

Process and outcome-based financial incentives to improve self-management and glycemic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Singapore: A randomized controlled trial

Table 1.1 Estimated effects of the intervention by arms and the incremental effect of incentive^a: Regressions not controlling for confounders (N=240)

	Study Arms		Incremental effect of incentive	
	UC+	Incentive	Estimate	[95% CI]
Primary outcome				
Mean change in HbA1c at Month 6	-0.66	-0.96	-0.31	[-0.74, 0.13]
Secondary outcomes				
Mean no. of glucose readings within acceptable range (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.15	0.48	0.33	[0.07, 0.58]
Mean no. of glucose readings (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.13	0.25	0.87	[-0.06, 1.80]
Mean no. of medication adherent days during the last week of intervention	1.06	1.85	0.79	[0.05, 1.53]
Mean no. of physically active days during the last week of intervention	1.11	2.59	1.49	[0.65, 2.32]

^a Calculated as the difference in change from baseline at Month 6 between intervention and control groups.

Source: Author calculations using the data collected from the study.

Abbreviations:

HbA1c: Glycated Hemoglobin

EQ-5D-5L: EuroQol Five Dimension Scale

Marcel Bilger, Mitesh Shah, Ngiam Chuan Tan, Cynthia Y.L. Tan, Filipinas G. Bundoc, Joann Bairavi, Eric A. Finkelstein

Correspondence to Marcel Bilger (marcel.bilger@wu.ac.at), Vienna University of Business and Economics, Austria

Process and outcome-based financial incentives to improve self-management and glycemic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Singapore: A randomized controlled trial

Table 1.2 Estimated effects of the intervention by arms and the incremental effect of incentive^a: Last observation carried forward (N=240)

	Study Arms		Incremental effect of incentive	
	UC+	Incentive	Estimate	[95% CI]
Primary outcome				
Mean change in HbA1c at Month 6	-0.54	-0.79	-0.24	[-0.59, 0.11]
Secondary outcomes				
Mean no. of glucose readings within acceptable range (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.12	0.41	0.29	[0.08, 0.50]
Mean no. of glucose readings (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.14	0.21	0.07	[-0.03, 0.17]
Mean no. of medication adherent days during the last week of intervention	1.09	1.63	0.54	[0.0004, 1.08]
Mean no. of physically active days during the last week of intervention	1.39	2.26	0.87	[0.29, 1.44]

^a Calculated as the difference in change from baseline at Month 6 between intervention and control groups.

Source: Author calculations using the data collected from the study.

Abbreviations:

HbA1c: Glycated Hemoglobin

EQ-5D-5L: EuroQol Five Dimension Scale

Marcel Bilger, Mitesh Shah, Ngiam Chuan Tan, Cynthia Y.L. Tan, Filipinas G. Bundoc, Joann Bairavi, Eric A. Finkelstein

Correspondence to Marcel Bilger (marcel.bilger@wu.ac.at), Vienna University of Business and Economics, Austria

Process and outcome-based financial incentives to improve self-management and glycemic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Singapore: A randomized controlled trial

Table 1.3 Estimated effects of the intervention by arms and the incremental effect of incentive^a: Using a per protocol approach (N=240)

	Study Arms		Incremental effect of incentive	
	UC+	Incentive	Estimate	[95% CI]
Primary outcome				
Mean change in HbA1c at Month 6	-0.66	-0.96	-0.30	[-0.67, 0.067]
Secondary outcomes				
Mean no. of glucose readings within acceptable range (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.15	0.48	0.32	[0.07, 0.57]
Mean no. of glucose readings (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.15	0.25	0.09	[-0.03, 0.21]
Mean no. of medication adherent days during the last week of intervention	1.12	1.84	0.71	[0.06, 1.37]
Mean no. of physically active days during the last week of intervention	1.36	2.51	1.15	[0.44, 1.86]

^a Calculated as the difference in change from baseline at Month 6 between intervention and control groups.

Source: Author calculations using the data collected from the study.

Abbreviations:

HbA1c: Glycated Hemoglobin

EQ-5D-5L: EuroQol Five Dimension Scale

Marcel Bilger, Mitesh Shah, Ngiap Chuan Tan, Cynthia Y.L. Tan, Filipinas G. Bundoc, Joann Bairavi, Eric A. Finkelstein

Correspondence to Marcel Bilger (marcel.bilger@wu.ac.at), Vienna University of Business and Economics, Austria

Process and outcome-based financial incentives to improve self-management and glycemic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Singapore: A randomized controlled trial

Table 2.1 Estimated effects of the intervention by incentive arms and the incremental effect of outcome-based incentive^a: Regressions not controlling for confounders (N=240)

	Incentive Arms		Incremental effect of outcome-based vs. process-based incentives	
	Process	Outcome	Estimate	[95% CI]
Primary outcome				
Mean change in HbA1c at Month 6	-0.85	-1.07	-0.22	[-0.62, 0.18]
Secondary outcomes				
Mean no. of glucose readings within acceptable range (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.45	0.50	0.05	[-0.23, 0.32]
Mean no. of glucose readings (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.29	0.22	0.37	[-1.09, 0.37]
Mean no. of medication adherent days during the last week of intervention	2.36	1.36	-1.00	[-1.74, -0.27]
Mean no. of physically active days during the last week of intervention	3.50	1.69	-1.81	[-2.66, -0.96]
Mean incentive payout during the last week of intervention	6.69	5.00	-1.69	[-3.58, 0.20]
Mean time (in minutes) taken by the intervention during the last week of intervention	15.39	13.14	-2.24	[-4.17, -0.31]

^a Calculated as the difference in change from baseline at Month 6 between that adherence outcome and process groups.

Source: Author calculations using the data collected from the study.

Abbreviations:

HbA1c: Glycated Hemoglobin

EQ-5D-5L: EuroQol Five Dimension Scale

Marcel Bilger, Mitesh Shah, Ngiam Chuan Tan, Cynthia Y.L. Tan, Filipinas G. Bundoc, Joann Bairavi, Eric A. Finkelstein

Correspondence to Marcel Bilger (marcel.bilger@wu.ac.at), Vienna University of Business and Economics, Austria

Process and outcome-based financial incentives to improve self-management and glycemic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Singapore: A randomized controlled trial

Table 2.2 Estimated effects of the intervention by incentive arms and the incremental effect of outcome-based incentive^a: Last observation carried forward (N=240)

	Incentive Arms		Incremental effect of outcome-based vs. process-based incentives	
	Process	Outcome	Estimate	[95% CI]
Primary outcome				
Mean change in HbA1c at Month 6	-0.77	-0.83	-0.06	[-0.41, 0.29]
Secondary outcomes				
Mean no. of glucose readings within acceptable range (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.40	0.43	0.03	[-0.20, 0.26]
Mean no. of glucose readings (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.25	0.19	-0.06	[-0.17, 0.05]
Mean no. of medication adherent days during the last week of intervention	1.98	1.36	-0.62	[-1.19, -0.04]
Mean no. of physically active days during the last week of intervention	2.96	1.76	-1.20	[-1.82, -0.57]
Mean incentive payout during the last week of intervention	5.65	6.09	0.45	[-1.23, 2.12]
Mean time (in minutes) taken by the intervention during the last week of intervention	15.47	13.33	-2.14	[-4.25, -0.04]

^a Calculated as the difference in change from baseline at Month 6 between that adherence outcome and process groups.

Source: Author calculations using the data collected from the study.

Abbreviations:

HbA1c: Glycated Hemoglobin

EQ-5D-5L: EuroQol Five Dimension Scale

Marcel Bilger, Mitesh Shah, Ngiam Chuan Tan, Cynthia Y.L. Tan, Filipinas G. Bundoc, Joann Bairavi, Eric A. Finkelstein

Correspondence to Marcel Bilger (marcel.bilger@wu.ac.at), Vienna University of Business and Economics, Austria

Process and outcome-based financial incentives to improve self-management and glycemic control in people with Type 2 diabetes in Singapore: A randomized controlled trial

Table 2.3 Estimated effects of the intervention by incentive arms and the incremental effect of outcome-based incentive^a: Using a per protocol approach (N=240)

	Incentive Arms		Incremental effect of outcome-based vs. process-based incentives	
	Process	Outcome	Estimate	[95% CI]
Primary outcome				
Mean change in HbA1c at Month 6	-0.94	-1.00	-0.05	[-0.40, 0.30]
Secondary outcomes				
Mean no. of glucose readings within acceptable range (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.45	0.50	0.06	[-0.22, 0.33]
Mean no. of glucose readings (out of 3 tests) during the last week of intervention	0.29	0.23	-0.06	[-0.19, 0.07]
Mean no. of medication adherent days during the last week of intervention	2.19	1.53	-0.66	[-1.35, 0.02]
Mean no. of physically active days during the last week of intervention	3.28	1.90	-1.37	[-2.13, -0.62]
Mean incentive payout during the last week of intervention	6.01	6.86	0.85	[-1.40, 3.10]
Mean time (in minutes) taken by the intervention during the last week of intervention	15.70	12.84	-2.86	[-4.91, -0.80]

^a Calculated as the difference in change from baseline at Month 6 between that adherence outcome and process groups.

Source: Author calculations using the data collected from the study.

Abbreviations:

HbA1c: Glycated Hemoglobin

EQ-5D-5L: EuroQol Five Dimension Scale

Marcel Bilger, Mitesh Shah, Ngiam Chuan Tan, Cynthia Y.L. Tan, Filipinas G. Bundoc, Joann Bairavi, Eric A. Finkelstein

Correspondence to Marcel Bilger (marcel.bilger@wu.ac.at), Vienna University of Business and Economics, Austria