

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a Confirmed

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| ☐ | ☒ | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| ☐ | ☒ | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| ☐ | ☒ | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
<i>Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.</i> |
| ☒ | ☐ | A description of all covariates tested |
| ☒ | ☐ | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| ☐ | ☒ | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| ☐ | ☒ | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
<i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i> |
| ☒ | ☐ | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| ☒ | ☐ | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| ☒ | ☐ | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated |

Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection

Image data was collected with a custom program written in National Instruments (NI) LabVIEW 2016 64-bit, NI DAQmx, and NI Vision Development Module.

Data analysis

Image data was analyzed with custom code written in MATLAB 2016 or later (64-bit) using resources from CUDA toolkit 10.2 (64-bit).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our [policy](#)

The data that support the finding of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with [human participants or human data](#). See also policy information about [sex, gender \(identity/presentation\), and sexual orientation](#) and [race, ethnicity and racism](#).

Reporting on sex and gender N/A

Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings N/A

Population characteristics N/A

Recruitment N/A

Ethics oversight N/A

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see [nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf](https://www.nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf)

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size For the Anderson-Darling test used in this study we experimentally measured the power of the test as a function of sample size and showed that 12 binding events are sufficient to reach levels of ~0.9.

Data exclusions This study doesn't have any data exclusions

Replication Data are from at least three replicates and all attempts at data replication were successful.

Randomization Samples were grouped in regions with signal and regions with background. There was no need for randomization as we wanted to compare the performance of the denoising method on these different regions

Blinding Data collection and analysis was not blinded, however the code used to perform the data analysis is unbiased and would give the same results whoever ran the analysis, so this is not relevant.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a Involved in the study

☐ ☒ Antibodies

☐ ☒ Eukaryotic cell lines

☒ ☐ Palaeontology and archaeology

☐ ☒ Animals and other organisms

☒ ☐ Clinical data

☒ ☐ Dual use research of concern

☒ ☐ Plants

Methods

n/a Involved in the study

☒ ☐ ChIP-seq

☒ ☐ Flow cytometry

☒ ☐ MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used GFP-Nanobody conjugated to a DNA oligo docking strand - Massive photonics MASSIVE-TAG-Q anti-GFP kit.

Validation The nanobody has been rigorously tested by Massive photonics. Also, we do not see labelling of structures in cells that are not expressing GFP (e.g. in the E-Cadherin GFP clone experiments)

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about [cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research](#)

Cell line source(s)	U2OS cells were purchased from Massive Photonics, GmbH as a fixed, mounted and ready to image specimen with all required reagents supplied by the vendor. HeLa Kyoto cells were obtained from the Research Institute of Molecular Pathology (Vienna, Austria)
Authentication	N/A
Mycoplasma contamination	HeLa cells were tested regularly for contamination
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)	N/A

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about [studies involving animals](#); [ARRIVE guidelines](#) recommended for reporting animal research, and [Sex and Gender in Research](#)

Laboratory animals	Drosophila melanogaster lines were used in this study. Female flies used for dissection were between 2 and 6 days post-eclosion.
Wild animals	The study did not involve wild animals
Reporting on sex	Drosophila experiments were performed on the egg chambers from ovaries, and as such we only dissected female flies.
Field-collected samples	The study did not involve samples collected from the field.
Ethics oversight	No ethical approval was required for experimentation on Drosophila melanogaster.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Plants

Seed stocks	<i>Report on the source of all seed stocks or other plant material used. If applicable, state the seed stock centre and catalogue number. If plant specimens were collected from the field, describe the collection location, date and sampling procedures.</i>
Novel plant genotypes	<i>Describe the methods by which all novel plant genotypes were produced. This includes those generated by transgenic approaches, gene editing, chemical/radiation-based mutagenesis and hybridization. For transgenic lines, describe the transformation method, the number of independent lines analyzed and the generation upon which experiments were performed. For gene-edited lines, describe the editor used, the endogenous sequence targeted for editing, the targeting guide RNA sequence (if applicable) and how the editor was applied.</i>
Authentication	<i>Describe any authentication procedures for each seed stock used or novel genotype generated. Describe any experiments used to assess the effect of a mutation and, where applicable, how potential secondary effects (e.g. second site T-DNA insertions, mosaicism, off-target gene editing) were examined.</i>