

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Aerosol and Air Quality Research

Site-specific calibration of low-cost particulate matter (PM) 2.5 monitors in the United States: A comparison of industrial and non-industrial communities

Jung Hyun Lee¹, Subin Han¹, Chang Geun Lee¹, Tim DaSilva¹, Carolina Gonzalez-Canas¹,
Jon-Paul McCool², Kenneth L. Brown³, Jodi Allen⁴, Graham F. Peaslee⁵, Jae Hong Park¹,
Julie Peller⁶, Christopher R. Iccaman⁶, and Ellen M. Wells^{1,7,*}

¹School of Health Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

²Department of Geology and Meteorology, Valparaiso University, Valparaiso, IN, USA

³Department of Chemistry, Hope College, Holland, MI, USA

⁴Department of Nursing, Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, IN, USA

⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA

⁶Department of Chemistry, Valparaiso University, Valparaiso, IN, USA

⁷Department of Public Health, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

*Corresponding author: Dr. Ellen M. Wells (Email: wells54@purdue.edu; Tel: +1-765-496-3535)




Fig. S1. The morphology of PM deposited on outdoor surfaces was observed using an optical microscope. The scale bar in the lower right corner of each image represents 50 μm . L1-L6 samples were collected in northern Lake County while T1-T4 samples were collected in Tippecanoe County.