

Editorial Note: This manuscript has been previously reviewed at another journal that is not operating a transparent peer review scheme. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at Nature Communications.

REVIEWERS' COMMENTS:

Reviewer #3 (Remarks to the Author):

I read through the revised manuscript. The authors sufficiently addressed all points raised in the previous referee reports. In comparison to the version of the manuscript I have seen previously, the presentation and clarity improved significantly. I recommend the present manuscript for publication at Nature Communications.