

Title: Selection and prioritization of medical devices for HTA evaluation: A systematic review of existing approaches

Journal: *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*

Authors: João Félix Pimenta, MSc¹, Ana C. L. Vieira, PhD¹

¹CEGIST (Centre for Management Studies of Instituto Superior Técnico), Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

Corresponding author:

Ana C. L. Vieira, PhD

CEGIST (Centre for Management Studies of Instituto Superior Técnico)

Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa

Lisbon, 1049-001

Portugal

Email: ana.lopes.vieira@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Online Resource 3 – Other terms found in the literature for each of the value attributes

Attribute	Other terms found in the records
<i>Clinical efficacy and/or effectiveness</i>	"Effect of the technology on disease burden" (13); "Clinical impact" (14, 36); "Therapeutic benefit" (15, 35); "Potential marginal benefit for the individual patient" (17); "Health benefits" (18, 41); "Expected effect of the technology on health outcomes" (19); "Potential benefits of assessment" (30); "Potential for a significant health benefit" (31); "Incremental benefits" (32); "Incremental level of efficacy/effectiveness" (33); "Public benefit" (34); "Clinical benefit for the patient" (37, 42, 46); "Potential clinical benefit" (38); "Impact on morbidity and/or mortality" (43); "Potential of the results of an assessment to change health outcomes" (44); "Potential to improve individual patient outcome" (45); "Comparative effectiveness" (47); "Type of benefit" (47); "Impact of innovative technology (...) better patient outcomes" (49); "Insufficient or uncertain benefit to the patient" (50); "Population impact (...) potential of guidance to improve patient or service user outcomes" (51).
<i>Impact of the disease</i>	"Health problem" (12); "Disease burden" (14, 39); "Burden of disease" (17, 23, 29, 30, 38); "Severity of disease" (19, 28, 38); "Mortality/morbidity" (28); "Disease severity" (35, 47); "Severity of the condition" (37); "Disease frequency" (39); "Prevalence or incidence of the disease" (43); "Prevalence" (44); "Burden of condition" (46).
<i>Ethical, social, and legal aspects</i>	"Patient and ethical considerations" (9); Health equity, sociocultural, ethical, and legal aspects" (7); "Ethical, legal, or psychosocial implications" (14); "Expected impact of the new technology on access to care" (17); "Equity and fairness" (23); "Context to the legal framework" (33); "Coherence to the generally accepted ethics" (33); "Equity" (36, 46); "Legal, ethical, or political challenges" (37); "Political, historical or cultural aspects" (38); "Potential impact on equity in general" (38); "Potential of the results of an assessment to inform ethical, legal, or social issues" (44); "Potential to address social and ethical implications" (45); "Potential to affect policy decisions" (45); "Health inequalities" (51).
<i>Target population</i>	"Vulnerable population size" (18, 22); "Proportion of the population using the device" (24); "Number of patients" (28, 42); "Population impact" (36); "Potential to affect a large patient population" (45); "Affected population" (47); "Design appropriate only to a small population" (50); "Population impact (...) size of the target population" (51).
<i>Budget impact on the health system</i>	"Economic impact" (13, 14); "Expected effect of the technology on cost" (19); "Opportunity cost and budget impact" (47); "Uncertain or no cost benefit" (50).
<i>Risk analysis</i>	"Risk of technical failures" (2); "Infection risk" (5); "Radiation risk" (5); "Safety" (10, 12, 15, 22, 26, 29, 38, 42, 43); "Compliance with safety" (21); "Probability of failure of the device" (24); "Risks" (39); "Risk/safety" (i.e., risk class of the device) (40); "Comparative safety" (47).
<i>Cost of the device (including complementary equipment)</i>	"Intervention costs" (2); "Maintenance and repair cost" (3, 5, 39); "Investment cost" (5); "Total life cycle costs" (6); "Economic aspects" (12); "Marginal direct healthcare costs of the new technology" (17); "Potential for a significant cost impact" (31); "Incremental total cost" (32); "Consequences in terms of costs" (37); "Cost of the intervention" (38); "Cost per patient" (42); "Elements of cost in the model of care and cost of technology/therapeutics" (46); "Cost consequences/offsets" (46); "Direct healthcare costs" (47); "Cost evaluation" (48); "Funding support" (49).
<i>Quality of the available scientific evidence</i>	"Availability of data for an assessment" (19); "Certainty (quality of evidence)" (23); "Uncertainty of the evidence" (38); "Sufficient evidence to support an assessment" (38); "Evidence base" (46); "Lack of evidence" (50); "Evidence does not translate to UK setting" (50); "Evidence quality and system intelligence" (51).
<i>Market competitiveness</i>	"Availability" (9); "Availability of alternatives" (14); "Availability of alternative technologies" (18, 29); "Existence of alternative treatments" (28); "Current market size" (34); "Lack of available alternatives" (38); "Alternatives" (41); "Accuracy of the current diagnostic approach" (43); "Unmet needs" (47).

<i>Efficiency</i>	"Affordability of the device" (15, 28); "Affordability of maintenance and replacement" (15); "Cost minimization" (27); "Economic efficiency" (29); "Economic value creation" (33); "Enhances diagnostic efficiency or be more cost-effective than the current diagnostic approach" (43); "Potential of the results of an assessment to change costs" (44); "Potential to reduce unit or aggregate cost" (45); "Impact of innovative technology (...) efficiency enhancements" (49).
<i>Stakeholders agreement on the adoption of the device</i>	"Controversial nature of proposed technology" (14); "Controversy" (19); "Fit with national standards and targets" (23); "Acceptability" (23); "Coherence to strategic goals" (33); "Technology acceptance among physicians" (33); "Strategic alignment" (35); "Relevance of the disease to current regional/national health policies and/or priorities" (43); "Leadership support" (49).
<i>Organizational aspects</i>	"Adaptation to the organization" (21); "Need for significant service reorganization" (31); "Need to reorganize health care" (37); "Feasibility to change current practice to incorporate this technology" (43); "Organizational feasibility" (46); "Availability of resources in the system" (47); "Organizational impact" (47); "Motivation for technology adoption" (49); "Innovation culture" (49).
<i>Public health interest</i>	"Expected level of interest" (14); "Level of interest from media and patient organisations" (38); "Expected level of interest from policy-makers" (41).
<i>Variation in medical practice</i>	"Variation in treatment or patient outcomes" (43); "Variation in rates of use" (44); "Potential to reduce unexplained variations in medical practice" (45).
<i>Degree of innovation</i>	"Technology level used" (3); "Innovative therapy with no satisfactory standard treatment" (31); "Not novel" (50).
<i>Timeliness of HTA</i>	"Timeliness of review" (14); "Timeliness of the assessment" (19).
<i>Uncertainty about the use of the technology</i>	"Uncertainty" (30); "Uncertainty in clinical practice" (33); "Expert consensus" (47); "Not clear how the technology would be used in NHS" (50).
<i>Medical/technological knowledge</i>	"Importance to healthcare innovativeness" (38); "Potential to advance medical knowledge" (45).
<i>Specific features of the device</i>	"Length of stay" (2); "Downtime due to maintenance" (3); "Maintenance interval" (3); "Warranty period" (3, 4, 20, 21); "Durability/maintenance" (4); "Technology setup/preparation" (5); "Service life" (10, 11, 20, 26); "Maintenance requirements" (11, 26); "Repair and calibration" (21); "Support service" (21); "After-sales service" (21); "Reputation"/"Opinion" (i.e., opinion of other HTA agencies or scientific societies concerning the device) (9, 21, 42); "Technology life-cycle" (33); "Roles/functionalities of the device" (i.e., assistive device, artificial body part, etc.) (40); "Nature of the device" (i.e., diagnostic vs therapeutic) (40).
<i>Need for training of the healthcare professional</i>	"Special skills from the staff" (1); "Staff education" (5); "Ease of training" (15); "Additional training required" (21); "Training intensity" (33); "Need for training of users" (42); "Staff technological proficiency levels" (49).
<i>Cost-effectiveness</i>	-
<i>Regulatory status of the device</i>	"FDA approval of the device" (1); "Alignment with international standards" (9); "Authorization" (9); "CE mark or expected to obtain one within 12 months" (38); "Regulatory approval" (38).
<i>Cost of procedure without the cost of the device</i>	"Number of technical staff" (3); "Health care resources consequences" (15); "Resource impact" (35); "Other healthcare costs" (47); "Non-medical costs" (47).
<i>Patient-reported outcomes</i>	"Patient perspectives" (12, 15); "Benefits perceived by patients" (38); "Comparative patient-reported outcomes" (47).
<i>Medical or technical complications for the patient</i>	"Post operative complications" (2); "Change (deterioration) in patients' health status caused by the failure of the device" (24).
<i>Multidisease applicability</i>	"Multiple indications expected" (38).

<i>Technical specifications</i>	"Technological aspects" (12), "Continuous monitoring capability" (48).
<i>User-friendliness</i>	"Usability" (2, 9, 27); "Ease of use" (7, 10, 11, 15, 20, 25, 26); "Ease of handling" (21); "Patient experience" (46); "Feasibility of use" (48); "Impact of innovative technology (...) enhanced user experiences" (49); "Usability or technology design issue" (50).
<i>Quality/Reliability</i>	"Trust in the device" (25).
<i>Price of the device</i>	-
<i>Comfort for the patient</i>	"Patient satisfaction" (2); "Incremental level of patient satisfaction" (33).
<i>Quality of life for the patient</i>	"Longevity and quality of life" (28).
<i>Capacity of the health system</i>	"Organizational infrastructure for technology implementation" (49); "System impact" (51).
<i>Exposure of the healthcare professional to physical or chemical agents</i>	"Occupational health and safety" (5).
<i>Technical performance of the device</i>	"Technical performance (accuracy and precision)" (48).
<i>Environmental impact of the production and use of the device</i>	"Environmental sustainability" (51)
<i>Adverse events for the patient</i>	"Adverse effects" (39).
<i>Financing</i>	"Coverage (i.e., DRG-tariff/additional payments for the device)" (42).
