

Corresponding author(s): Anna Rönnfeldt

Last updated by author(s): Dec 5, 2025

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a Confirmed

- ☐ ☒ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- ☐ ☒ A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- ☐ ☒ The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- ☐ ☒ A description of all covariates tested
- ☒ ☐ A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- ☐ ☒ A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- ☒ ☐ For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- ☒ ☐ For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- ☒ ☐ For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- ☒ ☐ Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection

Data were collected either directly from public data sources or using tailored functions within R (version 4.2.2) using the following packages: BIEN (version 1.26), doParallel (version 1.0.17), fasterize (version 1.0.4), foreach (version 1.5.2), rgbif (version 3.7.5), taxize (version 0.9.100), tidyverse (version 2.0.0), and terra (version 1.7-78).

Data analysis

We conducted the analyses using R version 4.2.2 and the following packages: ade4 (version 1.7-22), conflicted (version 1.2.0.9000), CoordinateCleaner (version 3.0.1), corrplot (version 0.92), devtools (version 2.4.5), doParallel (version 1.0.17), dotwhisker (version 0.7.4), dplyr (version 1.1.2), ecospat (version 4.0.0), fasterize (version 1.0.4), fmsb (version 0.7.6), foreach (version 1.5.2), furrr (version 0.3.1), ggplot2 (version 3.5.1), GIFT (version 1.0.0), hrbrthemes (version 0.8.7), lcvplants (version 2.1.0), maps (version 3.4.1), networkD3 (version 0.4), phyloilm (version 2.6.2), purr (version 1.0.1), RColorBrewer (version 1.1-3), rWCVP (version 1.2.4), sf (version 1.0-16), sfheaders (version 0.4.2), stringr (version 1.5.0), taxize (version 0.9.100), terra (version 1.7-78), tibble (version 3.2.1), tidyr (version 1.3.0), tidyverse (version 2.0.0), units (version 0.8-5), and viridis (version 0.6.3).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our [policy](#)

The data generated in this study are provided in the Supplementary Information and Source Data file. The processed occurrence data, matched with biogeographic status information, are deposited on Zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16992420>). The derived dataset of the initial GBIF download in 2023 can be accessed here: <https://doi.org/10.15468/DD.685JDS>. Publicly available datasets used in this study are: species list from PacifLora (<https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.9.e67318>), occurrence data from GBIF and BIEN (accessed via R), status information from WCVF, GIFT (accessed via R) and GloNAF (<https://glonaf.org/>), trait data from GIFT (accessed via R), bioclimatic variables from CHELSA V2 (<https://doi.org/10.5061/DRYAD.KD1D4>), and the Alien Species First Records Database (<https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.10039630>). Source data are provided with this paper.

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with [human participants or human data](#). See also policy information about [sex, gender \(identity/presentation\), and sexual orientation](#) and [race, ethnicity and racism](#).

Reporting on sex and gender

Use the terms sex (biological attribute) and gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances) carefully in order to avoid confusing both terms. Indicate if findings apply to only one sex or gender; describe whether sex and gender were considered in study design; whether sex and/or gender was determined based on self-reporting or assigned and methods used.

Provide in the source data disaggregated sex and gender data, where this information has been collected, and if consent has been obtained for sharing of individual-level data; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected.

Report sex- and gender-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex- and gender-based analysis.

Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings

Please specify the socially constructed or socially relevant categorization variable(s) used in your manuscript and explain why they were used. Please note that such variables should not be used as proxies for other socially constructed/relevant variables (for example, race or ethnicity should not be used as a proxy for socioeconomic status).

Provide clear definitions of the relevant terms used, how they were provided (by the participants/respondents, the researchers, or third parties), and the method(s) used to classify people into the different categories (e.g. self-report, census or administrative data, social media data, etc.)

Please provide details about how you controlled for confounding variables in your analyses.

Population characteristics

Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."

Recruitment

Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.

Ethics oversight

Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☐ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☒ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description

A macroecological study, quantifying the niche dynamics of non-native plants across multiple regions. We used an ordination based approach to quantify niche dynamics and phylogenetic multiple regression to assess the influence of ecological traits, biogeographic attributes and time since introduction on the niche dynamics.

Research sample

The initial species list is based on the PacifLora data set, which lists 3962 vascular plant species that have naturalised on at least one of the Pacific Islands.

Sampling strategy

We downloaded publicly available occurrence data for the species and matched them with a biogeographic status (native vs. non-native). Occurrence data were cleaned and spatially thinned. We only considered species with at least 20 native occurrences, and at

	least 20 non-native occurrences on the Pacific Islands and one other study region respectively.
Data collection	We collected publicly available data from multiple sources: occurrence data from BIEN (Botanical Information and Ecology Network) and GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility), biogeographic status information from WCVF (World Checklist of Vascular Plants), GIFT (Global Inventory of Floras and Traits) and GlonAF (Global Naturalised Alien Flora), trait data from GIFT, first occurrence records from the Alien Species First Records Database (https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.10039630), and bioclimatic variables from the CHELSA V2 dataset.
Timing and spatial scale	All available occurrence data from the last century up until 2023, worldwide.
Data exclusions	Occurrence data that could not be matched with a biogeographic status.
Reproducibility	Codes for the entire workflow have been made available.
Randomization	The analyses were performed for regional subsets based on political boundaries. We accounted for phylogenetic relatedness in the trait analyses. Th only explicit randomization occurred in the sampling of background data within a spatial buffer surrounding the species presence points
Blinding	We worked without blinding as we had to control for biogeographic plausibility during the status assignment and subsequent analyses.
Did the study involve field work?	☐ Yes ☒ No

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
☒	☐ Antibodies
☒	☐ Eukaryotic cell lines
☒	☐ Palaeontology and archaeology
☒	☐ Animals and other organisms
☒	☐ Clinical data
☒	☐ Dual use research of concern
☒	☐ Plants

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
☒	☐ ChIP-seq
☒	☐ Flow cytometry
☒	☐ MRI-based neuroimaging

Plants

Seed stocks	Report on the source of all seed stocks or other plant material used. If applicable, state the seed stock centre and catalogue number. If plant specimens were collected from the field, describe the collection location, date and sampling procedures.
Novel plant genotypes	Describe the methods by which all novel plant genotypes were produced. This includes those generated by transgenic approaches, gene editing, chemical/radiation-based mutagenesis and hybridization. For transgenic lines, describe the transformation method, the number of independent lines analyzed and the generation upon which experiments were performed. For gene-edited lines, describe the editor used, the endogenous sequence targeted for editing, the targeting guide RNA sequence (if applicable) and how the editor was applied.
Authentication	Describe any authentication procedures for each seed stock used or novel genotype generated. Describe any experiments used to assess the effect of a mutation and, where applicable, how potential secondary effects (e.g. second site T-DNA insertions, mosaicism, off-target gene editing) were examined.