment for argumenting and proving in geometry. *CERME 11*, 729-836.
- Alqahtani, M. M., & Powell, A. B. (2016). Instrumental appropriation of a collaborative, dynamic-geometry environment and geometrical understanding. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, 4(2), 72-83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-016-0025-5>
- Alqahtani, M.M., Powell, A.B. (2017a). Teachers' instrumental genesis and their geometrical understanding in a dynamic geometry environment. *DEME* 3, 9–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-016-0025-5>
- Alqahtani, M. M., & Powell, A. B. (2017b). Mediation activities in a dynamic geometry environment and teachers' specialized content knowledge. *JMB* 48, 77-94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.08.004>
- Andika, F., Pramudya, I., & Subanti, S. (2020). Problem Posing and Problem Solving with Scientific Approach in Geometry Learning. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching*, 7(4), 1635-1642.
- Anwar, L., Mali, A., & Goedhart, M. (2024). Formulating a conjecture through an identification of robust invariants with a dynamic geometry system. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 55(7), 1681-1703. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739x.2022.2144517>
- Arıcı, S., & Aslan-Tutak, F. (2015). The effect of origami-based instruction on spatial visualization, geometry achievement, and geometric reasoning. *Int J of Sci and Math Educ* 13, 179–200. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-013-9487-8>
- Arnal-Bailera, A. and Manero, V. (2023). Design of a questionnaire item to assess the acquisition of Van Hiele level 5 with regard to the definition process. *CERME 13*, 689-696.

- Baccaglioni-Frank, A., Antonini, S., & Leung, A. (2018). From Pseudo-Objects in Dynamic Explorations to Proof by Contradiction. *DEME* 4, 87–109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-018-0039-2>
- Bairral, M., & Arzarello, F. (2015). The use of hands and manipulation touchscreen in high school geometry classes. *CERME* 9, 2460-2466.
- Bhagat, K. K., & Chang, C. Y. (2015). Incorporating GeoGebra into Geometry learning-A lesson from India. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(1), 77-86. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1307a>
- Bernabeu, M., Moreno M. & Salvador, L. (2022). Preservice primary teachers' curricular reasoning when anticipating primary students' answers to geometrical figure classification tasks. *CERME* 12, 689-696.
- Birgin, O., & Topuz, F. (2021). Effect of the GeoGebra software-supported collaborative learning environment on seventh grade students' geometry achievement, retention and attitudes. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 114(5), 474-494. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2021.1983505>
- Bokosmaty, S., Sweller, J., & Kalyuga, S. (2015). Learning geometry problem solving by studying worked examples: Effects of learner guidance and expertise. *American Educational Research Journal*, 52(2), 307-333. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831214549450>
- Bozkurt, G., & Uygan, C. (2020). Lesson hiccups during the development of teaching schemes: a novice technology-using mathematics teacher's professional instrumental genesis of dynamic geometry. *ZDM*, 52, 1349-1363. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-020-01184-4>
- Bretsch, N. (2023). Conceptualising TPACK Within Mathematics Education: Teachers' Strategies for Capitalising on Transitions Within and Beyond Dynamic Geometry Software. *DEME*, 9, 232–254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-022-00115-0>
- Buchbinder, O., & Zaslavsky, O. (2019). Strengths and inconsistencies in students' understanding of the roles of examples in proving. *JMB*, 53, 129-147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2018.06.010>
- Buchbinder, O., & McCrone, S. (2023). Preparing prospective secondary teachers to teach mathematical reasoning and proof: the case of the role of examples in proving. *ZDM Mathematics Education*, 55, 779–792. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-023-01493-4>
- Cáceres, M., Nussbaum, M., Marroquín, M., Gleisner, S., & Marquínez, J. T. (2018). Building arguments: Key to collaborative scaffolding. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 26(3), 355-371. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2017.1333010>
- Camacho-Machin, M., Moreno, M. & Afonso, M. C. (2016). Prospective high school teachers' problem solving activities that foster the use of dynamic geometry software. *PME* 40, Vol. 2, 123-130.
- Canogullari, A., & Erbas, A. K. (2024). Students' use of dragging modalities in solving proportional problems supported with interactive simulations: a multiple case study with sixth and seventh-grade students. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2024.2312943>

- Cetintay, G., & Yilmaz, R. (2023). The effect of augmented reality technology on middle school students' mathematic academic achievement, self-regulated learning skills, and motivation. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 61(7), 1483-1504. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331231176022>
- Chan, K. K. (2015). Salient beliefs of secondary school mathematics teachers using dynamic geometry software. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(1), 139-148. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1312a>
- Chang, K. E., Wu, L. J., Lai, S. C., & Sung, Y. T. (2016). Using mobile devices to enhance the interactive learning for spatial geometry. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 24(4), 916-934. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2014.948458>
- Chang, H. S., Kim, J. Y., & Lee, B. (2022). Discourse analysis on understanding the differential concept of high school students in a dynamic geometry environment. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(7). <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/12152>
- Chartouny, M., Osta, I., & Abou Raad, N. (2019). A model of the instrumentation process in dynamic geometry. *CERME 11*, 769-786.
- Chesnais, A. (2021). Enhancing classroom discourse about measure to foster a conceptual understanding of geometrical practices. *ZDM*, 53(2), 337-357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01255-0>
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2017). *Research Methods in Education*. New York, NY: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315456539>
- Conner, A., Singletary, L. M., Smith, R. C., Wagner, P. A., & Francisco, R. T. (2014). Identifying kinds of reasoning in collective argumentation. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 16(3), 181-200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2014.921131>
- Coşkun, T.K., & Deniz, G.F. (2022). The contribution of 3D computer modeling education to twenty-first century skills: self-assessment of secondary school students. *Int J Technol Des Educ* 32, 1553–1581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-021-09660-y>
- De Freitas, E., Sinclair, N. (2020). Measurement as relational, intensive and analogical: Towards a minor mathematics. *JMB* 59, 100796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2020.100796>
- DeJarnette, A. F., & González, G. (2016). Thematic analysis of students' talk while solving a real-world problem in geometry. *Linguistics and Education*, 35, 37-49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2016.05.002>
- DeJarnette, A. F., González, G., Deal, J. T., & Lausell, S. L. R. (2016). Students' conceptions of reflection: Opportunities for making connections with perpendicular bisector. *JMB* 43, 35-52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2016.05.001>
- Dello Iacono, U. (2021). From Argumentation to Proof in Geometry Within a Collaborative Computer-Based Environment. *DEME* 7, 395–426. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-021-00090-y>

- Dibbs, R. & Beach, J. (2017). Transitioning from definitions to proof: Exploring non-Euclidean geometry in a college geometry course. *CERME 10*, 588-596.
- Dimmel, J.K., & Herbst, P.G. (2020). Proof transcription in high school geometry: a study of what teachers recognize as normative when students present proofs at the board. *ESM 105*, 71–89. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-020-09975-y>
- Dongwi, B., & Schäfer, M. (2019). The co-emergence of visualisation and reasoning in mathematical problem solving: an enactivist interpretation – *PME 43*, Vol. 2, 193-200.
- Erdem, E., & Man, S. (2023). Middle school mathematics teachers' concept definitions of geometric objects: Concept definitions of geometric objects. *International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning*, 24(2), 20-37. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4256/ijmtl.v24i2.404>
- Fernández-Enríquez, R., & Delgado-Martín, L. (2020). Augmented reality as a didactic resource for teaching mathematics. *Applied Sciences*, 10(7), 2560. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10072560>
- Fitri, N. L., & Prahmana, R. C. I. (2020). Designing Learning Trajectory of Circle Using the Context of Ferris Wheel. *Journal of Research and Advances in Mathematics Education*, 5(3), 247-261. <https://doi.org/10.23917/jramathedu.v5i3.10961>
- Fujita, T., Doney, J. & Wegerif, R. (2019). Students' collaborative decision-making processes in defining and classifying quadrilaterals: a semiotic/dialogic approach. *Educ Stud Math*, 101, 341–356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-019-09892-9>
- Fujita, T., Kondo, Y., Kumakura, H., Kunimune, S. (2017), Students' geometric thinking with cube representations: Assessment framework and empirical evidence. *JMB 46*, 96-111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.03.003>
- Fujita, T., Kondo, Y., Kumakura, H. et al. (2020). Spatial reasoning skills about 2D representations of 3D geometrical shapes in grades 4 to 9. *Math Ed Res J* 32, 235–255. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-020-00335-w>
- Gómez-Chacón, I. M., & Kuzniak, A. (2015). Spaces for geometric work: figural, instrumental, and discursive geneses of reasoning in a technological environment. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 13, 201-226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-013-9462-4>
- Gülburnu, M. (2022). Secondary school students' views on geometry teaching via three-dimensional dynamic geometry software Cabri 3D: Solid Volume Measurement. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction*, 14(1), 1088-1105.
- Gulkilik, H. (2023). Analyzing preservice secondary mathematics teachers' prompts in dynamic geometry environment tasks. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(1), 22-37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1758729>
- Haj, A., Hershkowitz, J. R., & Dreyfus, T. (2016). Impacts of students' difficulties in constructing geometric concepts on their proof's understanding and proving processes – *PME 40*, Vol. 2, 345-352.

- Haj-Yahya, A. (2022). Using theoretical and empirical background information to affect noticing of geometrical thinking. *ESM*, 111, 493–513. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-022-10176-y>
- Hannula, M., & Gaye, W. (2016). Silent gazing during geometry problem solving, insights from eye tracking. *PME* 40, Vol. 2, 353-360.
- Harel, R., Olsher, S., & Yerushalmy, M. (2024). Personal elaborated feedback design in support of students' conjecturing processes. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 26(1), 70-89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2022.2137571>
- Harper, F. K., & Kudaisi, Q. J. (2023). Geometry, groceries, and gardens: Learning mathematics and social justice through a nested, equity-directed instructional approach. *JMB* 71, 101069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2023.101069>
- Harris, D., Logan, T., & Lowrie, T. (2023). Spatial visualization and measurement of area: A case study in spatialized mathematics instruction. *JMB* 70, 101038. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2023.101038>
- Hassan, M.N., Abdullah, A.H., & Ismail, N. (2023). Rethinking strategy on developing students' levels of geometric thinking in Sokoto state. *Nigeria International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 12(1), 444-450. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v12i1.23531>
- Herbst, P., Ko, I., & Milewski, A. (2020). A heuristic approach to assess change in mathematical knowledge for teaching geometry after a practice-based professional learning intervention. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 22(2), 188-208. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2019.1704851>
- Hollebrands, K. F., & Lee, H. S. (2016). Characterizing questions and their focus when pre-service teachers implement dynamic geometry tasks. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 43, 148-164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2016.07.004>
- Hollebrands, K., & Okumus, S. (2017). Prospective Mathematics Teachers' Processes for Solving Optimization Problems Using Cabri 3D. *DEME* 3, 206–232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-017-0033-0>
- Hollebrands, K., & Okumus, S. (2018). Secondary mathematics teachers' instrumental integration in technology-rich geometry classrooms. *The JMB* 49, 82-94. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.10.003>
- Hollebrands, K., McCulloch, A.W. & Okumus, S. (2021). High School Students' Use of Technology to Make Sense of Functions Within the Context of Geometric Transformations. *DEME* 7, 247–275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-021-00085-9>
- İlgün, S., Dastan, Z., & Altintas, E. (2023). The Impact of Using Model-Based Activities Based on the History of Mathematics in Geometry Instruction on Students' Geometry Anxiety. *Educational Policy Analysis and Strategic Research*, 18(4), 292-309. <https://doi.org/10.29329/epasr.2023.631.12>

- İmamoğlu, Y., Özcan, Z., Pesen, M., Akbal, S., Erktin, E. (2023). Preservice teachers' perceptions on the role of the geometric working spaces model in learning and teaching geometry. *CERME 13*, 749–756.
- Kemp, A. & Vidakovic, D. (2023). Students' understanding and development of the definition of circle in Taxicab and Euclidean geometries: an APOS perspective with schema interaction. *ESM 112*, 567–588. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-022-10180-2>
- Koichu, B., & Leron, U. (2015). Proving as problem solving: The role of cognitive decoupling. *JMB 40*, 233-244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2015.10.005>
- Komatsu, K., & Jones, K. (2017). Proofs and refutations in school mathematics: A task design in dynamic geometry environments. *CERME 10*, 187-194.
- Komatsu, K., & Jones, K. (2019). Task design principles for heuristic refutation in dynamic geometry environments. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 17(4), 801-824. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-018-9892-0>
- Komatsu, K., & Jones, K. (2020). Interplay between Paper-and-Pencil Activity and Dynamic-Geometry-Environment Use during Generalisation and Proving. *DEME 6*, 123–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-020-00067-3>
- Koparan, T., Dinar, H., Koparan, E. T., & Haldan, Z. S. (2023). Integrating augmented reality into mathematics teaching and learning and examining its effectiveness. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 47, 101245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101245>
- Koyuncu, I., Akyuz, D., & Cakiroglu, E. (2015). Investigating plane geometry problem-solving strategies of prospective mathematics teachers in technology and paper-and-pencil environments. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 13, 837-862. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-014-9510-8>
- Krajcevski, M., & Sears, R. (2019). Common visual representations as a source for misconceptions of preservice teachers in a geometry connection course. *International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning*, 20(1), 85-105. <https://doi.org/10.4256/ijmtl.v20i1.189>
- Krawitz, J., & Schukajlow, S (2021). A negative effect of the drawing strategy on problem solving: a question of quality? *PME 44*, Vol. 3, 154-162.
- Kumar, R., Srinivas, S., Bose, A., Rahaman, J., Thakur, S., Bapat, A. (2019). Examining knowledge demands for teaching class inclusion of quadrilaterals. *PME 43*, Vol. 2, 504-510.
- Lachmy, R., & Koichu, B. (2014). The interplay of empirical and deductive reasoning in proving “if” and “only if” statements in a dynamic geometry environment. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior* 36, 150-165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2014.07.002>
- Lai, Y., Lischka, A. E., Strayer, J. F., & Adamoah, K. (2023). Characterizing prospective secondary teachers' foundation and contingency knowledge for definitions of transformations. *JMB 70*, 101030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2022.101030>
- Lai, K., & White, T. (2014). How groups cooperate in a networked geometry learning environment. *Instructional Science*, 42(4), 615-637. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-013-9303-4>

- Lee, C. Y., & Chen, M. J. (2015). Effects of Polya questioning instruction for geometry reasoning in junior high school. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(6), 1547-1561. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1419a>
- Lingefjard, T., & Ghosh, J. B. (2019). The Euler line: Students' Exploration in a Dynamic Geometry Environment. *Electronic Journal of Mathematics & Technology*, 13(3).
- Luz, Y., & Yerushalmy, M. (2019). Students' conceptions through the lens of a dynamic online geometry assessment platform. *JMB* 54, 100682. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2018.12.001>
- Luz, Y., & Yerushalmy, M. (2023). Computer-supported assessment of geometric exploration using variation theory. *JMB* 54(2), 141-174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2018.12.001>
- Mamolo, A., Ruttenberg-Rozen, R. & Whiteley, W. (2015). Developing a network of and for geometric reasoning. *ZDM Mathematics Education* 47, 483–496. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-014-0654-3>
- Mariotti, M. A., Kónya, E., Kovács, Z. (2023). Conceptualising the regular pentagon in collaborative argumentation. *CERME13*. hal-04410964
- Mariotti, M.A. & Montone, A. (2020). The Potential Synergy of Digital and Manipulative Artefacts. *DEME* 6, 109–122 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-020-00064-6>
- Martinovic, D., Manizade, A. G. (2018). The challenges in the assessment of knowledge for teaching geometry. *ZDM*, 50, 613–629. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-018-0934-4>
- Mavani, D., Mavani, B., & Schäfer, M. (2018). A case study of two selected teachers as they integrated dynamic geometry software as a visualisation tool in teaching geometry. *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 22(3), 297-307 <https://doi.org/10.1080/18117295.2018.1522716>
- Miragliotta, E. (2019). Geometric prediction: proposing a theoretical construct to analyze students' thinking in geometrical problem-solving. *CERME 11*, 4565-4572.
- Miragliotta, E. (2023). Prediction in transition: Continuities and discontinuities moving from the Paper-and-Pencil to the Dynamic Geometry Environment. *DEME* 9, 89–130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-022-00119-w>
- Mithalal, J., Balacheff, N. (2019). The instrumental deconstruction as a link between drawing and geometrical figure. *ESM*, 100, 161–176. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-018-9862-z>
- Miyazaki, M., Fujita, T., Iwata, K., & Jones, K. (2024). Level-spanning proof-production strategies to enhance students' understanding of the proof structure in school mathematics. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 55(7), 1597-1618. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2022.2075288>
- Mullins, S. B. (2020). Angling for the Right Result: Students' Conceptualizations of Angles. *Journal of Research in Education*, 29(1), 1-47.
- Nagar, G.G., Hegedus, S. & Orrill, C.H. (2022a). Teachers' understanding of draggable geometric objects: Variance and invariance in a Dynamic Geometry Environment. *DEME* 8, 259–286 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-022-00106-1>

- Nagar, G.G., Hegedus, S. & Orrill, C.H. (2022b). High school mathematics teachers' discernment of invariant properties in a dynamic geometry environment. *ESM* 111, 127–145 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-022-10144-6>
- Ng, O., & Sinclair, N. (2015). “Area without numbers”: using touch-screen dynamic geometry to reason about shape. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education* 15(1), 84–101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14926156.2014.993048>
- Ng, O. L. (2019). Examining technology-mediated communication using a commognitive lens: The case of touchscreen-dragging in dynamic geometry environments. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 17(6), 1173-1193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-018-9910-2>
- Nirode, W., & Boyd, B. (2021). High school geometry textbooks' proving opportunities of common core theorems. *School Science and Mathematics*, 121(6), 345-356. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12487>
- Okazaki, M., & Watanabe, K. (2021). Enhancing the level of geometric thinking through learning the inscribed angle theorem. *PME* 44, Vol. 3, 420-430.
- Okumus, S., & Bozkurt, G. (2022). Prospective mathematics teachers' use of the angle tool in GeoGebra in a geometric construction task. *International Journal for Technology in Mathematics Education*, 29(2). https://doi.org/10.1564/tme_v29.2.02
- Okumus, S., & Hollebrands, K. (2017). Middle school students' employments of gestural signs: A case study. *CERME 10*. 3960-3967.
- Okumus, S., Hollebrands, K. (2019). Middle school students' employments of gestures for forming three dimensional objects using an extrusion or spinning method. *JMB*, 56, 100737. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2019.100737>
- Olsson, J., & Granberg, C. (2022). Teacher-student interaction supporting students' creative mathematical reasoning during problem solving using Scratch. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2022.2105567>
- Oner, D. (2016). Tracing the change in discourse in a collaborative dynamic geometry environment: From visual to more mathematical. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 11, 59-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11412-016-9227-5>
- Otten, S., Bleiler-Baxter, S. K., & Engledowl, C. (2017). Authority and whole-class proving in high school geometry: The case of Ms. Finley. *JMB* 46, 112-127. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.04.002>
- Otten, S., Males, L. M., & Gilbertson, N. J. (2014). The introduction of proof in secondary geometry textbooks. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 64, 107-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2013.08.006>
- Özçakir, B., & Çakiroğlu, E. (2019). Effects of dynamic geometry activities on seventh graders' achievement in area of quadrilaterals. *International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning*, 20(2), 257-271. <https://doi.org/10.4256/ijmtl.v20i2.212>
- Palatnik, A., & Abrahamson, D. (2022). Escape from Plato's cave: An enactivist argument for learning 3D geometry by constructing tangible models. *CERME 12*. 742-749.

- Pankajkumar, R. H., Österling, L. (2024). Developing an analytical tool for researching geometry discourse among pre-service teachers from India and Sweden. *CERME13*. 3461-3468. <https://hal.umontpellier.fr/hal-04430809>
- Pedemonte, B., & Balacheff, N. (2016). Establishing links between conceptions, argumentation and proof through the $\text{ck}\phi$ -enriched Toulmin model. *The JMB*, 41, 104-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2015.10.008>
- Pittalis, M. (2021). Extending the technology acceptance model to evaluate teachers' intention to use dynamic geometry software in geometry teaching. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 52(9), 1385-1404. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739x.2020.1766139>
- Pittalis & Christou (2013). Coding and decoding representations of 3D shapes. *JMB* 32, 673-689. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2013.08.004>
- Pittalis, M., & Drijvers, P. (2023). Embodied instrumentation in a dynamic geometry environment: eleven-year-old students' dragging schemes. *ESM* 113, 181–205. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-023-10222-3>
- Poon, K. K., & Leung, C. K. (2016). A study of geometric understanding via logical reasoning in Hong Kong. *International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning*, 17(3). <https://doi.org/10.4256/ijmtl.v17i3.26>
- Proulx, J. (2023). On students' ways of dealing with area and perimeter's relation. *CERME 13*. 789–796.
- Rellensmann, J., Schukajlow, S., Blomberg, J., & Leopold, C. (2023). Does strategic knowledge matter? Effects of strategic knowledge about drawing on students' modeling competencies in the domain of geometry. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 25(3), 296-316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2021.2012741>
- Rosenski, D., & Palatnik, A. (2022). Secondary students' experience using 3D pen in spatial. In J. Hodgen, E. Geraniou, G. Bolondi, & F. Ferretti (Eds.), *CERME 45*, 766-773.
- Šafhalter, A., Glodež, S., Šorgo, A., & PlojVrtič, M. (2022). Development of spatial thinking abilities in engineering 3D modeling course aimed at lower secondary students. *Int J Technol Des Educ*, 32, 167–184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-020-09597-8>
- Schwartz, G., & Karsenty, R. (2020). "Can This Happen Only in Japan?": Mathematics Teachers Reflect on a Videotaped Lesson in a Cross-Cultural Context. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 23(6), 527–554. <https://doi-org.prox.lib.ncsu.edu/10.1007/s10857-019-09438-z>
- Seago, N. M., Jacobs, J. K., Heck, D. J., Nelson, C. L., & Malzahn, K. A. (2014). Impacting teachers' understanding of geometric similarity: results from field testing of the Learning and Teaching Geometry professional development materials. *Professional Development in Education*, 40(4), 627-653. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2013.830144>
- Seah, R., & Horne, M. (2020). The influence of spatial reasoning on analysing about measurement situations. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 32, 365–386. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-020-00327-w>

- Sears, R., & Chávez, Ó. (2014). Opportunities to engage with proof: the nature of proof tasks in two geometry textbooks and its influence on enacted lessons. *ZDM*, 46, 767–780. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-014-0596-9>
- Simon, L. M., & Cox, D. C. (2019). The role of prototyping in mathematical design thinking. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 56, 100724. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2019.100724>
- Sinclair, N. (2008). *The History of the Geometry Curriculum in the United States*. Information Age Publishing.
- Sinclair, N., Bartolini Bussi, M. G., de Villiers, M., Jones, K., Kortenkamp, U., Leung, A., Owens, K. (2016). Recent research on geometry education: an ICME-13 survey team Report. *ZDM* 48. 691–719. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-016-0796-6>
- Sinclair, N., & Patterson, M. (2018). The dynamic geometrisation of computer programming. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 20(1), 54-74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2018.1403541>
- Singer, F.M., Voica, C. & Pelczer, I. (2017). Cognitive styles in posing geometry problems: implications for assessment of mathematical creativity. *ZDM*, 49, 37–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-016-0820-x>
- Soldano, C., & Arzarello, F. (2016). Learning with touchscreen devices: Game strategies to improve geometric thinking. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 28(1), 9–30. <https://doi-org.prox.lib.ncsu.edu/10.1007/s13394-015-0166-7>
- Soldano, C., & Sabena, C. (2022). Enhancing reflection on the critical attributes of the figures: the height challenge game. *PME* 45, Vol. 2, 11-18.
- Srinivas, S., Rahaman, J., Kumar, R., Bose, A. (2019). Analysing the role of language in students' conception of parallel lines. *PME* 43, Vol. 3, 327-334.
- Sua, C., Gutiérrez, A., & Jaime, A. (2022). Construction of an equilateral triangle in the plane and space: an analysis from the theory of semiotic mediation. *CERME 12*, 758-765.
- Sua, C., Gutiérrez, A., & Jaime, A. (2023). From 2D to 3D: Support of a 3-Dimensional dynamic geometry environment in learning proof. *PME* 46, Vol. 4, 243-250.
- Schwartz, G., & Karsenty, R. (2020). “Can This Happen Only in Japan?”: Mathematics Teachers Reflect on a Videotaped Lesson in a Cross-Cultural Context. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 23(6), 527–554. <https://doi-org.prox.lib.ncsu.edu/10.1007/s10857-019-09438-z>
- Tanguay, D., Venant, F. (2016). The semiotic and conceptual genesis of angle. *ZDM* 48, 875–894. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-016-0789-5>
- Tůmová, V. (2017). What influences grade 6 to 9 pupils' success in solving conceptual tasks on area and volume. *CERME 10*, 669-676.
- Turiano, F., & Boero, P. (2019). Beyond direct proof in the approach to the culture of theorems: a case study on 10-th grade students' difficulties and potential. *CERME 11*, 338-345.

- Uygun, C., & Bozkurt, G. (2021). Construction of cyclic quadrilateral: A pre-service teacher's journey from subsets to the generic concept through instrumentation of a dynamic technology. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 63, 100893. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2021.100893>
- Ulusoy, F. (2015). A meta-classification for students' selections of quadrilaterals: The case of trapezoid. *CERME 9*, 598-604.
- Ulusoy, F. (2016). The role of learners' example spaces in example generation and determination of two parallel and perpendicular line segments. *PME 40*, Vol. 4, 299–306.
- Ulusoy, F., & Çakiroglu, E. (2021). Exploring Prospective Teachers' Noticing of Students' Understanding through Micro-Case Videos. *JMTE* 24(3), 253–282. <https://doi-org.prox.lib.ncsu.edu/10.1007/s10857-020-09457-1>
- Ulusoy, F., & Turuş, İ. B. (2022). The mathematical and technological nature of tasks containing the use of dynamic geometry software in middle and secondary school mathematics textbooks. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(8), 11089-11113. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11070-z>
- Ulusoy, F., & Girit-Yildiz, D. (2024). The quality of prospective mathematics teachers' dynamic geometry tasks in terms of the coordination between mathematical depth levels and technological actions. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.13029>
- Uwurukundo, M. S., Maniraho, J. F., & Tusiime Rwibasira, M. (2022). Effect of GeoGebra software on secondary school students' achievement in 3-D geometry. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(4), 5749-5765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10852-1>
- Uwurukundo, M. S., Maniraho, J. F., Tusiime, M., Ndayambaje, I., & Mutarutinya, V. (2024). GeoGebra software in teaching and learning geometry of 3-dimension to improve students' performance and attitude of secondary school teachers and students. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(8), 10201-10223 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12200-x>
- Uygun, T. (2020). An Inquiry-Based Design Research for Teaching Geometric Transformations by Developing Mathematical Practices in Dynamic Geometry Environment. *Mathematics Education Research Journal* 32(3), 523–549. <https://doi-org.prox.lib.ncsu.edu/10.1007/s13394-020-00314-1>
- Verner, I., Massarwe, K., & Bshouty, D. (2019). Development of competencies for teaching geometry through an ethnomathematical approach. *JMB* 56, 100708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2019.05.002>
- Watson, A., Mason, J. (2005). *Mathematics as a constructive activity: Learners generating examples*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Widder, M., Berman, A., & Koichu, B. (2018). Action strategies in spatial geometry problem solving supported by dynamic geometry software. *PME 42*, Vol. 4, 435-442. Umea, Sweden: PME

- Winer, M. L., & Battista, M. T. (2022). Investigating Students' Proof Reasoning: Analyzing Students' Oral Proof Explanations and Their Written Proofs in High School Geometry. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.29333/iejme/11713>
- Wong, K.-C. (2017). Reasoning-and-proving in geometry in school mathematics textbooks in Hong Kong. *CERME 10*, 275-282.
- Yanik, H. B. (2014). Middle-school students' concept images of geometric translations. *The JMB* 36, 33-50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2014.08.001>
- Yao, X. (2020). Characterizing learners' growth of geometric understanding in Dynamic Geometry Environments: a perspective of the Pirie–Kieren theory. *DMDE* 6, 293–319 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-020-00069-1>
- Yao, X., & Manouchehri, A. (2019). Middle school students' generalizations about properties of geometric transformations in a dynamic geometry environment. *JMB* 55, 100703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2019.04.002>
- Yerushalmy, M., & Olsher, S (2020). Online assessment of students' reasoning when solving example-eliciting tasks: using conjunction and disjunction to increase the power of examples. *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, 52, 1033–1049. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-020-01134-0>
- Yildiz, H., & Gokcek, T. (2018). The development process of a mathematic teacher's technological pedagogical content knowledge. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 7(1), 9-29. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.7.1.9>
- Zambak, V. S., & Tyminski, A. M. (2020). Examining mathematical technological knowledge of pre-service middle grades teachers with Geometer's Sketchpad in a geometry course. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 51(2), 183-207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739x.2019.1650302>
- Zengin, Y. (2023). Effectiveness of a professional development course based on information and communication technologies on mathematics teachers' skills in designing technology-enhanced task. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(12), 16201-16231. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11728-2>
- Zhang, D., & Qi, C. (2019). Reasoning and proof in eighth-grade mathematics textbooks in China. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 98, 77-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2019.08.015>