

Editorial Note: This manuscript has been previously reviewed at another journal that is not operating a transparent peer review scheme. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at Nature Communications.

REVIEWERS' COMMENTS:

Reviewer #2 (Remarks to the Author):

The authors have properly addressed the concerns raised in the Nat. Phot. review process and revised the manuscript accordingly. I view the work as a clear demonstration of a novel technique resulting in an important advance in the field and consider it suitable for publication in Nature Communications.

Reviewer #3 (Remarks to the Author):

My concerns have been addressed and I support publication