

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see [Authors & Referees](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a Confirmed

- ☐ ☒ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- ☐ ☒ A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- ☐ ☒ The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- ☐ ☒ A description of all covariates tested
- ☐ ☒ A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- ☐ ☒ A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- ☐ ☒ For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- ☒ ☐ For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- ☒ ☐ For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- ☒ ☐ Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection

Data in this study were generated, not collected.

Data analysis

All code used in this study that was open source is described with version number and non-default parameter settings when appropriate.
All custom code is available at https://github.com/GreallyLab/Johnston_et_al

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

All genome sequencing data are available from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus database under accession number GSE117576 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE117576>)

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see [nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf](https://www.nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf)

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size We studied a 17 member family, testing for functional variants in 11 children, identifying candidate loci that we then verified using single locus, quantitative studies.

Data exclusions No data were excluded.

Replication Experimental replicates were performed, single locus quantitative studies verified the findings of genome-wide assays.

Randomization Not relevant, the study was of a family.

Blinding Blinding was not appropriate for this study of DNA variants within a family.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a Involved in the study

☐ ☒ Antibodies

☐ ☒ Eukaryotic cell lines

☒ ☐ Palaeontology

☒ ☐ Animals and other organisms

☒ ☐ Human research participants

☒ ☐ Clinical data

Methods

n/a Involved in the study

☒ ☐ ChIP-seq

☒ ☐ Flow cytometry

☒ ☐ MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used Anti-p65 antibody (Millipore, cat# 17-10060)

Validation ChIP validation routinely performed on this antibody product by manufacturer.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about [cell lines](#)

Cell line source(s) ATCC

Authentication Genotyping from genome-wide assays matched published sequence variants for these lines

Mycoplasma contamination Negative

Commonly misidentified lines (See [ICLAC](#) register) None.