

In the format provided by the authors and unedited.

A one-billion-year-old multicellular chlorophyte

Qing Tang ¹✉, Ke Pang ^{2,3}, Xunlai Yuan^{2,3} and Shuhai Xiao ¹✉

¹Department of Geosciences and Global Change Center, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA. ²State Key Laboratory of Palaeobiology and Stratigraphy, Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology and Center for Excellence in Life and Paleoenvironment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, China.

³University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. ✉e-mail: qingt@vt.edu; xiao@vt.edu

14 **Supplementary Table**

15 **Supplementary Table 1 | Morphological comparison between *Proterocladus* and extant bacterial and eukaryotic analogs.** “√” denotes

16 presence, “X” denotes absence.

Characters	Fossil	Chlorophyta			Cyanobacteria	Fungi	Xanthophyta	Rhodophyta
	<i>Proterocladus</i>	<i>Rhizoclonium</i>	<i>Cladophora</i>	<i>Cladophoropsis</i>	<i>Nostochopsis</i>	<i>Neurospora</i> hyphae	<i>Vaucheria</i>	<i>Griffithsia</i>
Uniseriate filament	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Upward thallus growth	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
True lateral branch	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Apical cell division	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Erect epibenthic habit	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Tuft formation/aggregation	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Constriction at septum	√	√	√	√	√	√	X	√
Centripetal invagination	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√

Akinete	√	√	√	X	√	X	√	√
Lateral pore	√	√	√	√	√	X	√	X
Cell width variation along filament length	√	√	√	√	√	X	√	√
Intercalary cell division	√	√	√	√	√	X	√	√
Holdfast	√	√	√	√	X	√	√	√
Siphonocladous construction	√	√	√	√	X	√	√	√
Sexual reproduction	√	√	√	√	X	√	√	√
Cell length variation	√	√	√	√	X	X	X	√
Inflated apical cell	√	√	X	X	X	X	X	√
Narrow apical extension	√	X	X	X	X	X	√	X
Segregative cell division	X	X	X	√	X	X	X	X
Tenacular cell	X	X	X	√	X	X	X	X
Outer sheath	X	X	X	X	√	X	X	X

Septal pore	X	X	X	X	X	√	√	√
Loop structure/hyphal fusion	X	X	X	X	X	√	X	X
Multiple branches at one cell	X	X	X	X	X	√	X	√
Reference	1	2,3	4	5	6	7,8	9,10	11

Stratigraphy and sedimentary environment

Late Mesoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic sedimentary rocks are widely exposed in southern Liaoning Province, North China (Extended Data Fig. 1). These rocks represent fluvial to shallow marine deposits with a total thickness of up to 12.7 km¹². Regionally, the sedimentary sequence sits unconformably on the metamorphosed Archean and Paleoproterozoic basement, and is disconformably overlain by the Dalinzi Formation and the lower Cambrian Jianchang Formation¹³. Lithologically, the sequence is divided into, in ascending order, the Yongning Group, Xihe Group, Wuhangshan Group, and Jinxian Group¹⁴. The Yongning Group, 466.7–6,000 meters in thickness, is characterized by purple and grey thick-bedded quartz sandstone with conglomerate interbeds and well-developed cross bedding structures, representing fluvial and alluvial deposits¹⁵. The conformably overlying Xihe Group is divided into the Diaoyutai, Nanfen, and Qiaotou formations, in ascending order. The Diaoyutai Formation, 57.2–260 meters in thickness, is mainly composed of light gray glauconitic quartz sandstone with ca. 1-m-thick conglomerate at base. Cross bedding structures are well-developed, suggesting dominate littoral depositional environment¹⁶. The Nanfen Formation, from which the *Proterocladus* fossils reported here were collected, is 254–560-m-thick and conformably overlies the Diaoyutai Formation. It mainly consists of yellowish green silty shale and mudstone in the lower part; greyish thick-bedded argillaceous limestone in the middle; and greyish green shale with sandstone interbeds in the upper part. The tripartite Nanfen sediments indicate oscillation in depositional environment between subtidal and carbonate platform

39 facies. The conformably overlying Qiaotou Formation, 174–1,527 meters in thickness,
40 is mainly composed of thick- to thin-bedded quartz sandstone with well-developed
41 cross-beds, representing intertidal deposits. The overlying Wuhangshan Group
42 consists of three units, the Changlingzi, Nanguanling, and Ganjingzi formations, in
43 ascending order. The Changlingzi Formation, which conformably sits on the Qiaotou
44 Formation, is 317–1,537 meters in thickness. It is characterized by yellowish green
45 shale in the lower part; yellowish green sandstone with shale and siltstone interbeds in
46 the middle; and glauconitic sandstone and argillaceous limestone interbeds in the
47 upper part. Horizontal bedding is common in the lower part, but cross bedding
48 becomes abundant in the upper part, suggesting shallow marine deposits. The
49 Nanguanling Formation is in conformable contact with the underlying Changlingzi
50 Formation. The Nanguanling Formation, 147–797-m-thick, is mainly composed of
51 dark grey limestone with stromatolites in the upper part, probably deposited in an
52 inner carbonate platform facies. The Ganjingzi Formation, 409–906-m-thick, is in
53 conformable contact with the underlying Nanguanling Formation. It mainly consists
54 of light grey thick-bedded dolostone with stromatolites, likely representing deposition
55 in a restricted platform facies¹⁷. The conformably overlying Jinxian Group is mainly
56 composed of carbonate in the lower and middle parts with a gradual transition to
57 siliciclastics in the upper part, indicating an environmental transition from carbonate
58 platform facies to shallow marine littoral facies¹⁸. Briefly, the Jinxian Group consists
59 of Yingchengzi, Shishanlitai, Majiatun, Cuijiatun, Xingmincun, and Getun formations,
60 in ascending order. The Yingchengzi Formation, 117–894-m-thick, is mainly

composed of dark grey thick-bedded micritic and stromatolitic limestone in the lower part and crystalline limestone in the upper part. The conformably overlying Shisanlitai Formation, 57–278-m-thick, consists of dark grey medium-bedded micritic limestone and stromatolitic limestone in the lower part and yellowish green shale with stromatolitic limestone interbeds. Reddish stromatolites are common. The Majiatun Formation, which conformably sits on the Shisanlitai Formation, is 110–178 meters in thickness. It is mainly composed of thick-bedded stromatolitic limestone in the lower part and micritic limestone in the upper part. In contrast, the conformably overlying Cuijiatun Formation, 20–148-m-thick, is characterized by greyish green silty shale and mudstone. Stromatolitic limestone develops in places in the upper part. Similarly, the conformably overlying Xingmincun Formation is 121–341 m thick and composed of dark grey silty shale and mudstone in the lower part; yellowish green and purple shale in the middle; and dark grey micritic limestone in the upper part. The Getun Formation disconformably sits on the Xingmincun Formation and has a thickness of 38–83 meters. It is mainly composed of dark grey shale with quartz sandstone interbeds. The Dalinzi Formation, which disconformably overlies the Getun Formation and disconformably underlies the early Cambrian Jianchang Formation, is 63–121-m-thick and mainly composed of greyish and purple quartz sandstone in the lower part and light grey dolostone and limestone in the upper part with halite pseudomorphs, indicating evaporitic tidal flat and coastal Sabkha facies¹⁹.

Age constraints

Abundant carbonaceous compression macrofossils have been reported from the Xihe, Wuhangshan, and Jinxian groups. Taken together, these fossils suggest a depositional age of late Mesoproterozoic to early Neoproterozoic (or Tonian). The *Chuaria-Tawuia* assemblage occurs abundantly in the Diaoyutai, Nanfen, Changlingzi, Nanguanling, and Getun formations¹⁴ (Extended Data Fig. 1). In addition, many tubular fossils with transverse annulations, including *Protoarenicola* and *Pararenicola*, have been reported from the Changlingzi, Nanguanling, and Getun formations²⁰ (Extended Data Fig. 1). Although the *Chuaria-Tawuia* assemblage has been known throughout the Proterozoic Eon, it is most common in early Neoproterozoic or Tonian deposits²¹. More importantly, *Protoarenicola* and *Pararenicola* have only been reported from the early Neoproterozoic so far^{22,23}, suggesting that the Wuhangshan and Jinxian groups are likely Tonian deposits. The underlying Xihe Group may also be early Neoproterozoic or slightly older given that no significant depositional hiatus is observed. Thus, biostratigraphic data broadly constrain the Xihe, Wuhangshan, and Jinxian groups to late Mesoproterozoic–early Neoproterozoic in age. In contrast, the depositional age of the Dalinzi Formation is rather ambiguous and controversial for the lack of diagnostic fossils or reliable radiometric ages.

Consistent with the biostratigraphic data, available geochronological data also indicate a late Neoproterozoic–early Neoproterozoic age for the sequence from

the Yongning Group to the Jinxian Group in southern Liaoning Province. A number
 of whole-rock K-Ar and Rb-Sr ages have been published for the Yongning–Jinxian
 groups²⁴, but these ages have large uncertainties and are unreliable because of the
 unpredictable behaviors of the K-Ar and Rb-Sr systems²⁵. Recently, three independent
 studies revealed youngest detrital zircon ages of $1,056 \pm 22$ Ma (LA-ICP-MS)²⁵,
 $1,075 \pm 27$ Ma (SHRIMP)²⁶, and $1,136 \pm 11$ Ma (LA-ICP-MS)²⁷ from the Diaoyutai
 Formation, placing maximum age constraints on the Xihe Group and the Nanfen
 Formation that contains the *Proterocladus* fossils reported in this paper. Multiple
 diabase sills emplaced in different units of the Xihe, Wuhangshan, and Jinxian groups
 have been recently dated using zircon LA-ICP-MS U-Pb and baddeleyite SIMS
 Pb-Pb techniques. These sills give early Neoproterozoic ages, for example, 923 ± 22
 Ma (zircon) and 909 ± 7 Ma (baddeleyite) from the Qiaotou Formation¹², 947.8 ± 7.4
 Ma (zircon) from the Qiaotou Formation²⁷, 924 ± 28 Ma (zircon) and 924 ± 5 Ma
 (baddeleyite) from the Cuijiatun Formation¹², and 900 ± 34 Ma (zircon) and 886 ± 5
 Ma (baddeleyite) from the Xingmincun Formation. These dates provide minimum
 constraints on the depositional age for the Nanfen Formation. Therefore, the Nanfen
 Formation can be constrained between 1,056 Ma and 947.8 Ma (Extended Data Fig.
 1). Based on a compilation of radiometric dates from Neoproterozoic successions in
 North China, Zhao et al. conclude that the Nanfen is between ca. 1120 Ma and ca. 945
 Ma²⁷. Given that the total thickness of the Xihe-Wuhangshan-Jinxian sequence is up
 to 12.7 km, and *Proterocladus* occurs in the basal to lower part of the Nanfen

124 Formation that conformably overlies the Diaoyutai Formation, the first occurrence of
125 *Proterocladus* in the Nanfen Formation is probably ca. 1,000 Ma.

126 *Proterocladus* has been previously reported from the Tonian
127 Svanbergfjellet Formation in Svalbard¹ and Khastakh Formation in Siberia²⁸. The
128 Svanbergfjellet Formation is not directly constrained by radiometric ages. However, a
129 negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carbonate}}$ excursion, which begins in the underlying Upper Member of the
130 Grusdievbreen Formation and ends in the lower Dolomite Member of the
131 Svanbergfjellet Formation²⁹⁻³¹, has been correlated with the Bitter Spring Excursion
132 that is constrained between ca. 811.5 and 789 Ma^{29,32,33}. Given that all Svalbard
133 specimens of *Proterocladus* were recovered from the Upper Algal Dolomite Member
134 of the Svanbergfjellet Formation above the end of the Bitter Spring Excursion¹, the
135 Svanbergfjellet occurrence of *Proterocladus* should be younger than 811.5 Ma and
136 likely even younger than 789 Ma. In addition, abundant organic-walled microfossils
137 of *Cerebrosphaera globosa* (= *Cerebrosphaera buickii*) have been recovered from the
138 Algal Dolomite Member of the Svanbergfjellet Formation and co-occur with
139 *Proterocladus*¹. *Cerebrosphaera globosa* has been proposed as a late Tonian index
140 fossil whose global occurrences are constrained between ca. 800 Ma and 729 Ma and
141 probably between ca. 792 and 738 Ma³⁴. Thus, in accordance with the
142 chemostratigraphic implication, the occurrence of *C. globosa* also indicates that
143 *Proterocladus* from the Svanbergfjellet Formation is clearly younger than 800 Ma.

Similarly, the depositional age of the Khastakh Formation in Siberia is not directly constrained by radiometric ages, either. However, the organic-walled microfossil *Cerebrosphaera globosa* have been abundantly recovered from the Khastakh Formation and co-occur with *Proterocladus*²⁸, suggesting that the occurrence of *Proterocladus* from the upper Khastakh Formation is also younger than 800 Ma. Therefore, the Nanfen specimens represent the oldest occurrence of *Proterocladus*, ca. 200 Myr older than previously reported occurrences of this genus.

Taphonomy

Specimens of *Proterocladus* are preserved as carbonaceous compressions in shales and mudstones of the Nanfen Formation (Extended Data Figs. 2–7). They often occur abundantly on bedding surfaces (Extended Data Fig. 8). Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) point analysis of a *Proterocladus* specimen shows prominent peaks of C, O, Al, and Si (Extended Data Fig. 9a–c). EDS elemental maps show that there is a distinct enrichment in C and deficiency in O, Al, and Si in the fossil relative to the surrounding matrix (Extended Data Fig. 9d), thus indicating that the preserved fossil is mainly composed of organic carbon. This is consistent with the back-scattered electron SEM observation that the fossil appears darker than the matrix (Extended Data Fig. 9b).

Proterocladus specimens in the Nanfen Formation are typically concentrated in thin layers of shales or mudstones. The fossiliferous layers (hereafter

referred as fossil layers) are darker in color than the non-fossil layers (hereafter referred as background layers) (Extended Data Fig. 9e–g). The fossil layers possibly represent event beds (e.g., distal turbidites) that rapidly buried fossil remains. *Proterocladus* is often the dominant taxon in fossil layers, and specimens are randomly distributed in fossil layers (Extended Data Fig. 8). The density of fossils is also seen in a polished slab cut perpendicular to the bedding surface (Extended Data Fig. 9h, i). Most *Proterocladus* specimens are preserved as fragments, with only a few preserved intactly (Extended Data Figs. 2–3), suggesting that post-mortem transport over a short distance may have occurred before algal remains were buried. This is also consistent with the fact that the holdfast of *Proterocladus* is rarely preserved (Fig. 11; Extended Data Fig. 4) and the possibility that the fossil layers may represent microturbidites. To summarize, *Proterocladus* may have lived in a coastal marine environment and its preservation was facilitated by event deposition that transported and quickly buried algal remains entrained in the event deposits.

References

- 1 Butterfield, N. J., Knoll, A. H. & Swett, K. Paleobiology of the Neoproterozoic Svanbergfjellet Formation, Spitsbergen. *Fossils Strata* **34**, 1–84 (1994).
- 2 Zhao, Z.-J., Zhu, H., Hu, Z.-Y. & Liu, G.-X. Occurrence of true branches in *Rhizoclonium* (Cladophorales, Ulvophyceae) and the reinstatement of *Rhizoclonium pachydermum* Kjellman. *Phytotaxa* **166**, 273–284 (2014).

- 186 3 Zhao, Z.-J., Zhu, H., Liu, G.-X. & Hu, Z.-Y. *Rhizoclonium ramosum* sp. nov.
187 (Cladophorales, Chlorophyta), a new freshwater algal species from China.
188 *Fottea* **16**, 12–21 (2016).
- 189 4 Zhu, H. *et al.* Molecular phylogeny and morphological diversity of inland
190 Cladophora (Cladophorales, Ulvophyceae) from China. *Phycologia* **57**, 191–
191 208 (2018).
- 192 5 Leliaert, F. & Coppejans, E. A revision of *Cladophoropsis* Børgesen
193 (Siphonocladales, Chlorophyta). *Phycologia* **45**, 657–679 (2006).
- 194 6 Komárek, J. & Johansen, J. R. in *Freshwater Algae of North America (Second*
195 *Edition)* (eds John D. Wehr, Robert G. Sheath, & J. Patrick Kociolek) 135–235
196 (Academic Press, 2015).
- 197 7 Roper, M., Ellison, C., Taylor, John W. & Glass, N. L. Nuclear and Genome
198 Dynamics in Multinucleate Ascomycete Fungi. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, R786–R793
199 (2011).
- 200 8 Deacon, J. *Fungal Biology 4th edition*. 371 (Blackwell Publishing Ltd, 1997).
- 201 9 Butterfield, N. J. A vaucheriacean alga from the middle Neoproterozoic of
202 Spitsbergen: Implications for the evolution of Proterozoic eukaryotes and the
203 Cambrian explosion. *Paleobiology* **30**, 231–252 (2004).
- 204 10 Randhawa, M. S. Akinete formation in *Vaucheria geminata*. *Bot. Gaz.* **103**,
205 809–811 (1942).

- 206 11 Boo, S. M. & Cho, T. O. The morphology of *Griffithsia tomo-yamadae*
207 Okamura (Ceramiaceae, Rhodophyta): a little-known species from the
208 Northeast Pacific. *Bot. Mar.* **44**, 109–118 (2001).
- 209 12 Zhang, S.-H., Zhao, Y., Ye, H. & Hu, G.-H. Early Neoproterozoic emplacement
210 of the diabase sill swarms in the Liaodong Peninsula and pre-magmatic uplift of
211 the southeastern North China Craton. *Precambrian Res.* **272**, 203–225 (2016).
- 212 13 Hong, Z. Development of research on Precambrian geology with their
213 significance in south of Liaoning Province. *Liaoning Geology* **1989**, 87–94
214 (1989).
- 215 14 Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Liaoning Province. *Regional*
216 *Geology of Liaoning Province. Chinese Ministry of Geology and Mineral*
217 *Resources, Geological Memoris, series 1, number 5.* 856 (Geological
218 Publishing House, 1989).
- 219 15 Shan, X., Li, X., Zhan, L. & Yang, Y. Sedimentary characteristics of Yongning
220 Formation (Group). *Liaoning Geology* **16**, 29–34 (1999).
- 221 16 Qiao, X., Gao, L. & Peng, Y. *The Neoproterozoic in the Tan-Lu Fault Zone —*
222 *Catastrophe, Stratigraphy, and Biology.* 141 (Geological Publishing House,
223 2001).
- 224 17 Xing, Y., Gao, Z., Wang, Z., Gao, L. & Yin, C. *Chinese Stratigraphy Catalog*
225 *—Neoproterozoic.* 24 (Geological Publishing House, 1996).
- 226 18 Niu, S. & Xin, H. Stratigraphical correlation of the Qingbaikou System and
227 establish of the Jinzhou System. *Geol. Surv. Res.* **35**, 1–15 (2012).

- 228 19 Yang, X. Analysis of the sedimentary facies in Sinian Getun Formation, the
229 Cambrian Dalinzi and Jianchang formations in southern Liaoning Peninsula.
230 *Liaoning Geology* **1988**, 159–168 (1988).
- 231 20 Hong, Z., Yang, Y. & Huang, Z. Macrofossil sequenc of the late Precambrian
232 from the southern Liaoning Peninsula. *Liaoning Geology* **1991**, 219–236
233 (1991).
- 234 21 Sun, W. Palaeontology and biostratigraphy of Late Precambrian macroscopic
235 colonial algae: *Chuarina* Walcott and *Tawuia* Hofmann. *Palaeontogr. Abt. B* **203**,
236 109–134 (1987).
- 237 22 Dong, L. *et al.* Restudy of the worm-like carbonaceous compression fossils
238 *Protoarenicola*, *Pararenicola*, and *Sinosabellidites* from early Neoproterozoic
239 successions in North China. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **258**,
240 138–161 (2008).
- 241 23 Sharma, M. & Shukla, Y. Megascopic carbonaceous compression fossils from
242 the Neoproterozoic Bhima Basin, Karnataka, South India. *Geol. Soc. London*
243 *Spec. Publ.* **366**, 277–293 (2012).
- 244 24 Wang, D., Wang, J. & Lin, W. Study on the Precambrian isotopic
245 geochronology in eastern Liaoning Province. *Chinese Sci. Bull.* **14**, 872–875
246 (1984).
- 247 25 Yang, D.-B. *et al.* U-Pb ages and Hf isotope data from detrital zircons in the
248 Neoproterozoic sandstones of northern Jiangsu and southern Liaoning

249 Provinces, China: Implications for the late Precambrian evolution of the
250 southeastern North China Craton. *Precambrian Res.* **216–219**, 162–176 (2012).

251 26 Gao, L. *et al.* Detrital zircon SHRIMP U-Pb age from the Diaoyutai Formation,
252 Xihe Group in Liaodong Peninsula, China and its geological significance. *Geol.*
253 *Bull. China* **29**, 1113–1122 (2010).

254 27 Zhao, H. *et al.* New geochronologic and paleomagnetic results from early
255 Neoproterozoic mafic sills and late Mesoproterozoic to early Neoproterozoic
256 successions in the eastern North China Craton, and implications for the
257 reconstruction of Rodinia. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* **10.1130/B35198.1** (2019).

258 28 Nagovitsin, K. E. *et al.* Revised Neoproterozoic and Terreneuvian stratigraphy
259 of the Lena-Anabar Basin and north-western slope of the Olenek Uplift,
260 Siberian Platform. *Precambrian Res.* **270**, 226–245 (2015).

261 29 Halverson, G. P., Hoffman, P. F., Schrag, D. P., Maloof, A. C. & Rice, A. H. N.
262 Toward a Neoproterozoic composite carbon-isotope record. *Geol. Soc. Am.*
263 *Bull.* **117**, 1181–1207 (2005).

264 30 Halverson, G. P., Maloof, A. C., Schrag, D. P., Dudás, F. Ö. & Hurtgen, M.
265 Stratigraphy and geochemistry of a ca 800 Ma negative carbon isotope interval
266 in northeastern Svalbard. *Chem. Geol.* **237**, 5–27 (2007).

267 31 Halverson, G. P., Porter, S. M. & Gibson, T. M. Dating the late Proterozoic
268 stratigraphic record. *Emerg. Top. Life Sci.* **2**, 137–147 (2018).

- 269 32 Swanson-Hysell, N. L. *et al.* Stratigraphy and geochronology of the Tambien
270 Group, Ethiopia: Evidence for globally synchronous carbon isotope change in
271 the Neoproterozoic. *Geology* **43**, 323–326 (2015).
- 272 33 Macdonald, F. A. *et al.* Calibrating the Cryogenian. *Science* **327**, 1241–1243
273 (2010).
- 274 34 Riedman, L. A. & Sadler, P. M. Global species richness record and
275 biostratigraphic potential of early to middle Neoproterozoic eukaryote fossils.
276 *Precambrian Res.* **319**, 6–18 (2018).