

Supplementary Materials

Contents

Supplementary Table S1. Participant, intervention, result and quality of included studies	1
Supplementary Table S2. Results of the jackknife analysis in all included studies	8
Supplementary Table S3. PRISMA 2020 Checklis	9
Supplementary Table S4. Electronic database search	13
Supplementary Table S5. Model fit indices for exploratory regression analyses.....	16
Supplementary Table S6. Quality assessment checklist.....	17
Supplementary Table S7. Sensitivity results of multivariate meta-analysis across assumed within-study correlations.....	18

Table S1

Participant, intervention, result and quality of included studies

Study (year)	Population (mean age)	Intervention group (n)	Intervention details	Control group	In-scan tasks	Quality
Adnan et al., 2017	Healthy older adults (65.9)	Executive control training (11)	Training sessions: 10 sessions Training duration: 1200 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Group-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	Color–word stroop task-switching task	15
Belleville et al., 2011	G1: Participants with aMCI (70.13) G2: Healthy older adults (70)	Memory training (30)	Training sessions: 10 sessions Training duration: 1200 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Group-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	Memory encoding and retrieval tasks	16.5
Belleville et al., 2014	Healthy older adults (68.62)	G1:Focused attention training (n=12) G2: Dual-task training with equal attention (n=14) G3: Dual-task training with attention shifting (n=14)	Training sessions: 10 sessions Training duration: 1200 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Group-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	T1: Single-task alphanumeric equation T2: Single-task visual detection T3: Dual-task with fixed or variable attentional allocation	16.5

Belleville et al., 2023	Older adults with SCD (67)	Memory training using the method of loci (29)	Training sessions: 12 sessions Training duration: 1440 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Group-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	Word list encoding and recognition task	17
Brehmer et al., 2011	Healthy older adults (63.6)	G1: Adaptive working memory training (n=12) G2: Fixed-difficulty working memory training (n=11)	Training sessions: 12 sessions Training duration: 900 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	Spatial delayed-matching task	16
Chen et al., 2020	Older adults with aMCI (74.6)	Processing speech training (46)	Training sessions: 20 sessions Training duration: 1000 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	Mental leisure activities (38)	Visual search task	17
Cirarda et al., 2016	Older adults with PD (66.9)	Multi-domain training (15)	Training sessions: 12 sessions Training duration: 1080 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Group-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	Mental leisure activities (15)	T1: Learning task T2: Recognition task	16.5

Dahlin et al., 2008	Healthy older adults (68.31)	Updating training (11)	Training sessions: 25 sessions Training duration: 1250 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	No training (8)	T1: Letter memory task T2: N-back task	16.5
Ekman et al., 2018	Older adults with post right-hemisphere stroke (72.7)	Visual scanning training (12)	Training sessions: 15 sessions Training duration: 900 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	Posner cuing task	16
Gunning et al., 2021	Older adults with MDD (61.6)	Digital cognitive training (34)	Training sessions: 12 sessions Training duration: 1440 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Group-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	Stroop task	17
Hampstead et al., 2011	Healthy older adults (66.04)	Working memory training (15)	Training sessions: 6 sessions Training duration: 360 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Non-adaptive	No training (14)	T1: N-back task T2: Sternberg delayed match-to-sample task	16.5

Hampstead et al., 2020	Healthy older adults (72.1) Older adults with aMCI (72.1)	G1: Mnemonic strategy Training (17) G2: Mnemonic process Training (17)	Training sessions: 6 sessions Training duration: 360 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based in G1 Process-based in G2 Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Non-adaptive	None	Object–location association encoding task	16.5
Heinzel et al., 2016	Healthy older adults (66.04)	Working memory training (15)	Training sessions: 12 sessions Training duration: 960 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	No training (14)	T1: N-back task T2: Sternberg delayed match-to-sample task	16.5
Huntley et al., 2017	Older adults with early AD (79.77)	Working memory training (15)	Training sessions: 18 sessions Training duration: 540 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	Low-difficulty training (15)	Verbal working memory task	16.5
Kim et al., 2017	Healthy older adults (71.44)	Cognitive control training (14)	Training sessions: 20 sessions Training duration: 1000 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	Waitlist control (13)	Multi-source interference task	17

Kolskår et al., 2020	Chronic stroke survivors (69.13)	Working memory training (22)	Training sessions: 25 sessions Training duration: 1250 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	Multiple object tracking task	17
Kühn et al., 2017	Healthy older adults (69.6)	Inhibition training (20)	Training sessions: 30 sessions Training duration: 1500 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	Digital game (15)	Stop signal task	17
Miotto et al., 2014	Healthy older adults (68.12)	Memory training (17)	Training sessions: 1 sessions Training duration: 30 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Non-adaptive	None	Memory task	16
Motes et al., 2018	Healthy older adults (63.49)	Processing speed training (12)	Training sessions: 20 sessions Training duration: 1000 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	No training (15)	Digit-symbol verification task	17

Nombela et al., 2011	Older adults with PD (60.3)	Multi-domain training (5)	Training sessions: 14 sessions Training duration: 700 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	No training (5)	Stroop task	16.5
Osaka et al., 2012	Healthy older adults (68.08)	Verbal-to-visual code switching training (25)	Training sessions: 4 session Training duration: 240 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	None	Reading span task	16.5
Paasschen et al., 2013	Older adults with early AD (74.71)	Multi-domain training (7)	Training sessions: 8 sessions Training duration: 480 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	No training (12)	Associative memory task	14
Rosen et al., 2011	Older adults with MCI (74.34)	Processing speed training (6)	Training sessions: 2 sessions Training duration: 120 minutes Training domain: Singledomain Training Strategy: Strategy-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Non-daptive	Mental leisure activities (6)	Auditory memory task	16.5

Simon et al., 2018	Chronic stroke survivors (72.15)	Memory training (15)	Training sessions: 12 sessions Training duration: 720 minutes Training domain: Multidomain Training Strategy: Process-based Training format: Individual-based Training difficulty: Adaptive	Education program (15)	Face-name encoding task	17
--------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------	--	------------------------	-------------------------	----

Note. n = number of participants included; G = group; T = task; SCD = subjective cognitive decline; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; aMCI = amnesic mild cognitive impairment; PD = Parkinson's disease; MDD = major depressive disorder; AD = Alzheimer's disease.

Table S2

Results of the jackknife analysis in all included studies

Study	Left inferior frontal gyrus	Bilateral precuneus
Adnan et al., 2017	Yes	Yes
Belleville et al., 2011	Yes	Yes
Belleville et al., 2014	Yes	Yes
Belleville et al., 2023	Yes	Yes
Brehmer et al., 2011	Yes	Yes
Chen et al., 2020	Yes	Yes
Cirarda et al., 2016	Yes	Yes
Dahlin et al., 2008	Yes	Yes
Ekman et al., 2018	Yes	Yes
Gunning et al., 2021	Yes	Yes
Hampstead et al., 2011	Yes	Yes
Hampstead et al., 2020	Yes	Yes
Heinzel et al., 2016	Yes	Yes
Huntley et al., 2017	Yes	Yes
Kim et al., 2017	Yes	Yes
Kolskar et al., 2020	Yes	Yes
Kühn et al., 2017	Yes	Yes
Miotto et al., 2014	Yes	Yes
Motes et al., 2018	Yes	Yes
Nombela et al., 2011	Yes	Yes
Osaka et al., 2012	Yes	Yes
Paasschen et al., 2013	Yes	Yes
Rosen et al., 2011	Yes	Yes
Simon et al., 2018	Yes	Yes

Table S3
PRISMA 2020 Checklis

Section and Topic	Item #	Checklist item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Manuscript Page 1
ABSTRACT			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.	Manuscript Page 1
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	Manuscript Pages 3-4
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Manuscript Page 4
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	Manuscript Pages 4-5
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	Manuscript Pages 4-5
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Manuscript Supplementary Materials Pages 5-7
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Manuscript Pages 4-5
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Manuscript Pages 4-5

Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	Manuscript Pages 5-6
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	Manuscript Pages 5-6
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Manuscript Pages 7-8
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	Manuscript Pages 6-7
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).	Manuscript Pages 6-7
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Manuscript Pages 6-7
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	Manuscript Pages 6-7
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	Manuscript Pages 6-7
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).	Manuscript Pages 6-7
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	Manuscript Pages 6-7
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	Manuscript Pages 7-8
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	Manuscript Pages 7-8
RESULTS			
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	Manuscript Page 8
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	Manuscript Page 8

Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	Manuscript Page 8
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	Supplementary Materials Pages 10-16
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	Manuscript Pages 8-9
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	Manuscript Pages 8-10
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	Manuscript Pages 8-10
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	Manuscript Pages 8-10
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	Manuscript Pages 8-10
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	Supplementary Materials Pages 10-16
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	Supplementary Materials Pages 10-16
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	Manuscript Pages 10-12
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	Manuscript Pages 10-12
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	Manuscript Pages 10-12
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	Manuscript Page 12
OTHER INFORMATION			
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	Manuscript Page 4
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	Manuscript Page 4

	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	Manuscript Page 4
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	Title page
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	Title page
Availability of data, code and other materials	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review.	Title page

Table S4

Electronic database search (most recent search date: 12 November 2024)

Information source	PubMed
Search strategy	("cognitive training"[tiab] OR "cognitive rehabilitation"[tiab] OR "cognitive therapy"[tiab] OR "neuropsychological therapy"[tiab] OR "cognitive intervention"[tiab] OR "executive function training"[tiab] OR "working memory training"[tiab] OR "memory training"[tiab] OR "attention training"[tiab] OR "processing speed training"[tiab] OR "brain training"[tiab] OR "computer-based cognitive training"[tiab] OR "virtual reality cognitive training"[tiab] OR "multitasking training"[tiab] OR "dual task training"[tiab] OR "cognitive flexibility training"[tiab] OR "inhibitory control training"[tiab] OR "switching training"[tiab] OR "n-back training"[tiab] OR "updating training"[tiab] OR "shifting training"[tiab] OR "flexibility training"[tiab] OR "multi-domain training"[tiab] OR "cognitive adaptation training"[tiab] OR "brain fitness"[tiab] OR "brain games"[tiab] OR "computerized cognitive training"[tiab]) AND ("fMRI"[tiab] OR "functional magnetic resonance imaging"[tiab] OR "PET"[tiab] OR "positron emission tomography"[tiab] OR "SPECT"[tiab] OR "single photon emission computed tomography"[tiab] OR "functional imaging"[tiab] OR "neuroimaging"[tiab] OR "neuroplasticity"[tiab] OR "neural activation"[tiab] OR "brain function"[tiab])
No. of records	700

Information source	MEDLINE
Search strategy	("cognitive training".ab,ti OR "cognitive rehabilitation".ab,ti OR "cognitive therapy".ab,ti OR "neuropsychological therapy".ab,ti OR "cognitive intervention".ab,ti OR "executive function training".ab,ti OR "working memory training".ab,ti OR "memory training".ab,ti OR "attention training".ab,ti OR "processing speed training".ab,ti OR "brain training".ab,ti OR "computer-based cognitive training".ab,ti OR "virtual reality cognitive training".ab,ti OR "multitasking training".ab,ti OR "dual task training".ab,ti OR "cognitive flexibility training".ab,ti OR "inhibitory control training".ab,ti OR "switching training".ab,ti OR "n-back training".ab,ti OR "updating training".ab,ti OR "shifting training".ab,ti OR "flexibility training".ab,ti OR "multi-domain training".ab,ti OR "cognitive adaptation training".ab,ti OR "brain fitness".ab,ti OR "brain games".ab,ti OR "computerized cognitive training".ab,ti) AND ("fMRI".ab,ti OR "functional magnetic resonance imaging".ab,ti OR "PET".ab,ti OR "positron emission tomography".ab,ti OR "SPECT".ab,ti OR "single photon emission computed tomography".ab,ti OR "functional imaging".ab,ti OR "neuroimaging".ab,ti OR "neuroplasticity".ab,ti OR "neural

	activation".ab,ti OR "brain function".ab,ti)
No. of records	165

Information source	PsycINFO
Search strategy	("cognitive training".ti,ab OR "cognitive rehabilitation".ti,ab OR "cognitive therapy".ti,ab OR "neuropsychological therapy".ti,ab OR "cognitive intervention".ti,ab OR "executive function training".ti,ab OR "working memory training".ti,ab OR "memory training".ti,ab OR "attention training".ti,ab OR "processing speed training".ti,ab OR "brain training".ti,ab OR "computer-based cognitive training".ti,ab OR "virtual reality cognitive training".ti,ab OR "multitasking training".ti,ab OR "dual task training".ti,ab OR "cognitive flexibility training".ti,ab OR "inhibitory control training".ti,ab OR "switching training".ti,ab OR "n-back training".ti,ab OR "updating training".ti,ab OR "shifting training".ti,ab OR "flexibility training".ti,ab OR "multi-domain training".ti,ab OR "cognitive adaptation training".ti,ab OR "brain fitness".ti,ab OR "brain games".ti,ab OR "computerized cognitive training".ti,ab) AND ("fMRI".ti,ab OR "functional magnetic resonance imaging".ti,ab OR "PET".ti,ab OR "positron emission tomography".ti,ab OR "SPECT".ti,ab OR "single photon emission computed tomography".ti,ab OR "functional imaging".ti,ab OR "neuroimaging".ti,ab OR "neuroplasticity".ti,ab OR "neural activation".ti,ab OR "brain function".ti,ab)
No. of records	1,025

Information source	Embase
Search strategy	("cognitive training".ti,ab OR "cognitive rehabilitation".ti,ab OR "cognitive therapy".ti,ab OR "neuropsychological therapy".ti,ab OR "cognitive intervention".ti,ab OR "executive function training".ti,ab OR "working memory training".ti,ab OR "memory training".ti,ab OR "attention training".ti,ab OR "processing speed training".ti,ab OR "brain training".ti,ab OR "computer-based cognitive training".ti,ab OR "virtual reality cognitive training".ti,ab OR "multitasking training".ti,ab OR "dual task training".ti,ab OR "cognitive flexibility training".ti,ab OR "inhibitory control training".ti,ab OR "switching training".ti,ab OR "n-back training".ti,ab OR "updating training".ti,ab OR "shifting training".ti,ab OR "flexibility training".ti,ab OR "multi-domain training".ti,ab OR "cognitive adaptation training".ti,ab OR "brain fitness".ti,ab OR "brain games".ti,ab OR "computerized cognitive training".ti,ab) AND ("fMRI".ti,ab OR "functional magnetic resonance imaging".ti,ab OR "PET".ti,ab OR "positron emission tomography".ti,ab OR "SPECT".ti,ab OR "single photon emission

	computed tomography".ti,ab OR "functional imaging".ti,ab OR "neuroimaging".ti,ab OR "neuroplasticity".ti,ab OR "neural activation".ti,ab OR "brain function".ti,ab)
No. of records	1,334

Information source	Web of science
Search strategy	("cognitive training" OR "cognitive rehabilitation" OR "cognitive therapy" OR "neuropsychological therapy" OR "cognitive intervention" OR "executive function training" OR "working memory training" OR "memory training" OR "attention training" OR "processing speed training" OR "brain training" OR "computer-based cognitive training" OR "virtual reality cognitive training" OR "multitasking training" OR "dual task training" OR "cognitive flexibility training" OR "inhibitory control training" OR "switching training" OR "n-back training" OR "updating training" OR "shifting training" OR "flexibility training" OR "multi-domain training" OR "cognitive adaptation training" OR "brain fitness" OR "brain games" OR "computerized cognitive training") AND ("fMRI" OR "functional magnetic resonance imaging" OR "PET" OR "positron emission tomography" OR "SPECT" OR "single photon emission computed tomography" OR "functional imaging" OR "neuroimaging" OR "neuroplasticity" OR "neural activation" OR "brain function")
No. of records	1,435

Table S5

Model fit indices for exploratory regression analyses

y	x	r (95% CI)	logLik	AIC	BIC	RMSE	MAE	Estimated power
Change in Task-Related Activation (LIFG)	Age (years)	0.341 [0.095, 0.547]	59.871	-113.742	-107.459	0.089	0.058	0.77
Change in Task-Related Activation (Precuneus)	Age (years)	0.340 [0.087, 0.552]	42.535	-79.07	-72.941	0.115	0.062	0.746
Change in Task-Related Activation (Precuneus)	Behavioral Performance Change (Hedges' g)	0.434 [0.188, 0.628]	25.058	-44.116	-38.149	0.152	0.085	0.917
Behavioral Performance Change (Hedges' g)	Age (years)	0.315 [0.046, 0.541]	-41.371	88.742	94.595	0.536	0.415	0.633
Change in Task-Related Activation (Precuneus)	Change in Task-Related Activation (LIFG)	0.363 [0.113, 0.569]	43.296	-80.594	-74.465	0.113	0.054	0.803
Change in Task-Related Activation (LIFG)	Age (years)	0.341 [0.095, 0.547]	59.871	-113.742	-107.459	0.089	0.058	0.77

Note. logLik = log-likelihood; AIC = Akaike Information Criterion; BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion; RMSE = root mean squared error; MAE = mean absolute error. Estimated power values were computed at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Table S6
Quality assessment checklist

Subjects

1. The sample size was appropriate
2. Subjects were evaluated prospectively, demographic data were reported (age (mean and SD/range), sex, and handedness), and psychiatric and medical illnesses were excluded
3. If any subject was scanned but then rejected from the analysis, withdrawals from the study were explained

Methods for tasks

4. All participants went through a training session outside the scanner
5. The experimental design was meticulously detailed to enable replication, including the number of blocks or trials per participant, the duration of each trial, the inter-stimulus interval, and whether the design was block-based or event-related.
6. The stimuli and the number of repetitions were sufficient and clearly described
7. If applicable, the baseline condition was defined as almost the same as the task condition.

Methods for image acquisition and statistical analysis

8. MRI slice thickness ≤ 3 mm
9. 3T MRI was used
10. The imaging technique used for data acquisition was clearly described so that it could be reproduced (e.g., MRI system used, field strength, pulse sequence type, number of volumes per session, field of view, matrix size, slice thickness, interslice skip, acquisition orientation, TE/TR/flip angle)
11. Preprocessing operations were clearly described and detailed so that they could be reproduced (e.g., software used, order of preprocessing operations, slice-timing, motion correction, coregistration and normalization (linear/affine or nonlinear), smoothing)
12. Adjustments were made for multiple statistical comparisons
13. Appropriate design and/or analytical methods to control confounding
14. Appropriate use of statistics for primary analysis effect (excluding control of confounders)

Results, conclusions, and conflicts of interest

15. Statistical parameters for significant and important nonsignificant differences were provided
 16. Conclusions were consistent with the results obtained and the limitations were discussed
 17. Declarations of conflicts of interest or identification of funding sources
-

Note. Score 0/0.5/1 for each item (0.5 points were given for criteria partially met).

Table S7

Sensitivity results of multivariate meta-analysis across assumed within-study correlations

Assumed correlation	Hedges' g	SE	95% CI (Lower)	95% CI (Upper)	p	t
0	0.433	0.101	0.231	0.635	<.0001	4.253
0.3	0.401	0.091	0.218	0.583	<.0001	4.368
0.8	0.359	0.092	0.176	0.541	0.0002	3.902
1	0.347	0.102	0.144	0.549	0.001	3.403

Note. SE = standard error.