

Appendix A2 has information on the sources for each of the studies' use of: Cohort data, treatment effect, and utilities. Adverse events come from same source as treatment effects.

The exact reference for the source is linked the first time the source appears.

Appendix A2 - Sources for inputs to the models

Study	Comparison	Cohort data sources	Treatment effect sources	Treatment effect source types	Utility sources
Barnett 2018 [1]	Liraglutide vs Sitagliptin	Bailey 2016 [2]	Bailey 2016	Trial	Clarke 2002 [3], Tengs 2000 [4], Bagust 2005 [5]
Basson 2018 [6]	Dulaglutide vs ExenatideQW	Giorgino 2015 [7], WHO 2014 [8]	Giorgino 2015, Eli Lilly 2014 [9], EMA druglabel [10]	Trial, NMA, Druglabel	Beaudet 2014 [11], Matza 2007 [12], Boye 2011 [13]
Ericsson 2018 [14]	Liraglutide+Basal insulin vs Lixisenatide+Basal insulin, IdegLira	Ahmann 2015 [15], Buse 2014 [16]	Ahmann 2015, inhouse for Liraglutide+Insulin vs Lixisenatide+Insulin, Riddle 2013 [17]	Trial, ITC	Bagust 2005, Kiadali 2014 [18], Evans 2013 [19], Ridderstråle 2016 [20]
Tzanetakos 2018 [21]	ExenatideQW vs Insulin Glargine, Liraglutide	Diamant 2010 [22], Scott 2013 [23]	Diamant 2010, Scott 2013	Trial, NMA	Bagust 2005, Matza 2007
Ericsson 2019 [24]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide, Lixisenatide	Rodbard 2018 [25], Pratley 2018 [26]	Pratley 2018, Witkowski 2018 [27]	Trial, NMA	Kiadali 2014, Bagust 2005, Ridderstråle 2016, Evans 2013
Gæde 2019 [28]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide, ExenatideQW, Liraglutide, Lixisenatide	Ahmann 2018 [29], Pratley 2018	Pratley 2018, Ahmann 2018, Witkowski 2018	Trial, NMA	Beaudet 2014, Lauridsen 2014 [30], Evans 2013
Hunt 2019 [31]	Semaglutide-I vs Insulin Glargine, Dulaglutide	Aroda 2017 [32], Pratley 2018	Aroda 2017, Pratley 2018	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Johansen 2019 [33]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide	Pratley 2018	Pratley 2018	Trial	Bagust 2005, CADTH 2017 [34]
Malkin 2019a [35]	Semaglutide-I vs Liraglutide	Ahmann 2018	Ahmann 2018	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Malkin 2019b [36]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide	Pratley 2018	Pratley 2018	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Raya 2019 [37]	IdegLira vs GLP1, Insulin mix+GLP1, Basal insulin, MDI	Price 2018 [38]	Price 2018	RWD	Clarke 2002, Tengs 2000, Palmer 2004 [39], Evans 2013, Bagust 2005
Viljoen 2019 [40]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide	Pratley 2018	Pratley 2018	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Bain 2020 [41]	Semaglutide-O vs Empagliflozin, Sitagliptin, Liraglutide	Rodbard 2019 [42], Rosenstock 2019 [43], Pratley 2019 [44]	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Pratley 2019	Trial	Clarke 2002, Bagust 2005, Wasserfallen 2004 [45], Kiberd 1995 [46], Fenwick 2012 [47], Lee 2005 [48], Evans 2013
Capel 2020 [49]	ExenatideQW vs Dulaglutide, Liraglutide, Lixisenatide	Depablos-Valesco 2014 [50], Sicras-Mainar 2014 [51], Mata 2002 [52], National institute of Statistics [53], Alvarez 2008 [54], Gil 2010 [55]	Kayaniyil 2016 [56]	NMA	Depablos-Valesco 2014, Clarke 2004, Bagust 2005, Currie 2006 [57], Lane 2014 [58]
Gorgojo-Martínez 2020 [59]	Semaglutide-I vs Empagliflozin	Ahrén 2017 [60]	Sharma 2018 [61]	NMA	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Johansen 2020 [62]	Semaglutide-I vs Liraglutide	Sorli 2017 [63]	Sorli 2017	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Martín 2020 [64]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide, Sitagliptin	Pratley 2018, Ahrén 2017	Pratley 2018, Ahrén 2017	Trial	Clarke 2002, Bagust 2005, Wasserfallen 2004, Kiberd 1995, Fenwick 2012, Lee 2005, Evans 2013
Capehorn 2021 [65]	Semaglutide-I vs Empagliflozin	Ahrén 2017, Ahmann 2018, Lingvay 2019 [66], Rodbard 2019	Lingvay 2020 [67]	ITC	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Guzauskas 2021 [68]	Semaglutide-O vs Empagliflozin, Liraglutide, Sitagliptin, MET+SU	NHanes 2019 [69]	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Pratley 2019, Rind 2019 [70]	Trial, NMA	Shao 2019 [71], Sullivan 2016 [72]
Malkin 2021 [73]	Semaglutide-O vs Empagliflozin, Sitagliptin, Liraglutide	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Pratley 2019	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Pratley 2019	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Risebrough 2021 [74]	Semaglutide-O vs Dulaglutide, Liraglutide, Semaglutide-I	Pratley 2019	Nuhoho 2019 [75]	NMA	Beaudet 2014, Shao 2019, Sullivan 2006 [76]
Ehlers 2022a [77]	Semaglutide-O vs Empagliflozin	Rodbard 2019, Bain 2020 [41], mdcalc 2019	Rodbard 2019, Bain 2020	Trial, CEA	Beaudet 2014, Lauridsen 2014, Foos

Appendix A2 - Sources for inputs to the models

Study	Comparison	Cohort data sources	Treatment effect sources	Treatment effect source types	Utility sources
		[78], Ridderstråle 2018 [79], Folsom 2003 [80], WHO 2002 [81], WHO 2004 [82], Kingry 2007 [83], ADVANCE 2008 [84], Ismail-Beigi 2010 [85]			2018 [86], Turner 2014 [87], NICE CG139 [88]
Ehlers 2022b [89]	Semaglutide-I vs Empagliflozin	Ahrén 2017, Ahmann 2018, Lingvay 2019, Rodbard 2019	Rodbard 2019, Bain 2020, Willis 2017 [90], Fonseca 2011 [91], Capehorn 2021 [65], Ramos 2020b [92]	Trial, CEA, MA, OTHER	Beaudet 2014, Lauridsen 2014, Foos 2018, Turner 2014, NICE CG139
Ekhlas 2022 [93]	Dulaglutide vs Liraglutide	Lasalvia 2017, Iran survey 2016 [94]	Taheri 2019 [95], Blonde 2015 [96], A study of LY2189265 2016 [97], Dungan 2014 [98], Rosselli 2015 [99], Tarifas 2001 [100]	Trial, CEA, OTHER	Liu 2013 [101], Sabapathy 2015 [102], Clarke 2005, Granström 2012 [103]
Eliasson 2022 [104]	Semaglutide-O vs Empagliflozin, Sitagliptin	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Husain 2019 [105]	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Green 2015 [106], Zinman 2015 [107]	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Franch-Nadal 2022 [108]	Semaglutide-O vs Empagliflozin, Sitagliptin, Liraglutide	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Pratley 2019	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Pratley 2019	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013
Hu 2022 [109]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide	Pratley 2018	Pratley 2018	Trial	Pan 2016 [110], Hou 2019 [111], Clarke 2002
Malkin 2022 [112]	Semaglutide-O vs Empagliflozin, Dulaglutide	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019	Rodbard 2019, Rosenstock 2019, Nuhoho 2019	Trial, NMA	Beaudet 2014, Evans 2013, Clarke 2002, Bagust 2005, Wasserfallen 2004, Kiberd 1995, Fenwick 2012, Lee 2005
Ruan 2022 [113]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide	Pratley 2018	Pratley 2018, Marso 2016s [114], Gerstein 2019 [115]	Trial	Pan 2016, Clarke 2002, Johansen 2019 [33], Beaudet 2014, Deng 2015 [116]
Stafford 2022 [117]	Semaglutide-I vs Canagliflozin	Lingvay 2019	Lingvay 2019	Trial	Bagust 2005, CADTH 2017, Fenwick 2012, Hoerger 2010 [118], Evans 2013, Beaudet 2014
Viljoen 2022 [119]	Semaglutide-I vs Dulaglutide	Pratley 2018	Pratley 2021 [120]	ITC	Clarke 2002, Bagust 2005, Wasserfallen 2004, Kiberd 1995, Fenwick 2012, Lee 2005, Evans 2013
Chien 2020 [121]	No main vs classes: MET, SU, DPP4, SGLT2, GLP1, Unspecified insulin	CADTH 2017, UKPDS 1998 [122], Hall 2006 [123], Chen 2013 [124], Friedewald 1972 [125]	CADTH 2017, Downes 2015 [126], Søfteland 2017 [127], Monami 2008 [128], Leiter 2015 [129], Nauck 2011 [130], Ridderstråle 2014 [131], Haring 2013 [132], Matthaie 2015 [133], Wilding 2013 [134]	Review, NMA, Trial, MA	Chen 2018 [135], Currie 2006, Lane 2014
Hu 2021 [136]	No main vs Dapagliflozin + Saxagliptin, Dapagliflozin, Saxagliptin	Mathieu 2015 [137], Matthaie 2015, Rosenstock 2015 [138], Müller-Wieland 2018 [139], Rosenstock 2019	Mathieu 2015, Matthaie 2015, Rosenstock 2015, Müller-Wieland 2018, Rosenstock 2019	Trial	Hou 2019, Pan 2016, Clarke 2002, Cai 2019 [140], Wu 2018a [141], Wu 2018b [142]
Lin 2021 [143]	No main vs Linagliptin 5 mg, Saxagliptin 5 mg, Alogliptin 25 mg, Sitagliptin 100 mg, and Vildagliptin 50 mg	Du 2017 [144]	Craddy 2014 [145]	MTC	Shao 2017 [146], Li 2015 [147], Wang 2015 [148], Gu 2016a [149], Wu 2018a, Wu 2018b, Pan 2016, Li 2021 [150]
Zupa 2021 [151]	Empagliflozin vs Semaglutide-I	Zinman 2015, Marso 2016s	Zinman 2015, Wanner 2016 [152], Marso 2016s	Trial	Rosen 2010 [153], Earnshaw 2011 [154], Itoga 2018 [155], Lehman 2018 [156], Burgers 2014 [157], Mozaffarian 2018 [158], Palmer 2008 [159], Gæde 2019 [28], Neslusan 2018 [160], Zhang 2012 [161], Arias 2017 [162]
Chakravarty 2018 [163]	Dapagliflozin vs Liraglutide, SU, DPP4, Pioglitazone	Barnett 2014 [164]	Barnett 2014	NMA	Vijan 2014 [165], Matza 2007, Timbie

Appendix A2 - Sources for inputs to the models

Study	Comparison	Cohort data sources	Treatment effect sources	Treatment effect source types	Utility sources
					2010 [166], Currie 2006
Neslusan 2018 [160]	Canagliflozin vs Dapagliflozin	Cefalu 2013 [167], Lavallo-González 2013 [168]	Schubert 2017 [169]	NMA	Bagust 2005, Hoerger 2010, Currie 2006, Shingler 2015 [170], Evans 2013, Coyne 2008 [171]
Hou 2019 [111]	Canagliflozin vs Dapagliflozin	Yang 2016 [172], Yang 2010 [173], Xu 2013 [174], Wang 2017 [175]	Zaccardi 2016 [176]	NMA	Pan 2016, Li 2015, Wang 2015, Gu 2016b [177], Shao 2017, Wu 2018b, Wu 2018c
Ramos 2019 [178]	Empagliflozin vs Sitagliptin, Saxagliptin	Zinman 2015	Zinman 2015, Green 2015, Scirica 2013 [179], Wanner 2016, Riddle 2014 [180]	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Bagust 2005
Ramos 2020a [181]	Empagliflozin vs soc & Liraglutide	Zinman 2015, Hayes 2013 [182], Folsom 2003, WHO 2002, WHO 2004	Zinman 2015, Marso 2016L [183]	Trial	Beaudet 2014, Bagust 2005
Ramos 2020b [92]	Empagliflozin vs Semaglutide-O	Rodbard 2019, Bain 2020, Boehringer Ingelheim 2016 [184], Rodbard 2019, Hayes 2013, Folsom 2003, WHO 2002, WHO 2018 [185], ADVANCE 2008 [84], Ismail-Beigi 2010	Rodbard 2019, Paterno 2019, Bain 2020	Trial, RWD, CEA	Beaudet 2014, Foos 2018, Lauridsen 2014
Reifsnider 2020 [186]	Empagliflozin vs Sitagliptin	NHANES 2019	Mearns 2015 [187], Kansal 2019 [188], Balijepalli 2018a [189]	NMA, CEA, ITC	Sullivan 2006, Sullivan 2016, Grandy 2012 [190], Lindgren 2007 [191], Van Hoek 2011 [192], Xu 2011 [193]
Van der linden 2020 [194]	Dapagliflozin vs DPP4	Matthaei 2015, NICE Technology Assessment 418 2016 [195], Herttroijs 2018 [196]	NICE Technology Assessment 418 2016, Matthaei 2015, Scherthner 2013 [197], Hermansen 2007 [198], Yki-Järvinen 2000 [199], Hollander 2008 [200]	TA, Trial	Sullivan 2016, Kraai 2013 [201], Visser 2016 [202], Redekop 2004 [203], Langelaan 2007 [204], Mazairac 2013 [205], Currie 2006, Van den Hout 2014 [206], Caro 2007 [207]
Ehlers 2021 [208]	Empagliflozin vs Liraglutide	Zinman 2015	Zinman 2014 [209], Zinman 2015, Marso 2016L, Marso 2016S, Balijepalli 2018a	Trial, ITC	Beaudet 2014, Lauridsen 2014, Foos 2018
Gourzoulidis 2021 [210]	Empagliflozin vs Dapagliflozin	Zinman 2015	Zinman 2015, Wiviott 2019 [211]	Trial	Sullivan 2016, Grandy 2012, NICE 2011 [212]
Ramos 2021 [213]	Empagliflozin vs Liraglutide, Sitagliptin	Zinman 2015	Zinman 2015, Balijepalli 2018a, Balijepalli 2018b [214]	Trial, ITC	Li 2018 [215], Beaudet 2014, Bagust 2005
Reifsnider 2021 [216]	Empagliflozin vs Dapagliflozin, Canagliflozin	Zinman 2015	Zinman 2015, Neal 2017 [217], Wiviott 2019	INHOUSE ITC	Grandy 2012, Lindgren 2007, Sullivan 2016, NICE 2011
Lasalvia 2022 [218]	Dapagliflozin vs DPP4	Wiviott 2019	Goring 2014 [219]	NMA	Wiviott 2019, Clarke 2002, Bagust 2005, Brandao 2017 [220], Currie 2006, Barry 1997 [221], Lane 2014
Peng 2022 [222]	SGLT2 vs DPP4	Yang 2022 [223]	Yang 2022	MA	Kuo 2021 [224], Yang 2022
Reifsnider 2022 [225]	Empagliflozin vs Liraglutide	NHANES 2019	Inhouse, Zinman 2015, Kansal 2019, Balijepalli 2018a, FDA victoza 2019 druglabel [226], FDA jardiance 2016 druglabel [227]	NMA, CEA, ITC, Druglabel, Trial	Sullivan 2016, Grandy 2012, Lindgren 2007, Matza 2007, Shao 2019, Boye 2011

ADVANCE: Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation, CADTH: Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, CEA: Cost-effectiveness analysis, EMA: European Medicines Agency, FDA: Food and Drug Administration, ITC: Indirect treatment comparison, MA: Meta-analysis, MTC: Mixed treatment comparison, NMA: Network Meta-analysis, NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, NICE: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, RWD: Real world data, TA: Technology assessment, WHO: World Health Organisation, MET: Metformin, SU: Sulfonylurea, DPP4: dipeptidylpeptidase-4 inhibitors, GLP1: glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist, SGLT2: sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors

References

1. Barnett AH, Arnoldini S, Hunt B, et al. Switching from sitagliptin to liraglutide to manage patients with type 2 diabetes in the UK: A long-term cost-effectiveness analysis. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 2018 Mar; 20 (8): 1921–1927. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13318>
2. Bailey TS, Takács R, Tinahones FJ, et al. (LIRA-SWITCH) Efficacy and safety of switching from sitagliptin to liraglutide in subjects with type 2 diabetes (LIRA-SWITCH): a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, active-controlled 26-week trial. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 2016 Dec; 18 (12): 1191–1198. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12736>
3. Clarke P, Gray A, Holman R. Estimating utility values for health states of type 2 diabetic patients using the EQ-5D (UKPDS 62). *Med Decis Making* 2002; 22 (4): 340–349. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X0202200412>
4. Tengs TO, Wallace A. One thousand health-related quality-of-life estimates. *Med Care* 2000 Jun; 38 (6): 583–637. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005650-200006000-00004>
5. Bagust A, Beale S. Modelling EuroQol health-related utility values for diabetic complications from CODE-2 data. *Health Econ.* 2005 Mar; 14 (3): 217–230. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hec.910>
6. Basson M, Ntais D, Ayyub R, et al. The Cost-effectiveness of Dulaglutide 1.5mg versus Exenatide QW for the Treatment of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in France. *Diabetes Ther* 2018; 9 (1): 13–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-017-0321-0>
7. Giorgino F, Benroubi M, Sun J-H, et al. (AWARD-2) Efficacy and Safety of Once-Weekly Dulaglutide Versus Insulin Glargine in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes on Metformin and Glimepiride. *Diabetes Care* 2015 Dec; 38 (12): 2241–2249. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-1625>
8. WHO. World Health Organization. WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic [online]. 2014. http://who.int/tobacco/surveillance/policy/country_profile/frapdf?ua=1.
9. Eli Lilly and Company. Network meta analysis based on publicly available information on type 2 diabetes medications (data analysis on file[from author Basson et al 2018]). 2014
10. EMA. Bydureon: summary of product characteristics. [online]. 2011; . http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/002020/WC500108241.pdf. (accessed 2017-01-31) [Accessed 2017 Jan 31]
11. Beaudet A, Clegg J, Thuresson P-O, et al. Review of utility values for economic modeling in type 2 diabetes. *Value Health* 2014 Jun; 17 (4): 462–470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2014.03.003>
12. Matza LS, Boye KS, Yurgin N, et al. Utilities and disutilities for type 2 diabetes treatment-related attributes. *Qual Life Res* 2007 Sep; 16 (7): 1251–1265. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-007-9226-0>
13. Boye KS, Matza LS, Walter KN, et al. Utilities and disutilities for attributes of injectable treatments for type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Health Econ* 2011 Jun; 12 (3): 219–230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-010-0224-8>

14. Ericsson Å, Glah D, Lorenzi M, et al. Cost-effectiveness of liraglutide versus lixisenatide as add-on therapies to basal insulin in type 2 diabetes. *PLoS ONE* 2018 Jun; 13 (2): e0191953. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191953>
15. Ahmann A, Rodbard HW, Rosenstock J, et al. (LIRA-ADD2BASAL) Efficacy and safety of liraglutide versus placebo added to basal insulin analogues (with or without metformin) in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2015 Nov; 17 (11): 1056–1064. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12539>
16. Buse JB, Vilsbøll T, Thurman J, et al. (DUAL-II) Contribution of Liraglutide in the Fixed-Ratio Combination of Insulin Degludec and Liraglutide (IDegLira). *Diabetes Care* 2014 Oct; 37 (11): 2926–2933. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0785>
17. Riddle MC, Aronson R, Home P, et al. (GetGoal-L) Adding Once-Daily Lixisenatide for Type 2 Diabetes Inadequately Controlled by Established Basal Insulin. *Diabetes Care* 2013 Sep; 36 (9): 2489–2496. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-2454>
18. Kiadaliri AA, Gerdtham UG, Eliasson B, et al. Cost-utility analysis of glucagon-like Peptide-1 agonists compared with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors or neutral protamine hagedorn Basal insulin as add-on to metformin in type 2 diabetes in sweden. *Diabetes Ther* 2014 Dec; 5 (2): 591–607. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-014-0080-0>
19. Evans M, Khunti K, Mamdani M, et al. Health-related quality of life associated with daytime and nocturnal hypoglycaemic events: a time trade-off survey in five countries. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2013 Jun; 11: 90. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-11-90>
20. Ridderstråle M, Evans LM, Jensen HH, et al. Estimating the impact of changes in HbA1c, body weight and insulin injection regimen on health related quality-of-life: a time trade off study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2016 Jan; 14: 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-016-0411-0>
21. Tzanetakos C, Bargiota A, Kourlaba G, et al. Cost Effectiveness of Exenatide Once Weekly Versus Insulin Glargine and Liraglutide for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Greece. *Clin Drug Investig* 2018 Jan; 38 (1): 67–77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40261-017-0586-0>
22. Diamant M, Van Gaal L, Stranks S, et al. (DURATION-3) Once weekly exenatide compared with insulin glargine titrated to target in patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-3): an open-label randomised trial. *The Lancet* 2010 Jun; 375 (9733): 2234–2243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60406-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60406-0)
23. Scott DA, Boye KS, Timlin L, et al. A network meta-analysis to compare glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with exenatide once weekly or liraglutide once daily in comparison with insulin glargine, exenatide twice daily or placebo. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2013 Mar; 15 (3): 213–223. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12007>
24. Ericsson Å, Fridhammar A. Cost-effectiveness of once-weekly semaglutide versus dulaglutide and lixisenatide in patients with type 2 diabetes with inadequate glycemic control in Sweden. *Journal of Medical Economics* 2019 Oct; 22 (10): 997–1005. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13696998.2019.1614009>

25. Rodbard HW, Lingvay I, Reed J, et al. (SUSTAIN 5) Semaglutide Added to Basal Insulin in Type 2 Diabetes (SUSTAIN 5): A Randomized, Controlled Trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2018; : 11. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2018-00070>
26. Pratley RE, Aroda VR, Lingvay I, et al. (SUSTAIN 7) Semaglutide versus dulaglutide once weekly in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 7): a randomised, open-label, phase 3b trial. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology* 2018 Apr; 6 (4): 275–286. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(18\)30024-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30024-X)
27. Witkowski M, Wilkinson L, Webb N, et al. A Systematic Literature Review and Network Meta-Analysis Comparing Once-Weekly Semaglutide with Other GLP-1 Receptor Agonists in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Previously Receiving 1-2 Oral Anti-Diabetic Drugs. *Diabetes Ther* 2018 Jun; 9 (3): 1149–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-018-0424-2>
28. Gæde P, Johansen P, Tikkanen CK, et al. Management of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes with Once-Weekly Semaglutide Versus Dulaglutide, Exenatide ER, Liraglutide and Lixisenatide: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis in the Danish Setting. *Diabetes Ther* 2019 Aug; 10 (4): 1297–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-019-0630-6>
29. Ahmann AJ, Capehorn M, Charpentier G, et al. (SUSTAIN 3) Efficacy and Safety of Once-Weekly Semaglutide Versus Exenatide ER in Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes (SUSTAIN 3): A 56-Week, Open-Label, Randomized Clinical Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2018 Feb; 41 (2): 258–266. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc17-0417>
30. Lauridsen JT, Lønborg J, Gundgaard J, et al. Diminishing marginal disutility of hypoglycaemic events: results from a time trade-off survey in five countries. *Qual Life Res* 2014 Nov; 23 (9): 2645–2650. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-014-0712-x>
31. Hunt B, Malkin SJP, Moes RGJ, et al. Once-weekly semaglutide for patients with type 2 diabetes: a cost-effectiveness analysis in the Netherlands. *BMJ Open Diab Res Care* 2019 Oct; 7 (1): e000705. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdr-2019-000705>
32. Aroda VR, Bain SC, Cariou B, et al. (SUSTAIN 4) Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus once-daily insulin glargine as add-on to metformin (with or without sulfonylureas) in insulin-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 4): a randomised, open-label, parallel-group, multicentre, multinational, phase 3a trial. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology* 2017 May; 5 (5): 355–366. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(17\)30085-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30085-2)
33. Johansen P, Håkan-Bloch J, Liu AR, et al. Cost Effectiveness of Once-Weekly Semaglutide Versus Once-Weekly Dulaglutide in the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes in Canada. *PharmacoEconomics Open* 2019 Dec; 3 (4): 537–550. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41669-019-0131-6>
34. CADTH. New Drugs for Type 2 Diabetes: Second-Line Therapy – Recommendations Report. Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health 2017; : 26
35. Malkin SJP, Russel-Szymczyk M, Liidemann G, et al. Once-Weekly Semaglutide Versus Once-Daily Liraglutide for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes: A Long-Term Cost-Effectiveness Analysis in Estonia. *Diabetes Ther* 2019 Feb; 10 (1): 159–176. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-018-0542-x>

36. Malkin SJP, Russel-Szymczyk M, Psota M, et al. The Management of Type 2 Diabetes with Once-Weekly Semaglutide Versus Dulaglutide: A Long-Term Cost-Effectiveness Analysis in Slovakia. *Adv Ther* 2019 Aug; 36 (8): 2034–2051. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-019-00965-y>
37. Raya PM, Blasco FJA, Hunt B, et al. Evaluating the long-term cost-effectiveness of fixed-ratio combination insulin degludec/liraglutide (IDegLira) for type 2 diabetes in Spain based on real-world clinical evidence. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2019 Jun; 21 (6): 1349–1356. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13660>
38. Price H, Blüher M, Prager R, et al. (EXTRA) Use and effectiveness of a fixed-ratio combination of insulin degludec/liraglutide (IDegLira) in a real-world population with type 2 diabetes: Results from a European, multicentre, retrospective chart review study. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 2018 Apr; 20 (4): 954–962. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13182>
39. Palmer AJ, Rozea S, Valentinea WJ, et al. Validation of the CORE Diabetes Model Against Epidemiological and Clinical Studies. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* 2004 Jan; 20 (sup1): S27–S40. <https://doi.org/10.1185/030079904X2006>
40. Viljoen A, Hoxer CS, Johansen P, et al. Evaluation of the long-term cost-effectiveness of once-weekly semaglutide versus dulaglutide for treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the UK. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2019 Mar; 21 (3): 611–621. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13564>
41. Bain SC, Hansen BB, Malkin SJP, et al. Oral Semaglutide Versus Empagliflozin, Sitagliptin and Liraglutide in the UK: Long-Term Cost-Effectiveness Analyses Based on the PIONEER Clinical Trial Programme. *Diabetes Ther* 2020 Jan; 11 (1): 259–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-019-00736-6>
42. Rodbard HW, Rosenstock J, Canani LH, et al. (PIONEER 2) Oral Semaglutide Versus Empagliflozin in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled on Metformin: The PIONEER 2 Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2019 Dec; 42 (12): 2272–2281. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc19-0883>
43. Rosenstock J, Allison D, Birkenfeld AL, et al. (PIONEER 3) Effect of Additional Oral Semaglutide vs Sitagliptin on Glycated Hemoglobin in Adults With Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled With Metformin Alone or With Sulfonylurea (PIONEER 3). *JAMA* 2019 Apr; 321 (15): 1466–1480. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.2942>
44. Pratley R, Amod A, Hoff ST, et al. (PIONEER 4) Oral semaglutide versus subcutaneous liraglutide and placebo in type 2 diabetes (PIONEER 4): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3a trial. *The Lancet* 2019 Jul; 394 (10192): 39–50. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31271-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31271-1)
45. Wasserfallen J-B, Halabi G, Saudan P, et al. Quality of life on chronic dialysis: comparison between haemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2004 Jun; 19 (6): 1594–1599. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfh175>
46. Kiberd BA, Jindal KK. Screening to prevent renal failure in insulin dependent diabetic patients: an economic evaluation. *BMJ* 1995 Dec; 311 (7020): 1595–1599. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.311.7020.1595>

47. Fenwick EK, Xie J, Ratcliffe J, et al. The impact of diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema on health-related quality of life in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2012 Feb; 53 (2): 677–684. <https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.11-8992>
48. Lee AJ, Morgan CL, Morrissey M, et al. Evaluation of the association between the EQ-5D (health-related utility) and body mass index (obesity) in hospital-treated people with Type 1 diabetes, Type 2 diabetes and with no diagnosed diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2005 Nov; 22 (11): 1482–1486. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2005.01657.x>
49. Capel M, Ciudin A, Mareque M, et al. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Exenatide versus GLP-1 Receptor Agonists in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Pharmacoeconomics Open* 2020 Jun; 4 (2): 277–286. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41669-019-0171-y>
50. Depablos-Velasco P, Salguero-Chaves E, Mata-Poyo J, et al. Quality of life and satisfaction with treatment in subjects with type 2 diabetes: results in Spain of the PANORAMA study. *Endocrinol Nutr* 2014 Jan; 61 (1): 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endonu.2013.05.005>
51. Sicras-Mainar A, Navarro-Artieda R, Ibáñez-Nolla J. Clinical and economic characteristics associated with type 2 diabetes. *Rev Clin Esp (Barc)* 2014 Apr; 214 (3): 121–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rce.2013.11.002>
52. Mata M, Antoñanzas F, Tafalla M, et al. [The cost of type 2 diabetes in Spain: the CODE-2 study]. *Gac Sanit* 2002; 16 (6): 511–520. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0213-9111\(02\)71973-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0213-9111(02)71973-0)
53. National Institute of Statistics, Instituto Nacional de Estadística; INE [online]. . <http://www.ine.es>.
54. Guisasola FÁ, Povedano ST, Krishnarajah G, et al. Hypoglycaemic symptoms, treatment satisfaction, adherence and their associations with glycaemic goal in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: findings from the Real-Life Effectiveness and Care Patterns of Diabetes Management (RECAP-DM) Study. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 2008; 10 (s1): 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1326.2008.00882.x>
55. Gil, Montalbán. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular risk factors in the adult population of the Community of Madrid: PREDIMERC study [in Spanish]. 2010;
56. Kayaniyl S, Lozano-Ortega G, Bennett HA, et al. A Network Meta-analysis Comparing Exenatide Once Weekly with Other GLP-1 Receptor Agonists for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Ther* 2016 Mar; 7 (1): 27–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-016-0155-1>
57. Currie CJ, Morgan CL, Poole CD, et al. Multivariate models of health-related utility and the fear of hypoglycaemia in people with diabetes. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2006 Aug; 22 (8): 1523–1534. <https://doi.org/10.1185/030079906X115757>
58. Lane S, Levy AR, Mukherjee J, et al. The impact on utilities of differences in body weight among Canadian patients with type 2 diabetes. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2014 Jul; 30 (7): 1267–1273. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2014.899207>

59. Gorgojo-Martínez JJ, Malkin SJP, Martín V, et al. Assessing the cost-effectiveness of a once-weekly GLP-1 analogue versus an SGLT-2 inhibitor in the Spanish setting: Once-weekly semaglutide versus empagliflozin. *Journal of Medical Economics* 2020 Feb; 23 (2): 193–203. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13696998.2019.1681436>
60. Ahrén B, Masmiquel L, Kumar H, et al. (SUSTAIN 2) Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus once-daily sitagliptin as an add-on to metformin, thiazolidinediones, or both, in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 56-week, double-blind, phase 3a, randomised trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2017 May; 5 (5): 341–354. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(17\)30092-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30092-X)
61. Sharma R, Wilkinson L, Vrazic H, et al. Comparative efficacy of once-weekly semaglutide and SGLT-2 inhibitors in type 2 diabetic patients inadequately controlled with metformin monotherapy: a systematic literature review and network meta-analysis. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* 2018 Sep; 34 (9): 1595–1603. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2018.1476332>
62. Johansen P, Chubb B, Hunt B, et al. Evaluating the Long-Term Cost-Effectiveness of Once-Weekly Semaglutide Versus Once-Daily Liraglutide for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes in the UK. *Adv Ther* 2020 May; 37 (5): 2427–2441. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-020-01337-7>
63. Sorli C, Harashima S, Tsoukas GM, et al. (SUSTAIN 1) Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide monotherapy versus placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 1): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multinational, multicentre phase 3a trial. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology* 2017 Apr; 5 (4): 251–260. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(17\)30013-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30013-X)
64. Martín V, Vidal J, Malkin SJP, et al. Evaluation of the Long-Term Cost-Effectiveness of Once-Weekly Semaglutide Versus Dulaglutide and Sitagliptin in the Spanish Setting. *Adv Ther* 2020 Oct; 37 (10): 4427–4445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-020-01464-1>
65. Capehorn M, Hallén N, Baker-Knight J, et al. Evaluating the Cost-Effectiveness of Once-Weekly Semaglutide 1 mg Versus Empagliflozin 25 mg for Treatment of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in the UK Setting. *Diabetes Ther.* 2021; 12 (2): 537–555. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-020-00989-6>
66. Lingvay I, Catarig A-M, Frias JP, et al. (SUSTAIN 8) Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus daily canagliflozin as add-on to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 8): a double-blind, phase 3b, randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology* 2019 Nov; 7 (11): 834–844. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(19\)30311-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30311-0)
67. Lingvay I, Capehorn MS, Catarig A-M, et al. Efficacy of Once-Weekly Semaglutide vs Empagliflozin Added to Metformin in Type 2 Diabetes: Patient-Level Meta-analysis. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 2020 Dec; 105 (12): e4593–e4604. <https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgaa577>
68. Guzauskas GF, Rind DM, Fazioli K, et al. Cost-effectiveness of oral semaglutide added to current antihyperglycemic treatment for type 2 diabetes. *JMCP* 2021 Apr; 27 (4): 455–468. <https://doi.org/10.18553/jmcp.2021.27.4.455>

69. NHANES. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data. 1999-2008. [online]. 2019; . <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm>. (accessed 2019-01-01) [Accessed 2019 Jan 1]
70. Rind. Oral semaglutide for type 2 diabetes: effectiveness and value. Final evidence report [online]. 2019. https://icer.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/ICER_Diabetes_FinalEvidence-Report_120919-1.pdf
71. Shao H, Yang S, Fonseca V, et al. Estimating Quality of Life Decrements Due to Diabetes Complications in the United States: The Health Utility Index (HUI) Diabetes Complication Equation. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2019 Jul; 37 (7): 921–929. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-019-00775-8>
72. Sullivan PW, Ghushchyan VH. EQ-5D Scores for Diabetes-Related Comorbidities. *Value Health* 2016 Dec; 19 (8): 1002–1008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2016.05.018>
73. Malkin. The long-term cost-effectiveness of oral semaglutide in the Netherlands based on the PIONEER 2, 3 and 4 randomized controlled trials | Elsevier Enhanced Reader. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 2021 Mar; . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.108759>
74. Risebrough NA, Baker TM, Zhang L, et al. Lifetime Cost-effectiveness of Oral Semaglutide Versus Dulaglutide and Liraglutide in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Inadequately Controlled With Oral Antidiabetics. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2021 Nov; 43 (11): 1812-1826.e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2021.08.015>
75. Nuhoho S, Gupta J, Hansen BB, et al. Orally Administered Semaglutide Versus GLP-1 RAs in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Previously Receiving 1-2 Oral Antidiabetics: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis. *Diabetes Ther* 2019 Dec; 10 (6): 2183–2199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-019-00706-y>
76. Sullivan PW, Ghushchyan V. Preference-Based EQ-5D index scores for chronic conditions in the United States. *Med Decis Making* 2006; 26 (4): 410–420. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X06290495>
77. Ehlers LH, Lamotte M, Ramos MC, et al. The cost–effectiveness of oral semaglutide versus empagliflozin in Type 2 diabetes in Denmark. *Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research* 2022 Jan; 11 (1): 29–37. <https://doi.org/10.2217/cer-2021-0169>
78. LDL Calculated [online]. MDCalc. 2019 Jun; . <https://www.mdcalc.com/calc/70/ldl-calculated>
79. Ridderstråle M, Rosenstock J, Andersen KR, et al. Empagliflozin compared with glimepiride in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes: 208-week data from a masked randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2018 Dec; 20 (12): 2768–2777. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13457>
80. Folsom AR, Chambless LE, Duncan BB, et al. Prediction of coronary heart disease in middle-aged adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2003 Oct; 26 (10): 2777–2784. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.26.10.2777>

81. Mackay JL, Eriksen MP. WHO 2002 The tobacco atlas [online]. World Health Organization; 2002. [Accessed 2023 Feb 23]. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42580>
82. Global status report on alcohol 2004. Geneva: World Health Organization, Dept. of Mental Health and Substance Abuse; 2004
83. Kingry C, Bastien A, Booth G, et al. Recruitment strategies in the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial. *Am J Cardiol* 2007 Jun; 99 (12A): 68i–79i. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.03.025>
84. ADVANCE collaborative group et al. (ADVANCE)Intensive Blood Glucose Control and Vascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2008 Jun; 358 (24): 2560–2572. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0802987>
85. Ismail-Beigi F, Craven T, Banerji MA, et al. Effect of intensive treatment of hyperglycaemia on microvascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes: an analysis of the ACCORD randomised trial. *Lancet* 2010 Aug; 376 (9739): 419–430. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60576-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60576-4)
86. Foos V, McEwan P. Conversion of Hypoglycemia Utility Decrements from Categorical Units Reflecting Event History into Event Specific Disutility Scores Applicable to Diabetes Decision Models. *Value in Health* 2018 May; 21: S223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2018.04.1506>
87. Turner KME, Round J, Horner P, et al. An early evaluation of clinical and economic costs and benefits of implementing point of care NAAT tests for Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoea in genitourinary medicine clinics in England. *Sex Transm Infect* 2014 Mar; 90 (2): 104–111. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2013-051147>
88. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Overview | Healthcare-associated infections: prevention and control in primary and community care | Guidance | NICE - CG139 [online]. NICE; 2012. [Accessed 2023 Feb 7]. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg139>
89. Ehlers LH, Lamotte M, Ramos MC, et al. The Cost-Effectiveness of Subcutaneous Semaglutide Versus Empagliflozin in Type 2 Diabetes Uncontrolled on Metformin Alone in Denmark. *Diabetes Ther* 2022 Mar; 13 (3): 489–503. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-022-01221-3>
90. Willis-a M, Asseburg C, Nilsson A, et al. Multivariate Prediction Equations for HbA1c Lowering, Weight Change, and Hypoglycemic Events Associated with Insulin Rescue Medication in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Informing Economic Modeling. *Value Health* 2017 Mar; 20 (3): 357–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2016.10.004>
91. Fonseca V, Gill J, Zhou R, et al. An analysis of early insulin glargine added to metformin with or without sulfonylurea: impact on glycaemic control and hypoglycaemia. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2011 Sep; 13 (9): 814–822. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1326.2011.01412.x>
92. Ramos M, Cummings MH, Ustyugova A, et al. Long-Term Cost-Effectiveness Analyses of Empagliflozin Versus Oral Semaglutide, in Addition to Metformin, for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes in the UK. *Diabetes Ther* 2020 Sep; 11 (9): 2041–2055. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-020-00883-1>

93. Ekhlasi M, Taheri S, Yousefi N. Cost-Effectiveness of Dulaglutide Versus Liraglutide for Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Iran. *Value in Health Regional Issues* 2022 Nov; 32: 54–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vhri.2022.07.005>
94. WHO. Iran STEPS Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factors Survey 2016 | GHDx [online]. 2016; . <https://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/iran-steps-noncommunicable-disease-risk-factors-survey-2016> (accessed 2023-02-24)
95. Taheri S, Saffaei A, Amani B, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Dulaglutide Compared to Liraglutide: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Iran J Pharm Res* 2019; 18 (4): 2180–2190. <https://doi.org/10.22037/ijpr.2019.14733.12619>
96. Blonde L, Jendle J, Gross J, et al. Once-weekly dulaglutide versus bedtime insulin glargine, both in combination with prandial insulin lispro, in patients with type 2 diabetes (AWARD-4): a randomised, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority study. *Lancet* 2015 May; 385 (9982): 2057–2066. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)60936-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60936-9)
97. Eli Lilly and Company. A Phase 3 Study of LY2189265 Monotherapy Compared to Placebo and Liraglutide in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus [online]. [clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01558271); 2015. [Accessed 2023 Feb 22]. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01558271>
98. Dungan KM, Povedano ST, Forst T, et al. Once-weekly dulaglutide versus once-daily liraglutide in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes (AWARD-6): a randomised, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2014 Oct; 384 (9951): 1349–1357. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60976-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60976-4)
99. Rosselli D, Castaño N, Arciniegas J-A, et al. Costo-efectividad de las estatinas para el tratamiento de dislipidemia en Colombia. *Acta Medica Colombiana* 2015 Apr; 40 (2): 118–124
100. Manual de tarifas de la entidad promotora de salud del seguro social EPS-ISS. Consejo directivo del instituto de seguros sociales. [online]. 2016. <https://lexsaludcolombia.files.wordpress.com/2010/10/tarifas-iss-2001.pdf>
101. Liu X, Li C, Gong H, et al. An economic evaluation for prevention of diabetes mellitus in a developing country: a modelling study. *BMC Public Health* 2013 Aug; 13: 729. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-729>
102. Sabapathy S, Neslusan C, Yoong K, et al. Impact Of Weight-Related Utilities On The Cost-Effectiveness Of Canagliflozin (Cana) Versus Sitagliptin (Sita) As Third-Line Therapy In Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2dm) In A Canadian Setting. *Value in Health* 2015 May; 18 (3): A62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2015.03.361>
103. Granström O, Bergenheim K, McEwan P, et al. Cost-effectiveness of saxagliptin (Onglyza®) in type 2 diabetes in Sweden. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2012 Jul; 6 (2): 127–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcd.2011.09.003>
104. Eliasson B, Ericsson Å, Fridhammar A, et al. Long-Term Cost Effectiveness of Oral Semaglutide Versus Empagliflozin and Sitagliptin for the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes in the Swedish Setting.

PharmacoEconomics Open 2022 May; 6 (3): 343–354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41669-021-00317-z>

105. Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, et al. (PIONEER 6) Oral Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2019 Aug; 381 (9): 841–851. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1901118>
106. Green JB, Bethel MA, Armstrong PW, et al. (TECOS) Effect of Sitagliptin on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2015 Jul; 373 (3): 232–242. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1501352>
107. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al. (EMPA-REG OUTCOME - CVD) Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2015 Nov; 373 (22): 2117–2128. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1504720>
108. Franch-Nadal J, Malkin SJP, Hunt B, et al. The Cost-Effectiveness of Oral Semaglutide in Spain: A Long-Term Health Economic Analysis Based on the PIONEER Clinical Trials. *Adv Ther* 2022 Jul; 39 (7): 3180–3198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-022-02156-8>
109. Hu S, Gu S, Qi C, et al. Cost-utility analysis of semaglutide for type 2 diabetes after its addition to the National Medical Insurance System in China. *Diabetes Obesity Metabolism* 2022 Oct; : dom.14881. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.14881>
110. Pan C-W, Sun H-P, Zhou H-J, et al. Valuing Health-Related Quality of Life in Type 2 Diabetes Patients in China. *Med Decis Making* 2016 Feb; 36 (2): 234–241. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X15606903>
111. Hou X, Wan X, Wu B. Cost-Effectiveness of Canagliflozin Versus Dapagliflozin Added to Metformin in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes in China. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2019 May; 10: 480. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00480>
112. Malkin SJP, Carvalho D, Costa C, et al. 'The long-term cost-effectiveness of oral semaglutide versus empagliflozin and dulaglutide in Portugal. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 2022 Dec; 14 (1): 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-022-00801-4>
113. Ruan Z, Ung COL, Shen Y, et al. Long-Term Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Once-Weekly Semaglutide versus Dulaglutide in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes with Inadequate Glycemic Control in China. *Diabetes Ther* 2022 Oct; 13 (10): 1737–1753. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-022-01301-4>
114. Marso-S SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al. (SUSTAIN 6) Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. <https://doi-org.zorac.aub.aau.dk/10.1056/NEJMoa1607141> [online] 2016; . <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1607141> [Accessed 2021 Feb 15]
115. Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, et al. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2019 Jul; 394 (10193): 121–130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31149-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31149-3)

116. Deng J, Gu S, Shao H, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of exenatide twice daily (BID) vs insulin glargine once daily (QD) as add-on therapy in Chinese patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled by oral therapies. *J Med Econ* 2015; 18 (11): 974–989. <https://doi.org/10.3111/13696998.2015.1067622>
117. Stafford S, Bech PG, Fridhammar A, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Once-Weekly Semaglutide 1 mg versus Canagliflozin 300 mg in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in a Canadian Setting. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy* 2022 Jul; 20 (4): 543–555. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-022-00726-z>
118. Hoerger TJ, Wittenborn JS, Segel JE, et al. A health policy model of CKD: 1. Model construction, assumptions, and validation of health consequences. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010 Mar; 55 (3): 452–462. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2009.11.016>
119. Viljoen A, Chubb B, Malkin SJP, et al. The long-term cost-effectiveness of once-weekly semaglutide 1 mg vs. dulaglutide 3 mg and 4.5 mg in the UK. *Eur J Health Econ* 2022 Sep; . <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-022-01514-1>
120. Pratley RE, Catarig A-M, Lingvay I, et al. An indirect treatment comparison of the efficacy of semaglutide 1.0 mg versus dulaglutide 3.0 and 4.5 mg. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2021 Nov; 23 (11): 2513–2520. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.14497>
121. Chien C-L, Chen Y-C, Malone DC, et al. Cost-utility analysis of second-line anti-diabetic therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on metformin. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* 2020 Oct; 36 (10): 1619–1626. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2020.1815686>
122. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). *The Lancet* 1998 Sep; 352 (9131): 837–853. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(98\)07019-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(98)07019-6)
123. Hall DMB, Cole TJ. What use is the BMI? *Arch Dis Child* 2006 Apr; 91 (4): 283–286. <https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2005.077339>
124. Chen. The relationships of diabetes medication on the risk of acute myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, and death in patients with type 2 diabetes – participants in National Diabetes Care Management Program [master’s thesis]. Taipei: Taipei Medical University; 2013
125. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972 Jun; 18 (6): 499–502
126. Downes MJ, Bettington EK, Gunton JE, et al. Triple therapy in type 2 diabetes; a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *PeerJ* 2015; 3: e1461. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1461>
127. Søfteland E, Meier JJ, Vangen B, et al. Empagliflozin as Add-on Therapy in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Inadequately Controlled With Linagliptin and Metformin: A 24-Week Randomized, Double-Blind, Parallel-Group Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2017 Feb; 40 (2): 201–209. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-1347>

128. Monami M, Marchionni N, Mannucci E. Long-acting insulin analogues versus NPH human insulin in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2008 Aug; 81 (2): 184–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2008.04.007>
129. Leiter LA, Yoon K-H, Arias P, et al. Canagliflozin provides durable glycemic improvements and body weight reduction over 104 weeks versus glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes on metformin: a randomized, double-blind, phase 3 study. *Diabetes Care* 2015 Mar; 38 (3): 355–364. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-2762>
130. Nauck MA, Del Prato S, Meier JJ, et al. Dapagliflozin versus glipizide as add-on therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes who have inadequate glycemic control with metformin: a randomized, 52-week, double-blind, active-controlled noninferiority trial. *Diabetes Care* 2011 Sep; 34 (9): 2015–2022. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc11-0606>
131. Ridderstråle M, Andersen KR, Zeller C, et al. Comparison of empagliflozin and glimepiride as add-on to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 104-week randomised, active-controlled, double-blind, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2014 Sep; 2 (9): 691–700. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(14\)70120-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(14)70120-2)
132. Häring H-U, Merker L, Seewaldt-Becker E, et al. Empagliflozin as add-on to metformin plus sulfonylurea in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 24-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Diabetes Care* 2013 Nov; 36 (11): 3396–3404. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-2673>
133. Matthaehi S, Bowering K, Rohwedder K, et al. Dapagliflozin improves glycemic control and reduces body weight as add-on therapy to metformin plus sulfonylurea: a 24-week randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Diabetes Care* 2015 Mar; 38 (3): 365–372. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0666>
134. Wilding JPH, Charpentier G, Hollander P, et al. Efficacy and safety of canagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled with metformin and sulphonylurea: a randomised trial. *Int J Clin Pract* 2013 Dec; 67 (12): 1267–1282. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.12322>
135. Chen. Health utilities in patients with diabetes in Taiwan [master's thesis]. Taipei: Taipei Medical University; 2018
136. Hu S, Deng X, Ma Y, et al. Cost-Utility Analysis of Dapagliflozin Versus Saxagliptin Treatment as Monotherapy or Combination Therapy as Add-on to Metformin for Treating Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy* 2021 Jan; 19 (1): 69–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40258-020-00603-7>
137. Mathieu C, Ranetti AE, Li D, et al. Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase 3 Trial of Triple Therapy With Dapagliflozin Add-on to Saxagliptin Plus Metformin in Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2015 Nov; 38 (11): 2009–2017. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc15-0779>
138. Rosenstock J, Hansen L, Zee P, et al. Dual Add-on Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes Poorly Controlled With Metformin Monotherapy: A Randomized Double-Blind Trial of Saxagliptin Plus Dapagliflozin Addition Versus Single Addition of Saxagliptin or Dapagliflozin to Metformin. *Diabetes Care* 2015 Mar; 38 (3): 376–383. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-1142>

139. Müller-Wieland D, Kellerer M, Cypriak K, et al. Efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin or dapagliflozin plus saxagliptin versus glimepiride as add-on to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2018 Nov; 20 (11): 2598–2607. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13437>
140. Cai X, Shi L, Yang W, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of dapagliflozin treatment versus metformin treatment in Chinese population with type 2 diabetes. *J Med Econ* 2019 Apr; 22 (4): 336–343. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13696998.2019.1570220>
141. Wu B, Ma J, Zhang S, et al. (COMT, Wu 2018a) Development and validation of a Health Policy Model of Type 2 diabetes in Chinese setting. *Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research* 2018 Aug; 7 (8): 749–763. <https://doi.org/10.2217/cer-2018-0001>
142. Wu B, Wan X, Ma J. Wu 2018b Cost-effectiveness of prevention and management of diabetic foot ulcer and amputation in a health resource-limited setting. *J Diabetes* 2018 Apr; 10 (4): 320–327. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-0407.12612>
143. Lin W-Q, Cai Z, Chen T, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Dipeptidylpeptidase-4 Inhibitors Added to Metformin in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes in China. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2021 Aug; 12: 684960. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021.684960>
144. Du J, Liang L, Fang H, et al. Efficacy and safety of saxagliptin compared with acarbose in Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus uncontrolled on metformin monotherapy: Results of a Phase IV open-label randomized controlled study (the SMART study): DU et al. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2017 Nov; 19 (11): 1513–1520. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12942>
145. Craddy P, Palin H-J, Johnson KI. Comparative Effectiveness of Dipeptidylpeptidase-4 Inhibitors in Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Mixed Treatment Comparison. *Diabetes Ther* 2014 Jun; 5 (1): 1–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-014-0061-3>
146. Shao H, Zhai S, Zou D, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of dapagliflozin versus glimepiride as monotherapy in a Chinese population with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* 2017 Feb; 33 (2): 359–369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2016.1257978>
147. Li T, Liu M, Ben H, et al. Clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients with recent ischemic stroke and established peripheral artery disease: an economic evaluation in a Chinese setting. *Clin Drug Investig* 2015 Jun; 35 (6): 365–374. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40261-015-0290-x>
148. Wang H, Lin X, Zhang Z, et al. The Economic Burden of Inpatients With Type 2 Diabetes: A Case Study in a Chinese Hospital. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2015 Mar; 27 (2_suppl): 49S-54S. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539515572220>
149. Gu-A S, Zeng Y, Yu D, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Saxagliptin versus Acarbose as Second-Line Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes in China. *PLoS ONE* 2016; 11 (11): e0167190. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167190>
150. Li C, Zhou H, Wang P. Health utility of type 2 diabetes patients using basal insulin in China: results from the BEYOND II study. *Acta Diabetol* 2021 Mar; 58 (3): 329–339. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-020-01618-1>

151. Zupa MF, Codario RA, Smith KJ. Cost-effectiveness of empagliflozin versus weekly semaglutide as add-on therapy for Type 2 diabetes. *Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research* 2021 Oct; 10 (15): 1133–1141. <https://doi.org/10.2217/cer-2021-0016>
152. Wanner C, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, et al. (EMPA-REG OUTCOME - KIDNEY/RENAL) Empagliflozin and Progression of Kidney Disease in Type 2 Diabetes. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2016 Jul; 375 (4): 323–334. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1515920>
153. Rosen VM, Taylor DCA, Parekh H, et al. Cost effectiveness of intensive lipid-lowering treatment for patients with congestive heart failure and coronary heart disease in the US. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2010; 28 (1): 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.2165/11531440-000000000-00000>
154. Earnshaw SR, Scheiman J, Fendrick AM, et al. Cost-utility of aspirin and proton pump inhibitors for primary prevention. *Arch Intern Med* 2011 Feb; 171 (3): 218–225. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2010.525>
155. Itoga NK, Minami HR, Chelvakumar M, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of asymptomatic peripheral artery disease screening with the ABI test. *Vasc Med* 2018 Apr; 23 (2): 97–106. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1358863X17745371>
156. Lehman EP, Cowper PA, Randolph TC, et al. Usefulness and Cost-Effectiveness of Universal Echocardiographic Contrast to Detect Left Ventricular Thrombus in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. *Am J Cardiol* 2018 Jul; 122 (1): 121–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2018.03.016>
157. Burgers LT, Nauta ST, Deckers JW, et al. Is it cost-effective to use a test to decide which individuals with an intermediate cardiovascular disease risk would benefit from statin treatment? *Int J Cardiol* 2014 Oct; 176 (3): 980–987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.08.134>
158. Mozaffarian D, Liu J, Sy S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of financial incentives and disincentives for improving food purchases and health through the US Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP): A microsimulation study. *PLoS Med* 2018 Oct; 15 (10): e1002661. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002661>
159. Palmer AJ, Valentine WJ, Chen R, et al. A health economic analysis of screening and optimal treatment of nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes and hypertension in the USA. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2008 Apr; 23 (4): 1216–1223. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfn082>
160. Neslusan C, Teschemaker A, Willis M, et al. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Canagliflozin 300 mg Versus Dapagliflozin 10 mg Added to Metformin in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in the United States. *Diabetes Ther* 2018 Feb; 9 (2): 565–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-018-0371-y>
161. Zhang P, Brown MB, Bilik D, et al. Health utility scores for people with type 2 diabetes in U.S. managed care health plans: results from Translating Research Into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD). *Diabetes Care* 2012 Nov; 35 (11): 2250–2256. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc11-2478>
162. Arias. United States Life Tables, 2017. National Vital Statistics Report. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, GA, USA (2017). 2017;

163. Chakravarty A, Rastogi M, Dhankhar P, et al. Comparison of costs and outcomes of dapagliflozin with other glucose-lowering therapy classes added to metformin using a short-term cost-effectiveness model in the US setting. *Journal of Medical Economics* 2018 May; 21 (5): 497–509. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13696998.2018.1434182>
164. Barnett A, Orme M. Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis to Compare Dapagliflozin with other Diabetes Medications in Combination with Metformin for Adults with Type 2 Diabetes. *Intern Med* [online] 2014; 01 (s6). <https://doi.org/10.4172/2165-8048.S6-006> [Accessed 2023 Feb 24]
165. Vijan S, Sussman JB, Yudkin JS, et al. Effect of patients' risks and preferences on health gains with plasma glucose level lowering in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *JAMA Intern Med* 2014 Aug; 174 (8): 1227–1234. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.2894>
166. Timbie JW, Hayward RA, Vijan S. Variation in the net benefit of aggressive cardiovascular risk factor control across the US population of patients with diabetes mellitus. *Arch Intern Med* 2010 Jun; 170 (12): 1037–1044. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2010.150>
167. Cefalu WT, Leiter LA, Yoon K-H, et al. (CANTATA-SU) Efficacy and safety of canagliflozin versus glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with metformin (CANTATA-SU): 52 week results from a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *The Lancet* 2013 Sep; 382 (9896): 941–950. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)60683-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60683-2)
168. Lavallo-González FJ, Januszewicz A, Davidson J, et al. (CANA-UNNAMED) Efficacy and safety of canagliflozin compared with placebo and sitagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes on background metformin monotherapy: a randomised trial. *Diabetologia* 2013; 56 (12): 2582–2592. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-013-3039-1>
169. Schubert A, Buchholt AT, El Khoury AC, et al. Evaluating the costs of glycemic response with canagliflozin versus dapagliflozin and empagliflozin as add-on to metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the United Arab Emirates. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2017 Jun; 33 (6): 1155–1163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2017.1310091>
170. Shingler S, Fordham B, Evans M, et al. Utilities for treatment-related adverse events in type 2 diabetes. *J Med Econ* 2015 Jan; 18 (1): 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.3111/13696998.2014.971158>
171. Coyne KS, Sexton CC, Irwin DE, et al. The impact of overactive bladder, incontinence and other lower urinary tract symptoms on quality of life, work productivity, sexuality and emotional well-being in men and women: results from the EPIC study. *BJU Int* 2008 Jun; 101 (11): 1388–1395. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-410X.2008.07601.x>
172. Yang W, Han P, Min K-W, et al. (brugt i Hou2019) Efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes after metformin failure: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Diabetes* 2016; 8 (6): 796–808. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-0407.12357>
173. Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, et al. Prevalence of Diabetes among Men and Women in China. *N Engl J Med* 2010 Mar; 362 (12): 1090–1101. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0908292>

174. Xu Y, Wang L, He J, et al. Prevalence and control of diabetes in Chinese adults. *JAMA* 2013 Sep; 310 (9): 948–959. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.168118>
175. Wang L, Gao P, Zhang M, et al. Prevalence and Ethnic Pattern of Diabetes and Prediabetes in China in 2013. *JAMA* 2017 Jun; 317 (24): 2515. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.7596>
176. Zaccardi F, Webb DR, Htike ZZ, et al. Efficacy and safety of sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2016 Aug; 18 (8): 783–794. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12670>
177. Gu-B S, Mu Y, Zhai S, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Dapagliflozin versus Acarbose as a Monotherapy in Type 2 Diabetes in China. *PLoS ONE* 2016; 11 (11): e0165629. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165629>
178. Ramos M, Foos V, Ustyugova A, et al. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Empagliflozin in Comparison to Sitagliptin and Saxagliptin Based on Cardiovascular Outcome Trials in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Established Cardiovascular Disease. *Diabetes Ther* 2019 Dec; 10 (6): 2153–2167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-019-00701-3>
179. Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, et al. (SAVOR-TIMI 53) Saxagliptin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2013 Oct; 369 (14): 1317–1326. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1307684>
180. Riddle MC, Bolli GB, Ziemien M, et al. (EDITION 1) New insulin glargine 300 units/mL versus glargine 100 units/mL in people with type 2 diabetes using basal and mealtime insulin: glucose control and hypoglycemia in a 6-month randomized controlled trial. *Diabetes Care* 2014 Oct; 37 (10): 2755–2762. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0991>
181. Ramos M, Ustyugova A, Hau N, et al. Cost–effectiveness of empagliflozin compared with liraglutide based on cardiovascular outcome trials in Type II diabetes. *Journal of Comparative Effectiveness Research* 2020 Jun; : cer-2020-0071. <https://doi.org/10.2217/cer-2020-0071>
182. Hayes AJ, Leal J, Gray AM, et al. UKPDS Outcomes Model 2: a new version of a model to simulate lifetime health outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus using data from the 30 year United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study: UKPDS 82. *Diabetologia* 2013 Sep; 56 (9): 1925–1933. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-013-2940-y>
183. Marso-L SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. (LEADER) Marso-L Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2016 Jul; 375 (4): 311–322. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1603827>
184. Boehringer Ingelheim. (EMPA-REG OUTCOME H2H-SU) A Phase III Randomised, Double-blind, Active-controlled Parallel Group Efficacy and Safety Study of BI 10773 Compared to Glimepiride Administered Orally During 104 Weeks With a 104 Week Extension Period in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Insufficient Glycaemic Control Despite Metformin Treatment [online]. [clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01167881); 2016. [Accessed 2023 Feb 5]. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01167881>
185. WHO. Global status report on alcohol and health [online]. 2018; . <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241565639> (accessed 2023-02-23)

186. Reifsnider O, Kansal A, Pimple P, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of empagliflozin versus sitagliptin as second-line therapy for treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes in the United States. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2020; 23 (3): 791–799. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.14268>
187. Mearns ES, Sobieraj DM, White CM, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of antidiabetic drug regimens added to metformin monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes: a network meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2015; 10 (4): e0125879. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125879>
188. Kansal A, Reifsnider OS, Proskorovsky I, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of empagliflozin treatment in people with Type 2 diabetes and established cardiovascular disease in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial. *Diabet Med* 2019 Nov; 36 (11): 1494–1502. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.14076>
189. Balijepalli-A C, Shirali R, Kandaswamy P, et al. Cardiovascular Safety of Empagliflozin Versus Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 (DPP-4) Inhibitors in Type 2 Diabetes: Systematic Literature Review and Indirect Comparisons. *Diabetes Ther* 2018 Aug; 9 (4): 1491–1500. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-018-0456-7>
190. Grandy S, Fox KM, SHIELD Study Group. Change in health status (EQ-5D) over 5 years among individuals with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus in the SHIELD longitudinal study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2012 Aug; 10: 99. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-10-99>
191. Lindgren P, Graff J, Olsson AG, et al. Cost-effectiveness of high-dose atorvastatin compared with regular dose simvastatin. *Eur Heart J* 2007 Jun; 28 (12): 1448–1453. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehm020>
192. van Hoek AJ, Underwood A, Jit M, et al. The impact of pandemic influenza H1N1 on health-related quality of life: a prospective population-based study. *PLoS One* 2011 Mar; 6 (3): e17030. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017030>
193. Xu R, Insinga RP, Golden W, et al. EuroQol (EQ-5D) health utility scores for patients with migraine. *Qual Life Res* 2011 May; 20 (4): 601–608. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-010-9783-5>
194. Van der Linden N, Van Olst S, Nekeman S, et al. The cost-effectiveness of dapagliflozin compared to DPP-4 inhibitors in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Netherlands. *Diabet Med* 2020 Apr; 38 (4). <https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.14371>
195. NICE. Dapagliflozin in triple therapy for treating type 2 diabetes [online]. 2016. [Accessed 2020 May 1]. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta418/resources/dapagliflozin-in-triple-therapy-for-treating-type-2-diabetespdf-82604609944261> (accessed 2020-05-01) [Accessed 2020 May 1]
196. Hertroijs DFL, Elissen AMJ, Brouwers MCGJ, et al. A risk score including body mass index, glycated haemoglobin and triglycerides predicts future glycaemic control in people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2018 Mar; 20 (3): 681–688. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13148>
197. Schernthaner G, Gross JL, Rosenstock J, et al. Canagliflozin compared with sitagliptin for patients with type 2 diabetes who do not have adequate glycemic control with metformin plus sulfonylurea: a 52-week randomized trial. *Diabetes Care* 2013 Sep; 36 (9): 2508–2515. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-2491>

198. Hermansen K, Kipnes M, Luo E, et al. Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, sitagliptin, in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on glimepiride alone or on glimepiride and metformin. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2007 Sep; 9 (5): 733–745. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1326.2007.00744.x>
199. Yki-Järvinen H, Dressler A, Ziemer M, et al. Less nocturnal hypoglycemia and better post-dinner glucose control with bedtime insulin glargine compared with bedtime NPH insulin during insulin combination therapy in type 2 diabetes. HOE 901/3002 Study Group. *Diabetes Care* 2000 Aug; 23 (8): 1130–1136. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.23.8.1130>
200. Hollander P, Cooper J, Bregnhøj J, et al. A 52-week, multinational, open-label, parallel-group, noninferiority, treat-to-target trial comparing insulin detemir with insulin glargine in a basal-bolus regimen with mealtime insulin aspart in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clin Ther* 2008 Nov; 30 (11): 1976–1987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2008.11.001>
201. Kraai IH, Vermeulen KM, Luttik MLA, et al. Preferences of heart failure patients in daily clinical practice: quality of life or longevity? *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013 Oct; 15 (10): 1113–1121. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjhf/hft071>
202. Visser J. Artsen zuinig met nieuwe diabetesmiddelen. 2016; [Accessed 2020 May 1]
203. Redekop WK, Stolk EA, Kok E, et al. Diabetic foot ulcers and amputations: estimates of health utility for use in cost-effectiveness analyses of new treatments. *Diabetes Metab* 2004 Dec; 30 (6): 549–556. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1262-3636\(07\)70154-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1262-3636(07)70154-4)
204. Langelaan M, de Boer MR, van Nispen RMA, et al. Impact of visual impairment on quality of life: a comparison with quality of life in the general population and with other chronic conditions. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2007; 14 (3): 119–126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09286580601139212>
205. Mazairac AHA, Blankestijn PJ, Grooteman MPC, et al. The cost-utility of haemodiafiltration versus haemodialysis in the Convective Transport Study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2013 Jul; 28 (7): 1865–1873. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gft045>
206. van den Hout WB, Caljouw MAA, Putter H, et al. Cost-effectiveness of cranberry capsules to prevent urinary tract infection in long-term care facilities: economic evaluation with a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2014 Jan; 62 (1): 111–116. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.12595>
207. Jaime Caro J, Ozer Stillman I, Danel A, et al. Cost effectiveness of rimonabant use in patients at increased cardiometabolic risk: estimates from a Markov model. *Journal of Medical Economics* 2007 Jan; 10 (3): 239–254. <https://doi.org/10.3111/13696990701438629>
208. Ehlers LH, Lamotte M, Monteiro S, et al. The Cost-Effectiveness of Empagliflozin Versus Liraglutide Treatment in People with Type 2 Diabetes and Established Cardiovascular Disease. *Diabetes Ther* 2021 May; 12 (5): 1523–1534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-021-01040-y>
209. Zinman B, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, et al. (EMPA-REG OUTCOME - DESIGN) Rationale, design, and baseline characteristics of a randomized, placebo-controlled cardiovascular outcome trial of

- empagliflozin (EMPA-REG OUTCOMETM). *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2014 Jun; 13: 102.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2840-13-102>
210. Gourzoulidis G, Tzanetakos C, Ioannidis I, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Empagliflozin Compared with Dapagliflozin for the Treatment of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Established Cardiovascular Disease in Greece. *Clin Drug Investig* 2021 Apr; 41 (4): 371–380.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40261-021-01013-w>
 211. Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, et al. (DECLARE-TIMI 58) Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes DECLARE. *N Engl J Med* 2019 Jan; 380 (4): 347–357.
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1812389>
 212. NICE. Type 2 diabetes: The management of type 2 diabetes [online]. 2011; .
<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG87> (accessed 2011-01-01) [Accessed 2011 Jan 1]
 213. Ramos M, Men P, Wang X, et al. Cost-effectiveness of empagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes and established cardiovascular disease in China. *Cost Eff Resour Alloc* 2021 Dec; 19 (1): 46. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12962-021-00299-z>
 214. Balijepalli-B C, Ayers D, Kandaswamy P, et al. PDB12 - CARDIOVASCULAR SAFETY OF EMPAGLIFLOZIN VERSUS GLUCAGON-LIKE PEPTIDE-1 (GLP-1) RECEPTOR AGONISTS: SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW AND INDIRECT COMPARISONS. *Value in Health* 2018 Oct; 21: S120–S121.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2018.09.718>
 215. Li T, Wan X, Ma J, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Primary Prevention with Statin Treatment for Chinese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *Adv Ther* 2018 Dec; 35 (12): 2214–2223.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-018-0823-9>
 216. Reifsnider OS, Kansal AR, Gandhi PK, et al. Cost-effectiveness of empagliflozin versus canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, or standard of care in patients with type 2 diabetes and established cardiovascular disease. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2021 Apr; 9 (1): e001313.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdr-2020-001313>
 217. Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, et al. (CANVAS) Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2017 Aug; 377 (7): 644–657.
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1611925>
 218. Lasalvia P, Gil-Rojas Y, García Á. Cost-effectiveness of dapagliflozin compared to DPP-4 inhibitors as combination therapy with metformin in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus without established cardiovascular disease in Colombia. *Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research* 2022 Aug; 22 (6): 955–964.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14737167.2022.2044310>
 219. Goring S, Hawkins N, Wygant G, et al. Dapagliflozin compared with other oral anti-diabetes treatments when added to metformin monotherapy: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2014 May; 16 (5): 433–442. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12239>

220. Brandão SMG, Hueb W, Ju YT, et al. Utility and quality-adjusted life-years in coronary artery disease: Five-year follow-up of the MASS II trial. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017 Dec; 96 (50): e9113. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000009113>
221. Barry HC, Ebell MH, Hickner J. Evaluation of suspected urinary tract infection in ambulatory women: a cost-utility analysis of office-based strategies. *J Fam Pract* 1997 Jan; 44 (1): 49–60
222. Peng Z, Yang C, Ou H, et al. Cost-effectiveness of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors versus dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors among patients with type 2 diabetes with and without established cardiovascular diseases: A model-based simulation analysis using 10-year real-world data and targeted literature review. *Diabetes Obesity Metabolism* 2022 Jul; 24 (7): 1328–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.14708>
223. Yang C-T, Peng Z-Y, Chen Y-C, et al. Cardiovascular Benefits With Favorable Renal, Amputation and Hypoglycemic Outcomes of SGLT-2 Inhibitors in Type 2 Diabetes From the Asian Perspective: A Population-Based Cohort Study and Systematic Review. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2022; 13: 836365. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.836365>
224. Kuo S, Yang C-T, Chen H-Y, et al. Valuing health states of people with type 2 diabetes: Analyses of the nationwide representative linked databases. *J Diabetes Investig* 2021 Sep; 12 (9): 1749–1758. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdi.13520>
225. Reifsnider OS, Pimple P, Brand S, et al. Cost-effectiveness empagliflozin versus liraglutide for type 2 diabetes in the United States. *Diabetes Obesity Metabolism* 2022 Apr; 24 (4): 652–661. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.14625>
226. US Food and Drug Administration. VICTOZA® (liraglutide) injection, for subcutaneous use: Prescribing Information. Initial U.S. Approval: 2010. [online]. 2019. [Accessed 2020 Jan 17]. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/022341s031lbl.pdf
227. US Food and Drug Administration. JARDIANCE® (empagliflozin) tablets, for oral use: Prescribing Information. Initial U.S. Approval: 2014. [online]. 2016. [Accessed 2020 Jan 17]. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2016/204629s008lbl.pdf