

Supplementary Material for “A frailty model for semi-competing risk data with applications to colon cancer”

Author list blinded

A: Conditional survival functions

Using these conditional transition rates, we can now derive the conditional survival function $S(t|Z)$, the probability of staying in state 0 until time t :

$$S(t|Z) = P[T > t|Z] = P[\text{Still in state 0 at } t|Z] = e^{-Z(\Delta_{01}(t) + \Delta_{02}(t))}. \quad (\text{A-1})$$

If there is a transition to state 1 at time t_1 , the conditional probability of staying in state 1 until time t_2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{12}(t_2|t_1, Z) &= e^{-Z \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \lambda_{03}(s) ds} \\ &= e^{-Z(\int_0^{t_2} \lambda_{03}(s) ds - \int_0^{t_1} \lambda_{03}(s) ds)} \\ &= e^{-Z \Delta_{03}(t_1, t_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A-2})$$

where $\Delta_{0i}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_{0i}(u) du$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, are the cumulative baseline hazard functions, and $\Delta_{03}(u, t) = \Delta_{03}(t) - \Delta_{03}(u)$.

B: Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of (a). From (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1(t_1) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta) | T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]}{\Delta} \\
&= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta), T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]}{\Delta \mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]} \\
&= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta), T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1, z]}{\Delta \mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]} dz \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta) | T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1, z]}{\Delta} \right) \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1 | z] g(z)}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]} dz
\end{aligned}$$

where, the first term is $\lambda_1(t_1|Z)$, the denominator of the second term is $S(t_1)$, the total survival function in t_1 , and $g(z)$ is the density function of Z . Thus,

$$\lambda_1(t_1) = \frac{1}{S(t_1)} \int_0^\infty \lambda_1(t_1|z) \mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1 | z] g(z) dz, \quad (\text{B-1})$$

Since T_1 and T_2 are conditionally independent (given Z), we have

$$\mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1 | z] = \mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1 | z] \mathbb{P}[T_2 \geq t_1 | z] = e^{-Z(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1))}, \quad (\text{B-2})$$

Now, using this result, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S(t_1) &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1 | z] g(z) dz = \int_0^\infty e^{-Z(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1))} g(z) dz \\
&= \mathcal{L}_Z(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1)),
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-3})$$

where, $\mathcal{L}_Z(\cdot)$ is the Laplacian function of Z . Therefore, using (B-2) and (B-3) in (B-1) we have:

$$\lambda_1(t_1) = \frac{\lambda_{01}(t_1)}{\mathcal{L}_Z(s)} \int_0^\infty z e^{-zs} g(z) dz = -\frac{\lambda_{01}(t_1)}{\mathcal{L}_Z(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{L}_Z(s), \quad (\text{B-4})$$

where, $s = \Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1)$. The result for $\lambda_2(t_2)$ follows analogously. $\square$

Proof of (b). Following the same idea as the previous proof, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{12}(t_2|t_1) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_2 \in [t_2, t_2 + \Delta) | T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]}{\Delta} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]} \int_0^\infty \lambda_{12}(t_2|t_1, z) \mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2 | z] g(z) dz
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-5})$$

From (3) and conditioning via frailty Z , we have

$$\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2 | z] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2 | Z]}{\lambda_{12}(t_2 | t_1, Z)}, \quad 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$

In (D-4), we present the proof of

$$\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2 | Z] = \lambda_1(t_1 | Z) \lambda_{12}(t_2 | t_1, Z) S(t_1 | Z) S_{12}(t_2 | t_1, Z)$$

where $S_{12}(t_2 | t_1, Z) = e^{-Z(\Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))}$, and $S(t_1 | Z) = e^{-Z(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1))}$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2 | z] = Z \lambda_{01}(t_1) e^{-Z(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))}. \quad (\text{B-6})$$

Using this result, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2] &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2 | z] g(z) dz \\ &= \int_0^\infty Z \lambda_{01}(t_1) e^{-Z(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))} g(z) dz \\ &= -\lambda_{01}(t_1) \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{L}_Z(s) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-7})$$

where, $s = \Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1)$. Finally, substituting (B-6) and (B-7) in (B-5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{12}(t_2 | t_1) &= -\frac{\lambda_{03}(t_2)}{\frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{L}_Z(s)} \int_0^\infty Z^2 e^{-Z(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))} g(z) dz \\ &= -\frac{\lambda_{03}(t_2)}{\frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{L}_Z(s)} \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \mathcal{L}_Z(s) \\ &= -\lambda_{03}(t_2) \frac{d}{ds} \log \left(\frac{1}{ds} \mathcal{L}_Z(s) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-8})$$

where, $s = \Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_1) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1)$.

□

C: Derivation of some important results

Local measure of association

Following Clayton (1978) and Oakes (1982), we can compute a local measure of association between T_1 and T_1 , $\vartheta^*(t_1, t_2)$, defined in (7). First, for the general model, from (5) and considering the PVF frailty model, we can write the joint survival function $S(t_1, t_2)$ as:

$$S(t_1, t_2) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1-\gamma}{\theta\gamma} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_2) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))}{1-\gamma} \right)^\gamma \right] \right\} \quad (\text{C-1})$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1} &= -S(t_1, t_2)(\lambda_{01}(t_1) + \lambda_{02}(t_1) - \lambda_{03}(t_1)) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_2) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\gamma-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C-2})$$

$$\frac{\partial S(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_2} = -S(t_1, t_2)\lambda_{03}(t_2) \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_2) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\gamma-1}, \quad (\text{C-3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} &= S(t_1, t_2)(\lambda_{01}(t_1) + \lambda_{02}(t_1) - \lambda_{03}(t_1))\lambda_{03}(t_2) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_2) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\gamma-2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\theta + \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(t_1) + \Delta_{02}(t_2) + \Delta_{03}(t_2) - \Delta_{03}(t_1))}{1-\gamma} \right)^\gamma \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C-4})$$

Substituting (C-1), (C-2), (C-3) and (C-4) in (7), we obtain the local measure association $\vartheta^*(t_1, t_2)$ for the general model, given in (8). Analogously, we can get $\vartheta^*(t_1, t_2)$ for the restricted model ($\lambda_{02}(\cdot) = \lambda_{03}(\cdot)$).

Marginal transition probabilities

(a) Transition probability to recurrence state:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{01}(t) &= \mathbb{P}[\text{still in state 1 at } t | \text{the state 0 was entered at time } t = 0] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[\text{not having had a transition out of state 0 before the transition of interest}] \\ &\quad \times (\text{transition to state 1 before } t) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{P}[\text{staying at state 1 until time } t] \end{aligned}$$

Using conditioning arguments (on Z), this can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{01}(t) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \mathbb{P}[\text{not having had a transition out of state 0 before time } s | z] \\ &\quad \times (\text{transition to state 1 at time } s \text{ given } z) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{P}[\text{staying at state 1 until time } t | z] g(z) ds dz, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(z)$ represents the probability density function of the frailty Z . This is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}_{01}(t) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^t S(s|z) \lambda_1(s|z) S_{12}(t|s, z) g(z) ds dz$$

where $S(s|Z)$, $\lambda_1(s|Z)$ and $S_{12}(t|s, Z)$ are given in (A-1), (4) and (A-2), respectively. Thus

$$\mathbb{P}_{01}(t) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^t e^{-z(\Delta_{01}(s) + \Delta_{02}(s))} z \lambda_{01}(s) e^{-z\Delta_{03}(s,t)} g(z) ds dz$$

where $\Delta_{0i}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_{0i}(s) ds$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, are the cumulative baseline hazard functions and $\Delta_{03}(u, t) = \Delta_{03}(t) - \Delta_{03}(u)$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}_{01}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_{01}(s) \int_0^\infty e^{-z(\Delta_{01}(s) + \Delta_{02}(s) + \Delta_{03}(s,t))} z g(z) ds dz$$

Now, using the Laplace transform of the frailty Z with PVF distribution given in (2) with median 1 and variance $\theta > 0$, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}_{01}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_{01}(s) \left(1 + \frac{\theta s_1(s, t)}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{\gamma-1} \exp \left\{ \frac{1 - \gamma}{\theta \gamma} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta s_1(s, t)}{1 - \gamma} \right)^\gamma \right] \right\} ds.$$

where $s_1(t, t_1) = \Delta_{01}(s) + \Delta_{02}(s) + \Delta_{03}(s, t)$.

(b) Transition probability to death state:

The derivation of $\mathbb{P}_{02}(t)$ follows similarly, and we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{02}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_{02}(s) \left(1 + \frac{\theta s_2(s)}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{\gamma-1} \exp \left\{ \frac{1 - \gamma}{\theta \gamma} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta s_2(s)}{1 - \gamma} \right)^\gamma \right] \right\} ds.$$

where $s_2(s) = \Delta_{01}(ts) + \Delta_{02}(s)$. Since state 2 is absorbing in this function, we do not have a contribution from staying in state 2 until time t .

(c) Transition probability from recurrence state to death state:

The transition probability $\mathbb{P}_{12}(t|t_1)$ is conditional on the state 1 reached at time t_1 . Using similar conditioning arguments as above, this can be expressed as:

$$\mathbb{P}_{12}(t|t_1) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^t S_{12}(s|t_1, z) \lambda_{12}(s|t_1, z) g(z) ds dz$$

where $S_{12}(t|s, Z)$ and $\lambda_{12}(s|t_1, z)$ are given in (A-2) and (4), respectively. Thus

$$\mathbb{P}_{01}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda_{03}(s) \int_0^\infty e^{-z\Delta_{03}(s,t)} z g(z) ds dz$$

Finally, using the Laplacian of Z , and for $s_3(s, t_1) = \Delta_{03}(t_1, s)$, we get,

$$\mathbb{P}_{12}(t|t_1) = \int_0^t \lambda_{02}(s) \left(1 + \frac{\theta s_3(s, t)}{1 - \gamma}\right)^{\gamma-1} \exp \left\{ \frac{1 - \gamma}{\theta \gamma} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta s_3(s, t)}{1 - \gamma}\right)^\gamma\right] \right\} ds.$$

D: Likelihood

First, we derive the expressions of marginal transition rates, and the joint probability density function. This leads to the construction of the likelihood function.

Marginal transition rates

(a) Marginal transition rate to recurrence and death:

Using the definition of transition rate to recurrence given in (3), for $t_1 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(t_1) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta) | T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]}{\Delta} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta), T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]}{\Delta \mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta), T_2 \geq t_1\} \subset \{T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta), T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(t_1) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \in [t_1, t_1 + \Delta), T_2 \geq t_1]}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_1, T_2 \geq t_1]} \end{aligned} \tag{D-1}$$

Similarly, for $t_2 > 0$, we have

$$\lambda_2(t_2) = \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_2 = t_2, T_1 \geq t_2]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq t_2, T_2 \geq t_2]}. \tag{D-2}$$

(b) Marginal transition rate from recurrence to death: Similarly, from the definition of transition rates to death after recurrence given in (3), for $0 < t_1 < t_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{12}(t_2|t_1) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_2 \in [t_2, t_2 + \Delta) | T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]}{\Delta} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_2 \in [t_2, t_2 + \Delta), T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{T_2 \in [t_2, t_2 + \Delta), T_1 = t_1, \} \subset \{T_2 \in [t_2, t_2 + \Delta), T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{12}(t_2|t_1) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_2 \in [t_2, t_2 + \Delta), T_1 = t_1]}{\Delta} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_2 = t_2, T_1 = t_1]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]}\end{aligned}\tag{D-3}$$

Joint probability density function

We now derive the expression of the joint density function, denoted by $f(t_1, t_2)$, in the upper quadrant $0 < t_1 < t_2$. This is given as

$$f(t_1, t_2) = \mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2 > t_1] = \mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2, T_2 > t_1],$$

Since $\{T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2\} \subset \{T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2, T_2 > t_1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}f(t_1, t_2) &= \mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2] \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 > t_1]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 > t_1]} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_1, T_2 > t_1]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_1, T_2 > t_1]} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_1, T_2 > t_1] \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 > t_1]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_1, T_2 > t_1]} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 = t_2]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 \geq t_2]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 = t_1, T_2 > t_1]} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Then, from (D-1) and (D-3), we have

$$f(t_1, t_2) = \lambda_1(t_1)\lambda_{12}(t_2|t_1)\mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_1, T_2 > t_1] \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[T_2 \geq t_2|T_1 = t_1]}{\mathbb{P}[T_2 > t_1|T_1 = t_1]} \right),$$

Now, using $S(t_1) = \mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_1, T_2 > t_1]$, $S_{12}(t_2|t_1) = \mathbb{P}[T_2 \geq t_2|T_1 = t_1]$ and $\mathbb{P}[T_2 > t_1|T_1 = t_1] = 1$, we obtain the joint probability density function as

$$\begin{aligned}f(t_1, t_2) &= \lambda_1(t_1)\lambda_{12}(t_2|t_1)S(t_1)S_{12}(t_2|t_1) \\ &= \lambda_1(t_1)\lambda_{12}(t_2|t_1) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{t_1} (\lambda_1(s) + \lambda_2(s))ds \right\} \exp \left\{ - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \lambda_{12}(s|t_1)ds \right\}\end{aligned}\tag{D-4}$$

where, $S(t)$ is the general survival function.

Probability density function on line $T_1 = \infty$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}f_\infty(t_2) &= \mathbb{P}[T_1 = \infty, T_2 = t_2] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_2, T_2 = t_2] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_2, T_2 = t_2]}{\mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_2, T_2 > t_2]} \mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_2, T_2 > t_2],\end{aligned}$$

Using (D-2), and the fact $S(t_2) = \mathbb{P}[T_1 > t_2, T_2 > t_2]$, we obtain

$$f_\infty(t_2) = \lambda_2(t_2)S(t_2) = \lambda_2(t_2) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{t_2} (\lambda_1(s) + \lambda_2(s)) ds \right\} \quad (\text{D-5})$$

Likelihood construction

Let $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} = (\theta, \boldsymbol{\eta}_1, \boldsymbol{\eta}_2, \boldsymbol{\eta}_3, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_3)$ be the vector of estimable parameters, and $(Y_1, Y_2, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ the observation for each individual where $Y_2 = \min\{T_2, C\}$, $\delta_2 = I_{\{T_2 \leq C\}}$, $Y_1 = \min\{T_1, Y_2\}$ and $\delta_1 = I_{\{T_1 \leq Y_2\}}$. We construct the likelihood function for each of the possible observable cases shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Possible $(Y_1, Y_2, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ combinations under semi-competing risks.

Cases	Scenario	(Y_1, Y_2)	(δ_1, δ_2)
1	recurrence and censoring before death	(T_1, C)	$(1, 0)$
2	Death after recurrence	(T_1, T_2)	$(1, 1)$
3	Death without recurrence	(T_2, T_2)	$(0, 1)$
4	Censoring before recurrence and death	(C, C)	$(0, 0)$

Case 1: When the first observation is recurrence with the censoring indicator $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (1, 0)$, and $(Y_1, Y_2) = (T_1, C)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) &= \mathbb{P}[T_1 = Y_1, T_2 > Y_2 | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z] \\ &= \int_{Y_2}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[T_1 = Y_1, T_2 = v | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z] dv \\ &= \int_{Y_2}^{\infty} f(Y_1, v | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) dv \end{aligned}$$

Using (D-4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) &= \lambda_1(Y_1 | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{Y_1} (\lambda_1(s | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) + \lambda_2(s | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z)) ds \right\} \\ &\quad \times \int_{Y_2}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(v | Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) \exp \left\{ - \int_{Y_1}^v \lambda_{12}(s | Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds \right\} dv \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from (D-3) and the fact that $S_{12}(v | Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) = \exp \left\{ - \int_{Y_1}^v \lambda_{12}(s | Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds \right\}$, we can show that

$$S_{12}(Y_2 | Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) = \int_{Y_2}^{\infty} \lambda_{12}(v | Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) \exp \left\{ - \int_{Y_1}^v \lambda_{12}(s | Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds \right\} dv$$

With this, and from the conditional model given in (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) &= Z \lambda_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \\
&\times \exp \left\{ -Z \left(\int_0^{Y_1} \lambda_{01}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds + \int_0^{Y_1} \lambda_{02}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds + \int_0^{Y_2} \lambda_{03}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds - \int_0^{Y_1} \lambda_{03}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds \right) \right\} \\
&= Z \lambda_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \exp \{ -Z (\Delta_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})) \}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{0i}(t|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = \int_0^t \lambda_{0i}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds$ is the cumulative baseline hazard function, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, the marginal likelihood function is given by

$$L_1(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = \lambda_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \int_0^\infty Z \exp \{ -Z (\Delta_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})) \} g(z) dz$$

where $g(z)$ is the density function of frailty Z with PVF distribution given in (1). Then, using the Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_Z^{(r)}(s) = (-1)^r \mathbb{E}[Z^r e^{-Zs}]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) &= \lambda_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{\gamma-1} \quad (\text{D-6}) \\
&\times \exp \left\{ \frac{1 - \gamma}{\gamma\theta} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}{1 - \gamma} \right)^\gamma \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: When the first observation is recurrence followed by death with the censoring indicator $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (1, 1)$, and $(Y_1, Y_2) = (T_1, T_2)$, we have

$$L_2(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) = \mathbb{P}[T_1 = Y_1, T_2 = Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z] = f(Y_1, Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z)$$

Using (D-4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) &= \lambda_1(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) \lambda_{12}(Y_2|Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) \\
&\times \exp \left\{ - \left(\int_0^{Y_1} \lambda_1(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds + \int_0^{Y_1} \lambda_2(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds + \int_{Y_1}^{Y_2} \lambda_{12}(s|Y_1, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds \right) \right\} \\
&= Z^2 \lambda_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \lambda_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \\
&\times \exp \left\{ -Z \left(\int_0^{Y_1} \lambda_{01}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds + \int_0^{Y_1} \lambda_{02}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds + \int_0^{Y_2} \lambda_{03}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds - \int_0^{Y_1} \lambda_{03}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) ds \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

The marginal likelihood function is given by

$$L_2(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = \lambda_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\lambda_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \times \int_0^\infty Z^2 \exp\{-Z(\Delta_{01}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))\} g(z) dz$$

Once again, utilizing the Laplacian (as in Case 1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) &= \lambda_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\lambda_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}{1 - \gamma}\right)^{\gamma-2} \\ &= \times \left[\theta + \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}{1 - \gamma}\right)^\gamma\right] \\ &\times \exp\left\{\frac{1 - \gamma}{\gamma\theta} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(Y_1|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}{1 - \gamma}\right)^\gamma\right]\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D-7})$$

Case 3: When the recurrence time is censored by death with the censoring indicator $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (0, 1)$ and $(Y_1, Y_2) = (T_2, T_2)$, we have

$$L_3(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) = \mathbb{P}[T_1 = \infty, T_2 = Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z] = f_\infty(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z)$$

Using (D-5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_3(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) &= \lambda_2(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) \exp\left\{-\left(\int_0^{Y_2} \lambda_1(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds + \int_0^{Y_2} \lambda_2(s|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds\right)\right\} \\ &= Z\lambda_{02}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \exp\{-Z(\Delta_{01}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))\} \end{aligned}$$

Then, similar to (D-6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_3(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) &= \lambda_{02}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}{1 - \gamma}\right)^{\gamma-1} \\ &\times \exp\left\{\frac{1 - \gamma}{\gamma\theta} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_2|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}{1 - \gamma}\right)^\gamma\right]\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D-8})$$

Case 4: When the two failure times are censored with the censoring indicator $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (0, 0)$ and $(Y_1, Y_2) = (C, C) = (Y_2, Y_2) = (Y_1, Y_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_4(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) &= \mathbb{P}[T_1 > Y_2, T_2 > Y_2 | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z] \\
&= S(Y_2 | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) \\
&= \exp \left\{ - \left(\int_0^{Y_2} \lambda_1(s | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds + \int_0^{Y_2} \lambda_2(s | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, Z) ds \right) \right\} \\
&= \exp \{ -Z (\Delta_{01}(Y_2 | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_2 | \boldsymbol{\vartheta})) \}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Laplace transform of the frailty, we obtain that the marginal likelihood function is given by

$$L_4(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma\theta} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta(\Delta_{01}(Y_2 | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_2 | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}{1-\gamma} \right)^\gamma \right] \right\} \quad (\text{D-9})$$

Finally, combining (D-6), (D-7), (D-8) and (D-9), and for a sample of size n , the likelihood function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) &= \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_{01}(Y_{j1} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{\delta_{j1}} \lambda_{02}(Y_{j2} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{\delta_{j2}(1-\delta_{j1})} \lambda_{03}(Y_{j2} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{\delta_{j1}\delta_{j2}} \left\{ \theta + \left(1 + \frac{\theta K_{j1}}{1-\gamma} \right)^\gamma \right\}^{\delta_{j1}\delta_{j2}} \\
&\times \left(1 + \frac{\theta K_{j1}}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\delta_{j1}(\gamma-1-\delta_{j2})} \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\theta\gamma} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta K_{j1}}{1-\gamma} \right)^\gamma \right] \right) \right\}^{\delta_{j1}} \\
&\times \left(1 + \frac{\theta K_{j2}}{1-\gamma} \right)^{(\gamma-1)\delta_{j2}(1-\delta_{j1})} \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\theta\gamma} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\theta K_{j2}}{1-\gamma} \right)^\gamma \right] \right) \right\}^{1-\delta_{j1}} \quad (\text{D-10})
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_{j1} = \Delta_{01}(Y_{j1} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_{j1} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{03}(Y_{j2} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \Delta_{03}(Y_{j1} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$, $K_{j2} = \Delta_{01}(Y_{j2} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \Delta_{02}(Y_{j2} | \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

References

- Clayton DG (1978) A model for association in bivariate life tables and its application in epidemiological studies of familial tendency in chronic disease incidence. *Biometrika* 65(1):141–151
- Oakes D (1982) A model for association in bivariate survival data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological)* pp 414–422