

Effect of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Patients With Postherpetic Neuralgia and Comorbid Depression: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Huichan Xu^{1†}, Junpeng Yuan^{1†}, Chen Zhao^{2†}, Liqun Huang¹, Panqi Wang¹, Youjia Yu^{3*}, Xiaohong Jin^{1*}

¹Department of Pain Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China.

²Department of Pain Medicine, Suzhou Xiangcheng People's Hospital, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China

³Department of Anesthesiology, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

† Huichan Xu, Junpeng Yuan, and Chen Zhao Contributed equally to this work

*** Corresponding Authors:**

Xiaohong Jin

jinxiaohong@suda.edu.cn

Youjia Yu

yuyoujia0717@163.com

Table S1 | Logistic multivariate regression model adjustment of primary outcome

Factors	β	SE	Wald	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> value
Sex ^a	0.18	0.36	0.25	1.19	0.59-2.40	0.620
Interventional neuromodulation therapy ^b	0.18	0.39	0.21	1.20	0.55-2.59	0.650
Age	0.02	0.02	1.13	1.02	0.98-1.06	0.289
NRS	0.10	0.11	0.78	1.10	0.89-1.37	0.377
SDS	0.02	0.01	1.98	1.02	0.99-1.04	0.159
Grouping ^c	-0.76	0.36	4.42	0.47	0.23-0.95	0.036

β , Regression Coefficient; SE, Standard Error; Wald, Wald chi-square statistic; OR, Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; *P* value, Probability value; SDS, Self-Rating Depression Scale; NRS, Numeric Rating Scale.

^aMales were used as the reference.

^bSpinal cord stimulation was used as the reference.

^cThe grouping was the sham stimulation as the reference.

Table S2 | Safety outcomes

Adverse Events	Patients, No. (%)		<i>P</i> value
	Control group (n=82)	rTMS group (n=84)	
Headache	2 (2.4)	4 (4.8)	0.700
Pain at the stimulation site	2 (2.4)	4 (4.8)	> 0.999
Neck pain	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	NA
Insomnia	6 (7.3)	7 (8.3)	0.807
Muscle soreness	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	NA
Dizziness	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	NA
Nausea	6 (7.3)	5 (6.0)	0.724
Tinnitus	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	NA
Irritability	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	NA
Tachycardia (heart rate>100bpm)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	NA
Epilepsy	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	NA

No., Number; %, Percent; NA, Not Applicable; bpm, beats per minute; *P* value, Probability value; rTMS, Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation.

Table S3 | Primary Outcome (PP)

Primary Outcome (PP)	Participants, No. (%)		OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
	Control group (n=72)	rTMS group (n=73)		
The incidence of poor prognosis at 3months	31 (43.1)	17 (23.3)	0.40 (0.20-0.82) ^a	0.011
	31 (43.1)	17 (23.3)	0.35 (0.16-0.77) ^b	0.009

PP, Per Protocol; OR, Odds Ratio; No., Number; %, Percent; CI, Confidence Interval; *P* value, Probability value; NRS, Numeric Rating Scale; SDS, Self-Rating Depression Scale; rTMS, Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation.

^aUnadjusted, OR (95% CI).

^bThe association between the incidence of poor prognosis were adjusted for baseline SDS scores, NRS scores, age, sex, grouping and type of neuromodulation therapy.

CONSORT 2025 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial*

Section / Topic	No	CONSORT 2025 checklist item description	Reported on page no.
Title and abstract			
Title and structured abstract	1a	Identification as a randomised trial	1
	1b	Structured summary of the trial design, methods, results, and conclusions	2
Open science			
Trial registration	2	Name of trial registry, identifying number (with URL) and date of registration	4
Protocol and statistical analysis plan	3	Where the trial protocol and statistical analysis plan can be accessed	2
Data sharing	4	Where and how the individual de-identified participant data (including data dictionary), statistical code and any other materials can be accessed	20
Funding and conflicts of interest	5a	Sources of funding and other support (e.g., supply of drugs), and role of funders in the design, conduct, analysis and reporting of the trial	20
	5b	Financial and other conflicts of interest of the manuscript authors	21
Introduction			
Background and rationale	6	Scientific background and rationale	4-5
Objectives	7	Specific objectives related to benefits and harms	4-5
Methods			
Patient and public involvement	8	Details of patient or public involvement in the design, conduct and reporting of the trial	8
Trial design	9	Description of trial design including type of trial (e.g., parallel group, crossover), allocation ratio, and framework (e.g., superiority, equivalence, non-inferiority, exploratory)	8
Changes to trial protocol	10	Important changes to the trial after it commenced including any outcomes or analyses that were not prespecified, with reason	
Trial setting	11	Settings (e.g., community, hospital) and locations (e.g., countries, sites) where the trial was conducted	5
Eligibility criteria	12a	Eligibility criteria for participants	6
	12b	If applicable, eligibility criteria for sites and for individuals delivering the interventions (e.g., surgeons, physiotherapists)	

Intervention and comparator	13	Intervention and comparator with sufficient details to allow replication. If relevant, where additional materials describing the intervention and comparator (e.g., intervention manual) can be accessed	8-9
Outcomes	14	Pre-specified primary and secondary outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (e.g., systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (e.g., change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (e.g., median, proportion), and time point for each outcome	6-7
Harms	15	How harms were defined and assessed (e.g., systematically, non-systematically)	6-7
Sample size	16a	How sample size was determined, including all assumptions supporting the sample size calculation	10-11
	16b	Explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	10
Randomisation:			
Sequence generation	17a	Who generated the random allocation sequence and the method used	8
	17b	Type of randomisation and details of any restriction (e.g., stratification, blocking and block size)	8
Allocation concealment mechanism	18	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (e.g., central computer/telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed containers), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	8
Implementation	19	Whether the personnel who enrolled and those who assigned participants to the interventions had access to the random allocation sequence	8
Blinding	20a	Who was blinded after assignment to interventions (e.g., participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts)	8
	20b	If blinded, how blinding was achieved and description of the similarity of interventions	8-9
Statistical methods	21a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes, including harms	11
	21b	Definition of who is included in each analysis (e.g., all randomised participants), and in which group	12
	21c	How missing data were handled in the analysis	
	21d	Methods for any additional analyses (e.g., subgroup and sensitivity analyses), distinguishing prespecified from post-hoc	11-12
Results			
Participant flow, including flow diagram	22a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended intervention, and were analysed for the primary outcome	12-13
	22b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	12-13
Recruitment	23a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up for outcomes of benefits and harms	2
	23b	If relevant, why the trial ended or was stopped	

Intervention and comparator delivery	24a	Intervention and comparator as they were actually administered (e.g., where appropriate, who delivered the intervention/comparator, how participants adhered, whether they were delivered as intended [fidelity])	15
	24b	Concomitant care received during the trial for each group	8
Baseline data	25	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	28-29
Numbers analysed, outcomes and estimation	26	For each primary and secondary outcome, by group: - the number of participants included in the analysis - the number of participants with available data at the outcome time point - result for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval) - for binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect size	29-31
Harms	27	All harms or unintended events in each group	14
Ancillary analyses	28	Any other analyses performed, including subgroup and sensitivity analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from post-hoc	15
Discussion			
Interpretation	29	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	15-17
Limitations	30	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, generalisability, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	19