

Online resource 3. Additional information about ectomycorrhizal fungi associated with European beech in forest bare–root forest nurseries

D I V I S I O N	ORDER	FUNGUS TAXON/FUNGI GROUP	DESCRIPTION
A s c o m y c o t a	<i>Pezizales</i>	<i>Tuber</i> spp.	<i>Tuber</i> sp. 1 was the most frequent type of ectomycorrhiza among the <i>Pezizales</i> , found in all tested nurseries and showing a high degree of homology (99–100%) with unidentified species of nursery mycorrhizas (FJ348400 and GQ154518) found in the USA on <i>Quercus garryana</i> and in Poland on <i>Quercus robur</i> and <i>Quercus petraea</i> seedlings (10, 17). <i>Tuber</i> sp. 2 and <i>Tuber maculatum</i> were found only in a single nursery. <i>Tuber</i> sp. are commonly reported as nursery colonizers (6, 9, 10,13, 16), although their species-level determination is rather low and needs further resolving, especially for non-economical and less studied clades (that is, <i>Rufum</i> , <i>Puberulum</i> and <i>Maculatum</i>).
		<i>Peziza</i> sp.	The ITS rDNA sequence of <i>Peziza</i> sp. shares a high level of similarity (97%) with the fruiting body of <i>P. ostracoderma</i> (EU81946), but fully matched (100%) with uncultured <i>Peziza</i> sp. (GQ154504) from our earlier study on oak seedlings from different nurseries (10). These results suggest the ubiquitous occurrence of this species in nursery environments.
		<i>Geopora cervina</i>	This is a first record of <i>Geopora cervina</i> as a mycorrhizal symbiont of beech seedlings. Potential ectomycorrhizal relationships between the <i>Geopora</i> genus and various deciduous trees, such as <i>Quercus</i> sp. and <i>Populus</i> sp., have previously been reported (12) and subsequently confirmed by phylogenetic analyzes of the LSU rDNA region from mycorrhizal root tips and fruiting bodies (22). Members of this genus are considered well-suited to the stressful environment (3) that is often assumed to occur in forest nurseries.

A s c o m y c o t a	Pezizales	<i>Hydnотrya tulasnei</i>	<i>Hydnотrya tulasnei</i> is an Ascomycetes species found in only one nursery but in very high abundance. ITS sequence from this mycorrhiza showed a high homology (99%) to the <i>H. tulasnei</i> fruiting body voucher (UDB000095) from beech forest (1). This is in accordance with the findings of Stielow et al. (18), which defined <i>H. tulasnei</i> as a species strongly associated with deciduous trees, mainly <i>F. sylvatica</i> . Factors inducing dominance of <i>H. tulasnei</i> in one of tested nursery (PRU) remain obscure.
		Other <i>Pezizales</i>	An uncultured species detected in the SUSZ nursery, initially designated as an “uncultured fungus” (based on the closest BLAST match to uncultured fungus EF484935), was classified as <i>Pezizales</i> because it formed a clade (data not shown) with members of <i>Pezizales</i> (<i>Geopora</i> sp., <i>Peziza</i> sp., and <i>Tuber</i> sp.). Unidentified <i>Pezizales</i> sp. was also detected on larch (9) and oak (10) that were grown in bare-root forest nurseries. Therefore, both earlier results and our results here clearly indicate that <i>Pezizales</i> contains common generalists in forest nursery circumstances.
	<i>Dothideo mycetes</i>	<i>Cenococcum geophilum</i> agg.	<i>Cenococcum geophilum</i> agg. was present in three nurseries, at trace abundance (0.12–1.82%). <i>Cenococcum geophilum</i> aggregate is a widespread, multi-host ectomycorrhizal fungus, which frequently dominates in ecosystems where there are harsh environmental conditions, such as drought (11). The most probable explanation of the low abundance of <i>C. geophilum</i> agg. in our studies is that all the tested nurseries possessed an irrigation system, thereby precluding any possibility of periods of drought.
	<i>Helotiales</i>	<i>Cadophora/ Rhizoscyphus</i>	According to Vrålstad et al. (24), separation of species and phylogenetic relationships within this group are not clear. Recently, it has been shown that <i>Rhizoscyphus ericae</i> , the archetypal ericoid mycorrhizal fungus, forms ectomycorrhizas predominantly on conifers and on some deciduous trees, for example, birch (24) and oaks (10). The known <i>Cadophora</i> species appear to be plant pathogens or root associates (4). For example, <i>Cadophora finlandia</i> has earlier been reported to form both ECM and ericoid symbiosis (24), and was detected as mycorrhizas in forest nurseries (16).

B a s i d i o m y c o t a	Agaricales	<i>Hebeloma</i> spp	Of four identified <i>Hebeloma</i> species, <i>H. sacchariolens</i> have been the most common and abundant. This species was also common on oak seedlings in our previous studies (10). On the beech seedlings, we also reported one of the few observations of <i>H. cavipes</i> in Poland. This species was regarded as rare in Europe; however, recently their presence on Scots pine seedlings was reported in Lithuanian nurseries (14).
		<i>Naucoria salicis</i> .	This is a first record of <i>Naucoria salicis</i> associated with <i>F. sylvatica</i> . Previously, <i>Naucoria</i> species (synonym <i>Alnicola</i>) were generally reported as specific to <i>Alnus</i> , although a few are mycobionts of <i>Salix</i> or other deciduous hosts, such as <i>Quercus</i> (10, 15) and <i>Betula</i> (8).
		<i>Hymenogaster decorus</i>	This is a second record of this taxon (syn. <i>H. olivaceus</i>) in Poland (23). Up to now fungi from the genus <i>Hymenogaster</i> has been assigned as an ECM fungi on the basis of the occurrence of fruiting bodies in the vicinity of host trees (19, and citation therein).
		<i>Laccaria tortilis</i>	Fruiting bodies of ECM fungi rarely appear in nursery conditions; members of <i>Laccaria</i> genus are the exceptions. In our studies, numerous fruiting bodies of <i>L. tortilis</i> were noted. Their mycorrhizas were observed with various abundance (0.9 – 23%) in three nurseries. Previously mycorrhizas of <i>L. tortilis</i> were reported on oak seedlings (10).
	Telephorales	<i>Tomentella</i> spp.	Occurrence of Tomentelloid fungi in the nursery environment is not surprising, given their worldwide distribution and formation of associations with gymnosperms (eg 21), angiosperms (eg 7) and even with orchids (20).
	Boletales	<i>Scleroderma</i> spp.	Three <i>Scleroderma</i> species: <i>S. citrinum</i> , <i>S. leave</i> and <i>S. verrucosum</i> have been found. Recent surveys also revealed <i>S. areolatum</i> on oak seedlings in forest nurseries (10). All of these species transform fine roots into copious, long and tortuous silver-white mycorrhizas indistinguishable from each other based on morphological features (Online Resources 1). Molecular methods have significantly advanced resolution to species level in this respect.
	Rusullales	<i>Lactarius</i> sp.	In one of the tested nurseries (SUSZ), an unidentified <i>Lactarius</i> species was found. This result was rather unexpected, as observations on fruiting behavior have suggested that the majority of <i>Lactarius</i> species are late-stage ectomycorrhizal colonizers (5) and the occurrence of <i>Lactarius</i> sp. was not observed in nursery conditions. However, Bonet (2) reported a regular harvest of <i>L. deliciosus</i> in young (0–5-year-old) Scotch pine plantations. The occurrence of <i>Lactarius</i> sp. on beech seedlings suggests that some taxa of <i>Lactarius</i> may exist as symbionts of young beech trees.

References

1. Abarenkov K, Nilsson RK, Larsson KH, Alexander IJ, Eberhardt U, Erland S, Høiland K, Kjølner R, Larsson E, Pennanen T, Sen R, Taylor AFS, Tedersoo L, Ursing BM, Vrålstad T, Liimatainen K, Peintner U, Kõljalg U (2010) The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi - recent updates and future perspectives. *New Phytol* 186: 281 - 285. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03160.x
2. Bonet JA (2001) Gestión de recursos micológicos: aprovechamientos silvestres y plantaciones PhD thesis. Universitat de Lleida, Lleida, Spain.
3. Gordon GJ, Gehring CA (2011) Molecular characterization of peizizalean ectomycorrhizas associated with pinyon pine during drought. *Mycorrhiza* 21:431-41. doi: 0.1007/s00572-010-0349-8
4. Harrington TC, McNew DL (2003) Phylogenetic analysis places the *Phialophora*-like anamorph genus *Cadophora* in the *Helotiales*. *Mycotaxon* 87: 141–151
5. Hutchison LJ (1999) *Lactarius*. In: Cairney JWG, Chambers SM (ed), *Ectomycorrhizal fungi: key genera in profile*, Springer, New York. p 269–285
6. Iwański M, Rudawska M, Leski T (2006) Mycorrhizal associations of nursery grown Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) seedlings in Poland. *Ann For Sci* 63: 715–723. doi: 10.1051/forest:2006052
7. Jakucs E. (2002) Ectomycorrhizae of *Populus alba* L. in South Hungary. *Phyton* 42: 199–210.
8. Larsson E, Ryberg M, Moreau PA, Delcuse Mathiesen A, Jacobsson S (2009) Taxonomy and evolutionary relationships within species of section *Rimosae* (*Inocybe*) based on ITS, LSU and mtSSU sequence data. *Persoonia* 23: 86–98. doi: 10.3767/003158509X475913
9. Leski T, Aučina A, Rudawska M (2008) The ectomycorrhizal status of European larch (*Larix decidua* Mill.) seedlings from bare-root forest nurseries. *For Ecol Manag* 256: 2136–2144. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.08.004
10. Leski T, Pietras M, Rudawska M (2010) Ectomycorrhizal fungal communities of pedunculate and sessile oak seedlings from bare-root forest nurseries. *Mycorrhiza* 20: 179-190. doi: 10.1007/s00572-009-0278-6

11. LoBuglio KF (1999) *Cenococcum geophilum*. In: Cairney JWG, Chambers SM (ed) Ectomycorrhizal fungi: key genera in profile, Springer, New York, pp 287–309.
12. Maia LC, Yano AM, Kimbrough JW (1996.) Species of *Ascomycota* forming ectomycorrhizae. Mycotaxon 57: 371–390.
13. Menkis A, Vasiliauskas R, Taylor AFS, Stenlid J, Finlay R (2005) Fungal communities in mycorrhizal roots of conifer seedlings in forest nurseries under different cultivation systems, assessed by morphotyping, direct sequencing, and mycelial isolation. Mycorrhiza 16: 33–41. doi: 10.1007/s00572-005-0011-z
14. Menkis A, Vasaitis R (2011) Fungal in Roots of Nursery Grown *Pinus sylvestris* Ectomycorrhizal Colonization, Genetic and Spatial Distribution. Microb Ecol 61: 52–63. doi: 10.1007/s00248-010-9676-8
15. Moreau PA, Peintner U, Gardes M (2006) Phylogeny of the ectomycorrhizal mushroom genus *Alnicola* (*Basidiomycota*, *Cortinariaceae*) based on rDNA sequences with special emphasis on host specificity and morphological characters. Mol Phyl Evol 38: 794–807. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2005.10.008
16. Rudawska M, Leski T, Trocha LK, Gornowicz R (2006) Ectomycorrhizal status spruce seedlings from bare-root forest nurseries. For Ecol Manag 236: 375–384. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2006.09.066
17. Southworth D, Carrington EM, Frank JL, Gould P, Harrington CA, Davine WD (2009) Mycorrhizas on nursery and field seedlings of *Quercus garryana*. Mycorrhiza 19: 149–158. doi: 10.1007/s00572-008-0222-1
18. Stielow B, Bubner B, Hensel G, Münzenberger B, Hoffmann P, Klenk HP, Göker M. 2010. The neglected hypogeous fungus *Hydnотrya bailii* Soehner (1959) is a widespread sister taxon of *Hydnотrya tulasnei* (Berk.) Berk. & Broome (1846). Mycol Prog 9: 195–203. doi: 10.1007/s11557-009-0625-1
19. Stielow B, Bratek Z, Orczán AKI, Rudnoy S, Hensel G, Hoffmann P, Klenk HP, Göker M (2011) Species Delimitation in Taxonomically Difficult Fungi: The Case of *Hymenogaster*. PLoS ONE 6: e15614 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015614
20. Taylor DL, Bruns TD (1997) Independent, specialized invasions of ectomycorrhizal mutualism by two nonphotosynthetic orchids. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94: 4510–4515.

21. Taylor DL, Bruns TD (1999) Community structure of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a *Pinus muricata* forest: minimal overlap between the mature forest and resistant propagule communities. *Mol Ecol* 8: 1837–1850. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294x.1999.00773.x
22. Tedersoo L, Hansen K, Perry BA, Kjølner R (2006) Molecular and morphological diversity of pezizalean ectomycorrhiza. *New Phytol* 170: 581–596. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01687.x
23. Wojewoda W (2003) Checklist of polish large basidiomycetes. Institute of Botany PAS, Kraków.
24. Vrålstad T, Myhre E, Schumacher T (2002) Molecular diversity and phylogenetic affinities of symbiotic root-associated ascomycetes Mycorrhiza of the *Helotiales* in burnt and metal polluted habitats. *New Phytol* 155: 131–148. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00444.x