

Supplementary information

Preventing the progression of cirrhosis to decompensation and death

In the format provided by
the authors and unedited

Supplementary Information

Title:

Preventing the progression of cirrhosis to decompensation and death

Authors:

Càndid Villanueva^{1,2,3}, Dhiraj Tripathi^{4,5}, Jaume Bosch^{3,6}

Affiliations:

¹ Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Biomedical Research Institute Sant Pau (IIB Sant Pau), Barcelona, Spain.

² Department of Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain.

³ Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ministerio de Sanidad, Spain.

⁴ Liver Unit, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham Health Partners, Birmingham, United Kingdom

⁵ Institute of Immunology and Immunotherapy, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

⁶ Department of Visceral Surgery and Medicine (Hepatology), Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland.

Corresponding author: Càndid Villanueva, MD.

E-mail: cvillanueva@santpau.cat. Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau. Mas Casanovas 90, 08041 Barcelona, Spain. Telephone number: 34 93 5565920, FAX number: 34 93 556 5608.

Supplementary Table 1: Key studies evaluating invasive and non-invasive tests predicting progression of compensated cirrhosis and decompensation

Study	Design	Definition of decompensation	Varices at baseline	Etiology	Therapies	Prediction of clinical events	Comments
Invasive tests							
Groszmann et al, 2005 ¹ (n=213) HVPG baseline and every 12 months	RCT. Primary endpoint: development of varices or variceal hemorrhage. Mean follow-up: 54.9 months HVPG every 12 months	Not given	No	ArID – 24% HCV – 59% (no on antivirals)	Timolol vs placebo	Baseline HVPG $\geq$ 10 mmHg and HVPG $>$ 10% increase predicted primary endpoint.	No difference in the primary endpoint when all patients were analyzed. HVPG response was significantly higher with timolol than placebo.
Hernández-Gea et al, 2012 ² (n=83) HVPG $\geq$ 12	Prospective. Median follow-up: 53 months	As, HE, PB	Large esophageal varices	ArID – 18% HCV – 62%	Primary prevention with nadolol*	Pre-therapy (with nadolol) acute HVPG response to IV propranolol. Acute HVPG reduction $\geq$ 10% associated with less decompensation (ascites, bleeding) and death. 3-month HVPG response with nadolol predicted ascites.	In hemodynamic responders, MELD threshold 10 provided additional prognostic precision. Decompensation occurred in 62% regardless of aetiology.
Rincón et al, 2013 ³ (n=145) HVPG	Retrospective single center study. Median follow-up: 27 months.	As, HE, PB	No – 47% Small – 23% Large – 20%	HCV (HCC in 26%) – none had SVR (37% on antivirals)	Not given	HVPG and albumin independent predictors of decompensation. Each mmHg increase in HVPG led to 11%	1,2,5 year decompensation 8%, 25%, 44% respectively (more common if varices).

			Unknown – 10%			increase in the risk of decompensation. PI** model discriminative of decompensation (AUROC: 0.77 (95% CI: 0.64–0.89). PI < 2.5 predictive of remaining free of decompensation.	
Lens et al, 2015 ⁴ (n=100) HVPG	Retrospective over four centers. Median follow-up: 5.2 years.	As, HE, PB	Esophageal varices – 31%	Compensated HCV in interferon-based therapy (SVR in 35%) CSPH in 74%	NSBB in 4%	Baseline HVPG (not SVR) predicted decompensation and transplant-free survival.	1,5,7 year decompensation of 3%, 19%, and 22%, respectively (higher if CSPH).
Reiberger et al, 2012 ⁵ (n=104) HVPG	Prospective non-randomized study. Median follow-up 19.5 months.	As, HE, PB, Ja	Small varices – 39% Large varices – 61% No previous bleeding	ArLD – 55% Viral – 33% MASLD – 10% Mostly compensate	Propranolol Carvedilol (for hemodynamic non-responders). VBL in carvedilol non-responders (carvedilol stopped).	Hemodynamic response defined as >20% reduction or reduction to < 12 mmHg. Less decompensation in: a. propranolol non-responders on carvedilol compared to VBL b. NSBB responders compared to VBL	Less decompensation in: a. propranolol non-responders on carvedilol compared to VBL b. NSBB responders compared to VBL
Invasive and non-invasive tests							
Ripoll et al, 2007 ⁶ (n=213) Invasive (HVPG ≥ 6 mmHg)	Nested cohort study within a RCT Median follow-up: 51 months	As, HE, PB	No	ArLD – 24% HCV – 59% (no	Timolol vs placebo	HVPG < 10 mmHg associated with 90% probability of absence of decompensation	Decompensation in 29% during follow-up. HVPG, MELD, and albumin predicted decompensation. HVPG had greatest discriminative ability.

Non-invasive (albumin, MELD)				on antivirals)		(ascites 75%, variceal bleeding 105, hepatic encephalopathy 27%). HVPg decrease < 10% associated with decompensation. Every HVPg increase in 1mmHg associated with 19% increased risk of clinical decompensation. Non-invasive tests (c-statistic; 95% CI) Albumin (0.66; 95% CI, 0.58 – 0.74) MELD (0.64; 95% CI, 0.55– 0.72) HVPg (0.71; 95% CI, 0.64 – 0.78)	
Berzigotti et al, 2011 ⁷ (n=172) Invasive (HVPg ≥ 10 mmHg (n=86)) Non-invasive (paired USS (n=86))	Retrospective analysis Median follow up: 28 months 73% compensated.	As, HE, PB, SBP, Ja	None – 24% Small – 28% Large – 48%	ArLD – 11% Viral – 54%	NSBB (n=33) VBL (n=5) NSBB + VBL (n=3) TIPS (n=2)	HVPg ≥ 16 mmHg (p=0.007) and bilirubin (p=0.06) predicted first decompensation APC correlated with HVPg ≥ 16 mmHg, and trend in predicting decompensation.	First decompensation higher in ArLD vs other etiologies (57.1% vs 21.8%).
Berzigotti et al, 2011 ⁸ (n=161)	Nested cohort study of a RCT.	As, HE, PB	No	ArLD – 24%	Timolol vs placebo	HVPg (1.14 [95% CI, 1.07-1.20]), albumin (HR 4.54	Fewer patients with obesity had ≥ 10% reduction in HVPg at one year (24.5% vs 40.4%).

Invasive (HVPG) Non-invasive (BMI, albumin)	Median follow-up: 59 months			HCV – 59% (no on antivirals)		[2.44-8.33]) and high baseline BMI (hazard ratio 1.06; 95% CI, 1.01-1.12) independent predictors decompensation.	
Robic, 2011 ⁹ (n=100) Invasive (HVPG) Non-invasive (LSM)	Prospective, single center Mean follow up 491 days	As, HE, PB, HRS, SBP, HCC and/or sepsis	In cirrhotic patients: No varices – 27.5% Small varices – 27.7% Large varices – 35%	ArLD – 38% Viral hepatitis – 28% MASLD – 8% All had cACLD Cirrhosis – 65% CSPH – 51%	Not given	AUROC (95% CI) for portal hypertension- related complications: • LSM: 0.830 [0.751–0.910]. No decompensation if LSM <21.1 kPa. • HVPG: 0.845 [0.767–0.920]. No patients with HVPG < 10 mmHg developed decompensation	In cirrhosis patients, 26.5% developed portal hypertension-related complications during follow-up.
Colecchia, 2014 ¹⁰ (n=92) Prospective. Invasive (HVPG) Non-invasive (LSM, splenic stiffness, platelet count/spleen diameter ratio, liver stiffness- spleen diameter to platelet ratio score, APRI, liver stiffness x spleen diameter, MELD)	Prospective Mean follow-up – 731 days	As, HE, PB	No varices – 53% Small varices – 53%	HCV cirrhosis cACLD No patients on antiviral therapy at baseline	None	AUROC (95% CI) for predicting liver decompensation. HVPG: 0.83 (95% CI 0.75–0.92) SS: 0.85 (95% CI 0.77–0.93) (independent of the presence of varices) MELD and SSM predictive model***: 0.87 (95% CI 0.80– 0.94) SSM < 54 kPa: NPV 0.98 (0.87- 0.99) for predicting low risk of decompensation at 2 years	33% decompensated over 2-year period.

Pérez-Latorre, 2014 ¹¹ (n=60) Invasive (HVPg) Non-invasive (LSM)	Retrospective. Median follow-up 42 months.	As, HE, PB, Ja	Varices in 38%.	HCV cirrhosis with and without HIV co- infection. All co- infected on therapy (86% responder s) CSPH in 53%.	Not given	AUROC (95% CI) for predicting liver decompensation. LSM: 0.85 (.69– 1.00). LSM < 25 kPa and LSM>40 kPa thresholds for absence and presence of decompensation HVPg: 0.76 (0.59–0.93)	Decompensation in 13% over 42 months.
Sebastiani, 2015 ¹² (n=148) Invasive (HVPg, histology) Non-invasive (APRI, FIB-4, NAFLD fibrosis score, imaging)	Retrospective cohort study. Median follow-up – 5 years	As, HE, PB, SBP	No given	MASLD (F3/F4 fibrosis in 34%). CSPH in 18.2%.	Not given	AUROC (95% CI) for predicting liver decompensation: • Histologic fibrosis stage, 0.85 (0.76- 0.93) • HVPg, 0.81 (0.70-0.91) • APRI, 0.89 (0.82-0.96) • FIB-4, 0.89 (0.83-0.95) • NAFLD fibrosis score, 0.79 (0.69-0.91)	Clinical outcomes (decompensation, liver transplantation, HCC, or death) in 16.2% over 5 years.. Histological steatosis and non- invasive steatosis methods did not predict outcomes.
Kitson, 2015 ¹³ (n=95) Invasive (HVPg) Non-invasive (LSM, APRI, FIB-4, PSDR)	Prospective Median follow-up – 15.1 months	As, HE, PB, HRS	No varices – 28% Small varices – 42% Large varices – 29%	ArLD – 41% HCV - 33%. Compensa ted cirrhosis (previous decompen sation in 24%).	NSBB – 22%	AUROC (95% CI): • LSM: 0.73 (0.61– 0.84). LSM > 34.5 kPa optimal threshold for the presence of decompensation	In those with CSPH risk of portal hypertensive complications at 1, 2, and 3 years was 20%, 35%, and 40%, respectively

				75% had CSPH Cirrhosis in 93%.			
Harrison, 2018 ¹⁴ Sanyal, 2019 ¹⁵ Bosch, 2021 ¹⁶ Invasive (HVPg, ML-HVPg) Non-invasive (ELF FibroSure FibroTest FIB-4 APRI Serum LOXL2) NAFLD fibrosis score ML (machine learning)-HVPg Other lab assessments)	RCT (Simtuzumab vs placebo) Median follow-up 30.9 months	As, HE, de-novo varices, PB, CPS increase ≥ 2 points	Varices – 42% in cirrhotic patients	MASLD Bridging fibrosis (n=219) Compensated cirrhosis (n=258, 68% had CSPH)	NSBB – 21%	Variables associated with clinical events in cirrhotic patients (HR with 95%CI): • ELF score: 2.11 (1.53-2.90) • FibroSure/FibroTest, per 0.1 units: 1.21 (1.06-1.38) • NAFLD Fibrosis Score: 1.78 (1.43-2.21) • FIB-4: 1.24 (1.14-1.35) • APRI: 1.88 (1.45-2.46) • sLOXL2, per 10 pg/mL 1.02 (1.01-1.04) • CSPH (HVPg ≥ 10 mm Hg): 2.83 (1.33-6.02) • Failure to achieve $\geq 20\%$ decrease in HVPg: 5.38 (1.65-17.58) • Failure to achieve HVPg < 10 mm Hg and/or a $\geq 20\%$ decrease: 5.51 (1.69-17.98)	Of cirrhotics, 19% experienced clinical events over follow up 30.9 months (19% of these had HVPg < 10 mmHg)

						• ML-HPVG score per 1 unit baseline increase: 2.13 (1.26-3.59)	
Villanueva et al, 2019¹⁷ Villanueva et al. 2021¹⁸ (n=201) Invasive (HVPg $\geq$ 10 mmHg) Non-invasive (LSM $\geq$ 14 kPa, Child-Pugh Score) Nicoară-Farcău et al 2022¹⁹ (n=167) Non-invasive: Metabolomics	RCT (PREDESCI). Median follow up 37 months.	As, HE, PB	No varices – 43% Small esophageal varices – 57%	HCV – 56% (none on antivirals) Alcohol – 16% MASLD – 6.5% (all compensated cirrhosis with CSPH)	NSBB (propranolol (n=67) or carvedilol in propranolol non-responders (n=33) vs placebo VBL if high-risk varices developed	Cox proportional-hazards regression for prediction of decompensation and/or death (hazard ratio, 95% CI): • Baseline Child-Pugh score: 4.13 (2.03-8.39) • Baseline HVPg: 4.72 (2.24-9.95) • LSM (AUROC): 0.63 (0.51 to 0.74) • HVPg/Clinical/Metabolite model (AUROC): 0.808 (0.735-0.882) - Clinical/Metabolite model (AUROC): 0.785 (0.710-0.860)	NSBB resulted in lower decompensation and death ((HR 0.51 (0.26-0.97), p=0.0412). Bacterial infection was predictive of decompensation. ArLD, varices at baseline, and HVPg response ($>10\%$ decrease from baseline or to < 10 mmHg) had the most benefit from NSBB.
Jindal et al, 2020²⁰ (n=741) Invasive (HVPg $\geq$ 6 mmHg stratified into 3 strata) Non-invasive (LSM, biochemical and hematological parameters)	Retrospective Median follow up 1.6 ± 0.4 years	As, HE, PB, Ja	No varices- 10% Small varices – 66% Large varices – 24%	Alcohol - 22.9% MASLD – 30.8% HCV – 20.6% HBV – 10.7% All compensated cirrhotics	Carvedilol if HVPg $\geq$ 12 mmHg	Baseline HVPg $\geq$ 12 mmHg (HR 2.73) and HVPg $\geq$ 20 mmHg (HR 4.48) independent predictors of decompensation Total leucocyte count (HR 1.07) and serum creatinine (HR 1.19) are associated with decompensation-free survival.	217 (29%) developed decompensation over 1.6 year follow up (earlier if higher HPVG) LSM not associated with decompensation. HVPg $\geq$ 20 mmHg group had higher proportion of MASLD cirrhosis than lower HVPg group (35% versus 20%).

Mandorfer et al, 2020 ²¹ (n=90) Invasive (HVPg $\geq$ 6 mmHg) Non-invasive (MELD, CPS)	Prospective. Median follow-up 35.3 months (IQR 21.8 months)	As, HE, PB	Large varices – 47% Small varices – 53%	HCV – all had SVR 14% had previous decompensation	NSBB (42%)	Baseline HVPg not predictive of decompensation HVPg change (especially if no decrease $\geq$ 10%) during follow up predicted decompensation (AUROC 0.872) Follow-up Child-Pugh Score (HR 1.484; 95% CI 1.01-2.17) and Follow-up MELD (HR 1.15; 95% CI 1.01-1.32) associated with decompensation	
Costa et al, 2021 ²² (n=168) Invasive (HVPg) Non-invasive (MELD, CRP, IL-6)	Prospective Single center Median follow up 12.2 months	As, HE, PB.	Small varices – 32% Large varices – 24%	78 cACLD: ArLD – 21.6% Viral – 34.6% MASLD – 20.3%	None	Variables predicting decompensation (HR with 95% CI) in Baveno stages 0-2: IL-6: 1.06 (1.01-1.1)	IL-6 and CRP levels independently predicted death/liver transplantation.
Scheiner et al, 2022 ²³ (n=576) (HVPg $\geq$ 6 mmHg, LSM $\geq$ 10 kPa) Invasive (HVPg) Non-invasive (FVIII/PC ratio)	Retrospective single center Median follow-up 31.8 months	As, HE, PB	Varices – 68%	Viral – 38% ArLD – 36% MASLD – 11% 52% had history of decompensation	No data on NSBB	Predictors of decompensation or liver-related death (AUROC at 36 months): FVIII/PC – 0.708 MELD – 0.733 HVPg – 0.771	
Jindal et al, 2022 ²⁴ (n=261)	Retrospective single center	As, HE, PB, Ja	In compensated patients:	Compensated patients:	Carvedilol if large varices or decompensation	Baseline predictors of new decompensation (HR;95% CI):	Overall 14.4% developed new decompensation over 23.1 months (HVPg response to carvedilol

(compensated 132) Invasive (HPVG 6-10 mmHG) Non-invasive (LSM > 15 kPa, portosystemic shunts, biochemical parameters)	Median follow-up 23.1 months		No varices- 71% Small varices – 24% Large varices – 5.1%	Alcohol – 6.1% MASLD – 37.1% HCV – 21.2% HBV – 12.9%	on during follow-up (n=60)	LSM > 26.6 kPa - 1.08 (1.03–1.12) Portosystemic shunts - 4.42 (1.05–18.66) Albumin - 0.27 (0.09–0.88)	predicted absence of decompensation) New decompensation increased mortality rate by a factor of seven. HVPG predictive of further but not new decompensation
Jachs et al 2024 ²⁵ (n=420) Non-invasive (LSM ≥ 10 kPa, VITRO, platelet count, BMI, ANTICIPATE±NASH) Invasive (HVPG)	Retrospective single center.	As, HE, PB,	No varices- 60% Small varices – 26% Large varices – 14% All patients had compensated disease	Viral – 51.9% ArLD – 20.5% MASLD – 18.6% 119 patients had control or cure of etiological factor	No patient on NSBB at baseline. Assumed nearly all patients with varices treated with NSBB	Predictors of decompensation at one year (AUROC): HVPG (0.739) VITRO (0.811), ANTICIPATE±MASLD CSPH probability (0.683) LSM to PLT ratio (0.699) No difference between predictors including subgroup of ArLd/MASLD Known threshold differentiated high vs low risk of hepatic decompensation (HVPG ≥ 10 mmHg vs. <10 mmHg, VITRO ≥ 2.5 vs. <2.5, and ANTICIPATE-	16% decompensation over median follow-up 1.6 (0.5-3.8) years Higher decompensation in ArLD vs MASLD (3 years 35.5% vs 14.9%)

						CSPH probability ≥ 60% vs. <60%)	
Non-invasive tests							
Merchante et al, 2012 ²⁶ (n=239) LSM ≥ 14 kPa, APRI, FIB4	Prospective Seven centers Median follow up 20.7 months	As, HE, PB, HRS, SBP	Large varices – 93% Small varices – 15%	HIV/HCV co- infection All on therapy – 16% had no SVR at baseline, 23% had SVR at end of study cACLD with no previous decompen sation	Not given	Predictors of decompensation (AUROC ; 95% CI) • LSM: 0.72 (95% CI 0.61-0.82) • LSM ≥ 40 kPa optimal threshold to predict decompensation • Child-Pugh score: 0.76 (95% CI, 0.65-0.88) • MELD: 0.71 (95% CI 0.61- 0.81)	During follow up 13% developed decompensation
Merchante et al, 2015 ²⁷ (n=275) LSM >14 kPa and < 40 kPa	Prospective Seven centers Median follow-up – 32 months	As, HE, PB, HRS, SBP	Not given	HIV/HCV co- infection At baseline 67% had negative HIV RNA load. During follow up 39% had HCV therapy (31% had SVR) cACLD with no previous decompen sation	Not given	Predictors of decompensation and/or HCC (AUROC ; 95% CI): LSM (baseline): 0.609 (0.471– 0.748) LSM progression: 0.680 (0.541– 0.818) Only LSM progression associated with the endpoint.	LSM every 12 months with progression defined as ≥ 30% baseline. 6.9% decompensated and/or developed HCC over 32 month follow- up.

Guha et al, 2019 ²⁸ (n=145) Model based on FIB-4 and ALBI which includes the following variables age, AST, Albumin, platelets, bilirubin, ALT.****	Retrospective Three centers Median follow-up – 4.59 years	As, HE, PB.	Not given	ArLD – 45% MASLD - 30% All had cirrhosis cACLD with no previous decompensation	Not given	Precision of model in predicting decompensation: Harell's c statistic: 0.805 (95% CI 0.718-0.873) Hazard ratio of high-risk patients was 7.1 (95% CI, 3.07 to 16.42)	Does not consider the influence of etiology. Lack of calibration of the model. Decompensation in 19.3% over 4.59 years
Eaton et al, 2020 ²⁹ (n=204) MRE (146 had second MRE) APRI	Retrospective Median follow-up – 4 years	As, HE, PB.	Not given	PSC All with cACLD	Not given	Variables predicting decompensation (HR with 95% CI): Single LSM > 4.32 kPa (second MRE): 60.41 (17.85-204.47) Change in LSM 0.34 kPa/year: 13.29 (5.23-33.78) Change in APRI/year: 0.76 (0.62-0.93)	LSM progression directly related to baseline LSM (stage 0 fibrosis – 0.03 kPa/year versus stage 4 fibrosis – 0.31 kPa/year). Ascites noted in all patients that developed decompensation (n=23)
Han et al, 2020 ³⁰ (n=320) MRE	Retrospective Two centers	As, HE, PB.	Not given	MASLD All with cirrhosis (compensated – 26, decompensated - 13)	Not given	Variables predicting decompensation or death (OR with 95% CI): MRE: 3.28 per kPa increase (2.04 – 5.28)	LSM by MRE at a threshold of 3.99 kPa discriminated between cirrhosis and non-cirrhosis (AUROC 0.986). LSM by MRE at a threshold of 6.48 kPa discriminated between compensated and decompensated cirrhosis (AUROC 0.707).
Calzadilla-Bertot et al, 2020 ³¹ (n=299) ABIDE NAFLD fibrosis score FIB-4	Retrospective Six centers Median follow-up 5.6 years	As, HE, PB.	Varices – 43.1%	MASLD compensated cirrhosis	NSBB in 16.6%	5 year prediction of decompensation (tAUC): ABIDE (0.80) – ABIDE vs:	ABIDE ≥ 4.1 kPa associated with an increased risk of decompensation (5-year cumulative incidence 37%).

MELD CPS ALBI ALBI-FIB4						NAFLD fibrosis score (0.72) FIB-4 (0.74) MELD (0.69) CPS (0.72) ALBI (0.72) ALBI-FIB4 (0.73)	
Gidener et al, 2021 ³² (n=829) MRE	Retrospective Median follow up – 4 years	As, HE, PB, Ja	Not given	MASLD Cirrhosis - 23.4%	Not given	Variables predicting decompensation or death (HR with 95% CI): MRE: 1.32 (1.13- 1.56) per 1kPa increase after adjusting for age, sex, MELD Na	In cirrhotic patients, 35% developed decompensation during follow-up.
Osman et al, 2021 ³³ (n=538) LSM measured by transient elastography (n=286) and MRE (n=332)	Prospective Three centers	As, HE, PB.	Not given	PBC 11.1% cirrhotic 71.5% UDCA responder s	Not given	Variables predicting decompensation (HR with 95% CI): Transient elastography: 1.14 (1.05-1.24); optimal threshold 10.2 kPa MRE: 1.68 (1.28- 2.19); optimal threshold 4.2 kPa	
Younes et al 2021 ³⁴ (n=1173). NAFLD fibrosis score FIB-4 BARD APRI Hepamet fibrosis score	Prospective Six centers Median follow up 81 months	As, HE, PB.	Not given	MASLD F3/F4 fibrosis in 24.1%.	Not given	Variables predicting liver events (medial Harrell's c- indices): NAFLD fibrosis score (0.796) FIB-4 (0.783) BARD (0.728) APRI (0.6)	

						Hepamet fibrosis score (0.729)	
Petta et al, 2021³⁵ (n=1039) NAFLD F3-F4 fibrosis and/or LSM > 10 kPa Minimum 6 months follow up. Baseline LSM and repeat within 1 year Delta LSM (improvement if > 20% reduction, stable if between -/+ 20% from baseline, impairment if 20% or more increase)	Retrospective 10 centers Median follow-up 35 months.	As, HE, PB, Ja	Not given	MASLD with cACLD	Not given	Baseline LSM independently predicted: a. Decompe nsation (HR 1.03; 95% CI 1.02-1.04) b. Liver related death (HR 1.02;95% CI 1.02-1.03) Delta LSM (n=533) predicted: a. Decompe nsation (HR 1.56; 95% CI 1.05-2.51) b. HCC (HR 1.72;95% CI 1.01-3.02) c. Overall mortality (HR 1.73 (95% CI 1.11-2.69) d. Liver related mortality (HR 1.96; 95% CI 1.10-3.38)	Threshold baseline LSM 21kPa (CSPH) independently associated with decompensation (HR 3.71; 95% CI 1.89-6.78) Delta LSM associated with decompensation in patients without CSPH at baseline but not those with CSPH. Age and platelet count also associated with decompensation.

Semmler et al, 2023 ³⁶ (n=2503) (non-ACLD = 1647, cACLD = 757, dACLD = 104) (LSM, laboratory values, FIB-e, MELD)	Retrospective single center Median follow up 71.2 months	As, HE, PB	No given	Etiology (non- ACLD, cACLD): AIH/choles tatic (13.3, 14.1) ArLD (1.2, 7.1) HBV (11.4, 6.3) HCV (49.5, 50.7) MASLD (18.3, 14.5)	Not given	Predictors of liver decompensation (AUROC) LSM dynamics – 0.933 Platelet dynamics – 0.849 Albumin dynamics – 0.566 FIB-4 dynamics – 0.873 MELD dynamics – 0.835 (Dynamics in first 24 months to predict decompensation within subsequent 12 months)	Median time to second LSM 17 months Higher decompensation with cACLD vs non-ACLD (0.4% vs 10.9%). LSM 20% increase increased decompensation by 50% and more pronounced after etiological cure. Converse also true. When looking at Baveno VII criteria for clinically significant decrease in LSM – best discrimination for decompensation if stratify by any decrease to < 20 kPa (Harrell's C- indec 0.747).
Schneider et al, 2022 ³⁷ (n= 25354) (training – 6049; validation – 19305) Child-Pugh Score, MELD, ALBI, PALBI, EPOD score	Retrospective population based from 2 cohorts in United States and one cohort United Kingdom. Follow-up – 3.5 – 10 years	As, HE, PB, Ja, HRS	Not given	Alcohol – 9.5% to 54% in UK Viral hepatitis – 12- 45.22%) All had compensa ted cirrhosis	No data on therapies	EPOD score prediction of 3 year decompensation (AUROC) Explorys – 0.694 PMBB – 0.692 UKB – 0.770 EPOD score threshold of 10 accurately stratified into low and high risk.	EPOD score outperformed MELD and CPS in predicting decompensation. No data on etiological control or cure.
Dajti et al, 2022 ³⁸ (n=195) (114 validation cohort analysed)	Retrospective, 2 centers in Italy Median follow up 42 months	As, HE, PB,	Varices – 50.9%	Viral - 57.9% ARLD - 12.3%	No patient on NSBB	1 st decompensation rate (Baveno VII, Baveno VII sequential SSM, 1 st	Combined Baveno VII-SSM model had the greatest precision in CSPH diagnosis compared to Baveno VII model and Baveno VII sequential SSM Model with only 15% in grey

LSM $\geq$ 10 mmHg, SSM, platelets				MASLD – 36% All had compensated CSPH		Baveno VII-SSM combined models) Rule in group (12.5%, 15.4%, 16.1%) Rule out group (0 in all models) Gray zone (9.7%, 4.4%, 0%)	zone with NPV and PPV > 90% for ruling in an out CSPH: Rule-out: Two of: LSM $\leq$ 15 kPa PLT $\geq$ 150,000 SSM $\leq$ 40 kPa Rule in: Two of: LSM > 15 kPa, PLT < 150,000, SSM > 40 kPa
Asesio et al, 2022 ³⁹ (n=455) B6C (Baveno VI criteria) – favorable LSM < 20 kPa and platelet > 150000/mm ³) and unfavorable Baveno VI status patients (LSM $\geq$ 20 kPa or platelet $\leq$ 150000/mm ³) Lab assays	Retrospective single center Mean follow-up – 3.6 years.	As, HE, PB,	Varices needing treatment – 17.5% (more in unfavorable Baveno VI group 25% vs 3.8% patients)	HCV – 68.4% MASLD – 24.8 ArLD – 16.9% Hep B – 10.1% All compensated Viral suppression in 82%		Independent predictors of portal hypertensive related complication (HR (95% CI): HCC (0.40 [0.19–0.83]) low baseline albumin (0.89 [0.84–0.93]) high serum total bilirubin rate (HR = 1.06 [1.03–1.08]) low PT (0.97, [0.96–0.99]) Unfavourable Baveno VI (0.46 [0.21–0.89])	Decompensation during follow up higher in unfavourable B6C (14.3% vs 5.6%)
Fernández-Alvarez et al, 2023 ⁴⁰ (n=321) Child-Pugh Score, FIB-4	Retrospective single center Median follow-up 48 months	As, HE, PB,	Not available	HCV with SVR and advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis 10% had previous decompensation		Independent predictors of decompensation (HR; 95% CI): CPS baseline - 4.13 (1.74-9.81) FIB-4 baseline - 1.12 (1.03-1.21) FIB-4 cut-offs for decompensation risk on follow-up (AUROC):	Decompensation in 10% Only FIB-4 associated with decompensation at 1 and 2 years.

						1 year: 2.03 (0.824) 2 years: 2.21 (0.819)	
Thorhauge et al, 2024 ⁴¹ (n=536) LSM	Retrospective, 2 centers Median follow-up - 63 months		Not available	ArLD – 100% 42~% abstinent All compensa ted - cACLD (LSM ≥ 10 kPa) and no cACLD (LSM < 10 kPa)	No data on NSBB.	Independent predictors of decompensation (Harrell's c index, 95% CI): Baseline LSM – 0.85 (0.83-0.88) Follow up LSM – 0.89 (0.85-0.93) LSM changes – 0.85 (0.80-0.90) Delta LSM – 0.47 (0.34-0.60) Delta LSM/year – 0.55 (0.42-0.68)	Decompensation higher if cACLD (31% vs 3%; HR=19.76, p<0.001)) Data is not sufficient to assess the impact of alcohol consumption during follow-up on LSM changes and outcomes.
Kobayashi et al, 2024 ⁴² (n=405) LSM using MRE	Retrospective single center N=405 Median follow-up 72.6 months	As, HE, PB, Ja,	No available	MASLD (95% non- cirrhotic)	No data on NSBBs	Predictive value of baseline LSM (HR (95% CI) for LSM ≥ 4.69 (F4 fibrosis) vs <2.61kPa (F0 fibrosis)) Decompensation: 24.84 (4.51– 137.0) Death: 45.33 (6.17-333.0) Predictive value of change in LSM in follow up (>19% vs <19% change; (HR, 95%CI)): Decompensation: 12.08 (1.85 – 78.73)	Median interval between LSM 23.5 ± 0.5 months.

						Change in LSM not predictive of mortality.	
Shearer et al, 2023⁴³ (n=3028) LSM $\geq$ 10 kPa, FIB-4, ALBI	Retrospective using electronic health records at 2 centers Median follow up 3.1 years.	As, HE, PB, SBP	Data at baseline not available	ArLD - 11% NAFLD - 28% (43% missing data) All had cACLD	No data on NSBB use	Baseline LSM and 5 year decompensation risk (% (95%CI)) <15 kPa – 3.7 (2.7-5.1) 15-25 kPa – 8.6 (6.4-11.1) >25 – 19.0 (15.8-22.4) Selecting only those with FIB-4 > 1.3 increased decompensation rates with maximum at 5 years with LSM > 25 of 20.3% (16.9-23.9) Following associated with decompensation (HR (95% CI)):	7.1% patients developed varices prior to decompensation /HCC 74% of patients not known to have varices at time of decompensation. Patients with ArLD had highest risk of decompensation (19.2% at 5 years)

						LSM (per kPa increase) – 1.02 (1.01-1.03) FIB-4 (per unit increase) – 1.05 (1.02-1.07) ALBI score (per unit increase) – 2.4 (1.8-3.18)	
Wong et al, 2023 ⁴⁴ (n=1159) LSM Laboratory values	Retrospective, four centres. Median follow up 40 months	As, HE, PB	No varices – 60.7% Low risk varices – 33.8% High risk varices – 5.5%	HCV – 56.1% Hepatitis B – 21.3% ArLD – 9.1% MASLD – 102 (8.8) All patients had compensated disease (CSPH (LSM ≥ 25 kPa, 36.8%), grey zone (51.1%), CSPH excluded (LSM < 15 kPa, 12.1%))	Patients on NSBB excluded	Predictors of decompensation (sHR (95% CI)) CSPH (2.48 (1.35-4.55)) Non-viral etiology (3.25 (1.83-5.76)) INR > 1.1 (2.08 (1.14-6.25)) Albumin < 37 g/L (3.38 (1.83-6.25)) LSM ≥ 25 kPa 8.8 (2.9–26.7) Predictors of decompensation in grey zone (sHR (95% CI)) Non-viral etiology (11.34 (8.10–15.87)) INR (4.27 (1.33–13.70))	During follow up 7.2% developed decompensation (lower in grey zone (2.6%) vs CSPH (13.8%)) Non-viral etiology had highest rate of decompensation (up to 25.5% in CSPH group)

						Albumin (0.88 (0.85–0.91)) Bilirubin (1.02 (1.00–1.03))	
Song et al, 2023 ⁴⁵ (n= 1966) LSM ≥ 10 kPa	Retrospective single center Median follow-up 3.06 (IQR 1.03-6.00) years.	As, HE, PB	No available	Viral 62,6% (majority on HBV antivirals and almost all had HCV SVR) NAFLD 18.1% Alcohol 15.97% All had cACLD	No data on NSBB use.	Decompensation risk in grey zone (sHR (95% CI): ArLD (3.49 (1.65–7.37)) Low albumin - 0.41 (0.25–0.67) Lower platelet (0.99 (0.98-1.00)) Higher LSM (1.14 (1.07 – 1.22))	Decompensation risk CSPH– 22% at 3 y (27% in NAFLD, 37% in ArLD) Grey zone high probability– 12% (17% in NAFLD, 31% in ArLD) Grey zone low probability) – 3.3% (0% in NAFLD, 10% in ArLD) CSPH excluded– 1.4% (2.2% in MASLD, 7.4% in ArLD) CSPH had a higher proportion of ArLD.

Lin et al, 2023⁴⁶ (n=2763) with cACLD (Baveno VII criteria: low risk (35.6%), grey zone (44.9%), high risk (19.4%). LSM, ALBI, SSM (n=179)	Prospective 3 centers Median follow up 7.2 years	As, HE, PB and/or cirrhotic-related mortality	Data at baseline not available	ARLD – 16.2% MASLD – 31.16% HCV – 6.36% HBV – 42.6% 35.6% on antiviral therapy	No data on NSBB use	Predictors of decompensation in grey zone (SHR (95% CI): ArLD – 2.05 (1.05 – 3.99) ALBI – 1.8 (1.06 – 3.04) ALP (1.6 (1.09-2.32)	5 year incidence of decompensation was 0.3%, 4.2%, 11.4% in low-risk, grey zone, and high risk, respectively Combination of Baveno VII and spleen stiffness reduced classified in grey zone (12.8%)
Wong et al, 2024⁴⁷ (n=480) with cACLD LSM and delta LSM	Retrospective 5 centers Median follow up 68 months	As, HE, PB	Not available	Viral hepatitis (52.7%) MASLD (33.8%) ArLD (4.6%) Etiological control (63.5%)	NSBB use in 7.7%	Resolved cACLD (LSM<10kPa, 32%) vs persistent cACLD: Liver related events (2.6% vs 7.4%, p=0.038) Death (1.3% vs 4.9%, p=0.069) No added predictive value of prior LSM to current LSM	Over median follow-up 34 months – 5.8% developed liver-related events. Liver-related death in 1.5%. Risk of liver-related events related to baseline LSM.

Footnotes:

As – ascites; ArLD – alcohol related liver disease; HVPG – hepatic venous pressure gradient; RCT – randomized controlled trial; H – hepatitis C virus; NSBB – non-selective beta-blocker; HCC – hepatocellular carcinoma; APC – abdominal porto-systemic collaterals; VBL – variceal band ligation; HE – hepatic encephalopathy; PB – portal hypertensive bleeding; Ja – jaundice; SBP – spontaneous bacterial peritonitis; MASLD – metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease; MELD – model for end-stage liver disease; BMI – body mass index; SVR – sustained virological response; ACLD – advanced chronic liver disease; cCLD – compensated advanced chronic liver disease; CSPH – clinically significant portal hypertension; AUROC -area under the receiver operating characteristic; APRI - AST to Platelet Ratio Index; LSM – liver stiffness measurement; SSM - splenic stiffness measurement; FIB-4 – fibrosis 4; ELF – enhanced liver fibrosis; CPS – Child-Pugh score; LOXL2 - Lysyl Oxidase Like 2; IL-6 – interleukin 6; CRP – C-reactive protein; FVIII/PC ratio – factor VIII: protein C ratio; VITRO – von Willebrand Factor antigen to platelet ratio; ALBI -albumin bilirubin; MRE – Magnetic resonance elastography; UDCA – ursodeoxycholic acid; PBC – primary biliary cholangitis; PSDR - Platelet:spleen diameter ratio; IV – intravenous; SHR - subdistribution hazard ratios; HRS – hepatorenal syndrome; tAUC (time-dependent area under the curve); IQR – interquartile range.

* - nadolol withdrawn in 9 patients due to intolerance and offered VBL.

** - Prognostic Index (PI) = $4 + (0.11 \times \text{HVPG} - 0.8 \times \text{albumin})$

*** - Formula: $\exp(-11.5 + 0.107 \times \text{SS} + 0.45 \times \text{MELD}) / [1 + \exp(-11.5 + 0.107 \times \text{SS} + 0.45 \times \text{MELD})]$

**** = * - formula available online: <https://jscalc.io/calc/gdEJj89Wz5PirkSL>

ABIDE ((Aspartate aminotransferase/alanine, aminotransferase ratio, bilirubin, International normalized ratio, type 2 Diabetes, and esophageal varices)

EPOD score - <https://www.ukaachen.de/typo3conf/ext/wsttheme/Resources/Public/Microsites/epod/>

Supplementary Table 2: Studies comparing active therapy vs a control group, to prevent the development of decompensation in patients with cACLD

STUDY	AGENT	DESIGN OF THE STUDY	STAGE OF CLD	ETIOLOGY	Nº OF PATIENTS	FOLLOW-UP	PRIMARY OUTCOME	MAIN RESULTS
Groszmann et al. ¹	NSBBs Timolol	RCT Double-blind Placebo-controlled	cACLD with HVPG $\geq$ 6 mmHg & No varices (HVPG <10 mmHg in 37%)	ArLD 24% HCV 63% Cryptogenic 5%	N= 213 Timolol, 108 Placebo, 105	54.9 (0-99) Months	Development of varices or variceal hemorrhage	Primary outcome occurred in 39% with Timolol vs 40% with placebo. Higher incidence of adverse events with timolol. Decompensation occurred in 14% vs 15%, with Timolol vs placebo and death in 9% vs 14% (p NS in any case)
Villanueva et al. ¹⁷	NSBBs - Propranolol in acute HDK responders - Carvedilol in non-responders	RCT Double-blind Placebo-controlled	cACLD with HVPG $\geq$ 10 mmHg & No high-risk varices (small or no varices)	ArLD 16% HCV 56% ArLD & HCV 8% NASH 6%	N= 201 NSBBs, 100 Placebo, 101	37 (26-47) Months	Decompensation or death	Decompensation in 12% vs 24%, Death in 8% vs 11%, with NSBBs vs placebo NSBBs decreased the risk of decompensation or death (HR 0.51, 95%CI 0.26-0.97) mainly by decreasing ascites risk (HR 0.42, 95%CI 0.19-0.92)
Rowe et al. ⁴⁸	NSBBs (Propranolol or Carvedilol)	Bayesian reanalysis of PREDESCI trial	PREDESCI cohort	PREDESCI cohort	250 simulated trial of 2000 participants each	PREDESCI cohort	Decompensation or death	>90% probability that NSBBs reduce all-cause decompensation, with likely substantial gain in decompensation-free life years
Serper et al. ⁴⁹	Carvedilol	Retrospective, population based, cohort study, ACNU design (carvedilol cohort vs matched cohort with SBBs)	Cirrhosis, Child-Pugh class A	ArLD 27% HCV 23% ArLD & HCV 32% NAFLD 15%	Carvedilol, 123 SBBs, 561	3 years	Decompensation Decompensation or liver-related mortality	Decompensation in 9% vs 17%, Death in 22% vs 24%, with carvedilol vs SBBs Carvedilol decreased the risk of decompensation (HR 0.59, 95%CI 0.42-0.83) and the risk of decompensation or liver-related death (HR 0.56, 95%CI 0.41-0.76)

Villanueva et al. ⁵⁰	Carvedilol	IPD-MA of RCTs comparing carvedilol vs control group (receiving EVL or placebo according to presence or not of high-risk varices)	cACLD with varices (94%) or without varices (6%) but with HVPG ≥ 10 mmHg	ArLD 25% HCV 39%	N=352 Carvedilol, 181 Controls, 171 (of them 92 with placebo and 79 EVL)	13 to 36 months (range of FU in RCTs included)	Decompensation Death	Decompensation in 11% vs 20%, Death in 22% vs 24%, with carvedilol vs control Carvedilol decreased the risk of decompensation (HR 0.51, 95%CI 0.29-0.89) and the risk of death (HR 0.42, 95%CI 0.19-0.89) Decreased risk of ascites (HR 0.49, 95%CI 0.25-0.97)
Villanueva et al. ⁵¹	NSBBs (Propranolol, Nadolol, Nadolol plus IsMn or Carvedilol)	IPD-MA of RCTs comparing NSBBs vs EVL Stratified according to decompensation	cACLD with high-risk varices	ArLD 33% HCV 27% ArLD & HCV 9% NASH 2%	N= 656 NSBBs, 291 EVL, 245 NSBBs+EVL, 120	13 to 34 months (range of FU in RCTs included)	Death First bleeding	Death in 15% vs 19% vs 5%, with NSBBs vs EVL Higher risk of death with EVL vs NSBBs (HR 1.76, 95%CI 1.11-2.77) & similar risk with NSBBs vs NSBBs+EVL Similar risk of bleeding with NSBBs vs EVL (HR 1.05, 95%CI 0.75-1.49) Slightly higher risk of decompensation with EVL vs NSBBs (HR 1.59, 95%CI 0.86-2.93) Higher risk of ascites with EVL vs NSBBs (HR 2.66, 95%CI 1.36-5.19)
Yang et al. ⁵²	Statins	Retrospective, population based, cohort study, (statins cohort vs matched cohort)	Newly diagnosed HCV infection	HCV 100%	N= 226,856 Study cohort N= 84,213 Matched cohort	FU of at least 1 year, up to 13 years	Development of cirrhosis	Statins use was associated with a reduced risk of cirrhosis development in a dose-dependent manner
Chang et al. ⁵³	Statins	Retrospective, population based, cohort study, (statins cohort vs PS-matched cohort)	Cirrhosis mainly compensated, HCV, HBV or alcohol related	HVB 45% HCV 22% ArLD 33%	N= 1350 Statin use 675 Nonusers 675	5.5 $\pm$ 3.5 years	Decompensation HCC Death	Decompensation in 29% vs 14%, HCC in 10% vs 6%, death in 18% vs 9%, in nonuser vs statins user Overall, statins use decreased the risk of decompensation, HCC and death. Decompensation risk was significantly reduced in HVB and HCV related cirrhosis with borderline significance in ArLD.

Motzus-Feagans et al. ⁵⁴	Statins	Retrospective, population based, cohort study, (statins cohort vs PS-matched cohort)	Compensated cirrhosis	HCV 50% ArLD 32%	N= 19,379 Study cohort N= 4,526 Matched cohort	39 (12-103) months	Development of infections	Statins use decreased the risk of infections
Mohanty et al. ⁵⁵	Statins	Retrospective, population based, cohort study, (statins cohort vs PS-matched cohort)	Compensated cirrhosis	ArLD 54%	N= 45,350 Study cohort N= 2747 Matched cohort	2.5 years in users 1.5 years in nonusers	Decompensation Death	Statins use was associated with a reduced risk of decompensation, with significantly lower risk of ascites and of variceal bleeding. Statins use was associated with lower risk of death.
Kaplan et al. ⁵⁶	Statins	Retrospective, population based, cohort study, (statins cohort vs IPTW adjusted cohort)	Newly diagnosed cirrhosis	ArLD 35% HCV 14% ArLD & HCV 25% NAFLD/NASH 16%	N= 74,984 Study cohort N= 19341 Matched cohort	36-month approx..	Death Decompensation Major adverse cardiovascular events	Hypercholesterolemia was associated with well-preserved hepatic function and decreased mortality. Each cumulative year of statin exposure was associated with an independent 8%-8.7% decrease of mortality in Child-Pugh classes A&B. Statin therapy was associated with a decreased risk of decompensation in Child-Pugh class A.
Simon et al. ⁵⁷	Aspirin	Retrospective, population based, cohort study, (low-dose aspirin cohort vs IPTW adjusted cohort)	Chronic hepatitis B or C mono-infection (around 11% with cACLD, only 3% with decompensated cirrhosis)	HCV 74% HBV 26	N= 50,275 Aspirin use 14,205 Nonusers 36,070	7.9 years	HCC Liver-related death	Low-dose aspirin use was associated with significantly lower risk of HCC. Liver-related mortality was also significantly lower in aspirin users vs nonusers (11% vs 18%, HR 0.73 (95%CI 0.67-0.81))
Puente et al. ⁵⁸	Rivaroxaban	RCT Double-blind Placebo-controlled	Cirrhosis with PHT and Child-Pugh 7-10 Mainly compensated (73% Child-Pugh A)	ArLD 87%	N= 90 Rivaroxaban, 41 Placebo, 49	10.1	Decompensation, death or OLT	Rivaroxaban decreased the risk of primary outcome, particularly in Child-Pugh class B (HR29, 95%CI 0.09-0.87), with significant reduction in ascites risk. Bleeding events were more frequent with rivaroxaban.

LEGEND: The table shows the characteristics and main results of relevant studies assessing the efficacy of different therapies to prevent decompensation in patients with cACLD. The studies included investigated the efficacy of active therapy (with NSBBs, Carvedilol, Statins or Rivaroxaban) compared to a control group without active therapy.

ACNU: active comparator new user, ArLD: alcohol related liver disease, cACLD: compensated advanced chronic liver disease, EVL: endoscopic variceal ligation, FU: follow-up, HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma, HCV: hepatitis C virus, IPD-MA: individual participant data meta-analysis, IPTW: inverse probability of treatment weighting, IsMn: isosorbide-mononitrate, NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, NSBBs: non-selective β -blockers, OLT: orthotopic liver transplantation, PS: propensity score, RCTs: randomized controlled trials, SBBs: selective β -blockers.

References

- 1 Groszmann, R. J. *et al.* Beta-blockers to prevent gastroesophageal varices in patients with cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med* **353**, 2254-2261, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa044456 (2005).
- 2 Hernandez-Gea, V. *et al.* Development of ascites in compensated cirrhosis with severe portal hypertension treated with beta-blockers. *Am J Gastroenterol* **107**, 418-427, doi:10.1038/ajg.2011.456 (2012).
- 3 Rincon, D. *et al.* Prognostic value of hepatic venous pressure gradient in patients with compensated chronic hepatitis C-related cirrhosis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* **48**, 487-495, doi:10.3109/00365521.2012.711848 (2013).
- 4 Rincon, D. *et al.* Prognostic value of hepatic venous pressure gradient in patients with compensated chronic hepatitis C-related cirrhosis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* **48**, 487-495, doi:10.3109/00365521.2012.711848 (2013).
- 4 Lens, S. *et al.* Association Between Severe Portal Hypertension and Risk of Liver Decompensation in Patients With Hepatitis C, Regardless of Response to Antiviral Therapy. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **13**, 1846-1853.e1841, doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2015.04.013 (2015).
- 5 Reiberger, T. *et al.* Carvedilol for primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding in cirrhotic patients with haemodynamic non-response to propranolol. *Gut* **62**, 1634-1641, doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-304038 (2013).
- 6 Ripoll, C. *et al.* Hepatic venous pressure gradient predicts clinical decompensation in patients with compensated cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* **133**, 481-488.
- 7 Berzigotti, A. *et al.* Prognostic value of a single HVPG measurement and Doppler-ultrasound evaluation in patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension. *J Gastroenterol* **46**, 687-695, doi:10.1007/s00535-010-0360-z (2011).
- 8 Berzigotti, A. *et al.* Obesity is an independent risk factor for clinical decompensation in patients with cirrhosis. *Hepatology* **54**, 555-561, doi:10.1002/hep.24418 (2011).
- 9 Robic, M. A. *et al.* Liver stiffness accurately predicts portal hypertension related complications in patients with chronic liver disease: a prospective study. *J Hepatol* **55**, 1017-1024, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2011.01.051 (2011).
- 10 Colecchia, A. *et al.* Spleen stiffness measurement can predict clinical complications in compensated HCV-related cirrhosis: a prospective study. *J Hepatol* **60**, 1158-1164, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2014.02.024 (2014).
- 11 Perez-Latorre, L. *et al.* Prediction of liver complications in patients with hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis with and without HIV coinfection: comparison of hepatic venous pressure gradient and transient elastography. *Clin Infect Dis* **58**, 713-718, doi:10.1093/cid/cit768 (2014).
- 12 Sebastiani, G. *et al.* Prognostic Value of Non-Invasive Fibrosis and Steatosis Tools, Hepatic Venous Pressure Gradient (HVPG) and Histology in Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *PLoS One* **10**, e0128774, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128774 (2015).
- 13 Kitson, M. T. *et al.* Liver stiffness and the prediction of clinically significant portal hypertension and portal hypertensive complications. *Scand J Gastroenterol* **50**, 462-469, doi:10.3109/00365521.2014.964758 (2015).
- 14 Harrison, S. A. *et al.* Simtuzumab Is Ineffective for Patients With Bridging Fibrosis or Compensated Cirrhosis Caused by Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology* **155**, 1140-1153, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.07.006 (2018).

- 15 Sanyal, A. J. *et al.* The Natural History of Advanced Fibrosis Due to Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: Data From the Simtuzumab Trials. *Hepatology* **70**, 1913-1927, doi:10.1002/hep.30664 (2019).
- 16 Bosch, J. *et al.* A Machine Learning Approach to Liver Histological Evaluation Predicts Clinically Significant Portal Hypertension in NASH Cirrhosis. *Hepatology* **74**, 3146-3160, doi:10.1002/hep.32087 (2021).
- 17 Villanueva, C. *et al.* beta blockers to prevent decompensation of cirrhosis in patients with clinically significant portal hypertension (PREDESCI): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. *Lancet* **393**, 1597-1608, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(18)31875-0 (2019).
- 18 Villanueva, C. *et al.* Bacterial infections adversely influence the risk of decompensation and survival in compensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol*, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2021.04.022 (2021).
- 19 Nicoară-Farcău, O. *et al.* Metabolomics as a tool to predict the risk of decompensation or liver-related death in patients with compensated cirrhosis. *Hepatology* **77**, 2052-2062, doi:10.1097/hep.0000000000000316 (2023).
- 20 Jindal, A., Bhardwaj, A., Kumar, G. & Sarin, S. K. Clinical Decompensation and Outcomes in Patients With Compensated Cirrhosis and a Hepatic Venous Pressure Gradient ≥ 20 mm Hg. *Am J Gastroenterol* **115**, 1624-1633, doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000000653 (2020).
- 21 Mandorfer, M. *et al.* Changes in Hepatic Venous Pressure Gradient Predict Hepatic Decompensation in Patients Who Achieved Sustained Virologic Response to Interferon-Free Therapy. *Hepatology* **71**, 1023-1036, doi:10.1002/hep.30885 (2020).
- 22 Costa, D. *et al.* Systemic inflammation increases across distinct stages of advanced chronic liver disease and correlates with decompensation and mortality. *J Hepatol* **74**, 819-828, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2020.10.004 (2021).
- 23 Scheiner, B. *et al.* Factor VIII/protein C ratio independently predicts liver-related events but does not indicate a hypercoagulable state in ACLD. *J Hepatol* **76**, 1090-1099, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2021.12.038 (2022).
- 24 Jindal, A., Sarin, S. K., Kumar, M. & Kumar, G. Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Advanced Chronic Liver Disease and Hepatic Venous Pressure Gradient ≤ 10 mm Hg. *Dig Dis Sci* **67**, 5280-5289, doi:10.1007/s10620-021-07334-2 (2022).
- 25 Jachs, M. *et al.* Prognostic performance of non-invasive tests for portal hypertension is comparable to that of hepatic venous pressure gradient. *J Hepatol*, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2023.12.028 (2024).
- 26 Merchante, N. *et al.* Liver stiffness predicts clinical outcome in human immunodeficiency virus/hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients with compensated liver cirrhosis. *Hepatology* **56**, 228-238, doi:10.1002/hep.25616 (2012).
- 27 Merchante, N. *et al.* Progression of liver stiffness predicts clinical events in HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with compensated cirrhosis. *BMC Infect Dis* **15**, 557, doi:10.1186/s12879-015-1291-3 (2015).
- 28 Guha, I. N. *et al.* Validation of a Model for Identification of Patients With Compensated Cirrhosis at High Risk of Decompensation. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **17**, 2330-2338.e2331, doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2019.01.042 (2019).
- 29 Eaton, J. E. *et al.* Changes in Liver Stiffness, Measured by Magnetic Resonance Elastography, Associated With Hepatic Decompensation in Patients With Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **18**, 1576-1583.e1571, doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2019.10.041 (2020).
- 30 Han, M. A. T. *et al.* MR elastography-based liver fibrosis correlates with liver events in nonalcoholic fatty liver patients: A multicenter study. *Liver Int* **40**, 2242-2251, doi:10.1111/liv.14593 (2020).

- 31 Calzadilla-Bertot, L. *et al.* ABIDE: An Accurate Predictive Model of Liver Decompensation in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver-Related Cirrhosis. *Hepatology*, doi:10.1002/hep.31576 (2020).
- 32 Gidener, T. *et al.* Liver Stiffness by Magnetic Resonance Elastography Predicts Future Cirrhosis, Decompensation, and Death in NAFLD. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*, doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2020.09.044 (2020).
- 33 Osman, K. T. *et al.* Liver Stiffness Measured by Either Magnetic Resonance or Transient Elastography Is Associated With Liver Fibrosis and Is an Independent Predictor of Outcomes Among Patients With Primary Biliary Cholangitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* **55**, 449-457, doi:10.1097/MCG.0000000000001433 (2021).
- 34 Younes, R. *et al.* Long-term outcomes and predictive ability of non-invasive scoring systems in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol*, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2021.05.008 (2021).
- 35 Petta, S. *et al.* Monitoring Occurrence of Liver-Related Events and Survival by Transient Elastography in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Compensated Advanced Chronic Liver Disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **19**, 806-815.e805, doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2020.06.045 (2021).
- 36 Semmler, G. *et al.* Dynamics in Liver Stiffness Measurements Predict Outcomes in Advanced Chronic Liver Disease. *Gastroenterology* **165**, 1041-1052, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2023.06.030 (2023).
- 37 Schneider, A. R. P. *et al.* Early prediction of decompensation (EPOD) score: Non-invasive determination of cirrhosis decompensation risk. *Liver Int* **42**, 640-650, doi:10.1111/liv.15161 (2022).
- 38 Dajti, E. *et al.* A Combined Baveno VII and Spleen Stiffness Algorithm to Improve the Noninvasive Diagnosis of Clinically Significant Portal Hypertension in Patients With Compensated Advanced Chronic Liver Disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* **117**, 1825-1833, doi:10.14309/ajg.0000000000001887 (2022).
- 39 Asesio, N. *et al.* Baveno VI criteria as a prognostic factor for clinical complications in patients with compensated cirrhosis. *Dig Liver Dis* **54**, 645-653, doi:10.1016/j.dld.2021.09.004 (2022).
- 40 Fernández-Alvarez, P. *et al.* Dynamic Changes in Non-Invasive Markers of Liver Fibrosis Are Predictors of Liver Events after SVR in HCV Patients. *Viruses* **15**, doi:10.3390/v15061251 (2023).
- 41 Thorhauge, K. H. *et al.* Using liver stiffness to predict and monitor the risk of decompensation and mortality in patients with alcohol-related liver disease. *J Hepatol*, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2024.02.019 (2024).
- 42 Kobayashi, T. *et al.* Prediction of outcomes in patients with metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease based on initial measurements and subsequent changes in magnetic resonance elastography. *J Gastroenterol* **59**, 56-65, doi:10.1007/s00535-023-02049-9 (2024).
- 43 Shearer, J. E., Jones, R., Parker, R., Ferguson, J. & Rowe, I. A. The Natural History of Advanced Chronic Liver Disease Defined by Transient Elastography. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **21**, 694-703.e698, doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2022.03.015 (2023).
- 44 Wong, Y. J. *et al.* Baveno-VII criteria to predict decompensation and initiate non-selective beta-blocker in compensated advanced chronic liver disease patients. *Clin Mol Hepatol* **29**, 135-145, doi:10.3350/cmh.2022.0181 (2023).
- 45 Song, B. G. *et al.* Validation of non-invasive diagnosis of CSPH in patients with compensated advanced chronic liver disease according to Baveno VII. *Liver Int* **43**, 1966-1974, doi:10.1111/liv.15632 (2023).

- 46 Lin, H. *et al.* Risk and predictors of hepatic decompensation in grey zone patients by the Baveno VII criteria: A competing risk analysis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **58**, 920-928, doi:10.1111/apt.17699 (2023).
- 47 Wong, Y. J. *et al.* Comparing serial and current liver stiffness measurements to predict decompensation in compensated advanced chronic liver disease patients. *Hepatology*, doi:10.1097/HEP.0000000000000891 (2024).
- 48 Rowe, I. A. *et al.* Quantifying the benefit of nonselective beta-blockers in the prevention of hepatic decompensation: A Bayesian reanalysis of the PREDESCI trial. *Hepatology* **78**, 530-539, doi:10.1097/HEP.0000000000000342 (2023).
- 49 Serper, M. *et al.* Nonselective beta blockers, hepatic decompensation, and mortality in cirrhosis: A national cohort study. *Hepatology* **77**, 489-500, doi:10.1002/hep.32737 (2023).
- 50 Villanueva, C. *et al.* Carvedilol reduces the risk of decompensation and mortality in patients with compensated cirrhosis in a competing-risk meta-analysis. *J Hepatol* **77**, 1014-1025, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2022.05.021 (2022).
- 51 Villanueva, C. *et al.* Improving primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding by adapting therapy to the clinical stage of cirrhosis. A competing-risk meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **59**, 306-321, doi:10.1111/apt.17824 (2024).
- 52 Yang, Y. H. *et al.* Statin use and the risk of cirrhosis development in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. *J Hepatol* **63**, 1111-1117, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2015.07.006 (2015).
- 53 Chang, F. M. *et al.* Statins decrease the risk of decompensation in hepatitis B virus- and hepatitis C virus-related cirrhosis: A population-based study. *Hepatology* **66**, 896-907, doi:10.1002/hep.29172 (2017).
- 54 Motzkus-Feagans, C., Pakyz, A. L., Ratliff, S. M., Bajaj, J. S. & Lapane, K. L. Statin use and infections in Veterans with cirrhosis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **38**, 611-618, doi:10.1111/apt.12430 (2013).
- 55 Mohanty, A., Tate, J. P. & Garcia-Tsao, G. Statins Are Associated With a Decreased Risk of Decompensation and Death in Veterans With Hepatitis C-Related Compensated Cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* **150**, 430-440 e431, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2015.10.007 (2016).
- 56 Kaplan, D. E. *et al.* Effects of Hypercholesterolemia and Statin Exposure on Survival in a Large National Cohort of Patients With Cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* **156**, 1693-1706 e1612, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.01.026 (2019).
- 57 Simon, T. G. *et al.* Association of Aspirin with Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Liver-Related Mortality. *N Engl J Med* **382**, 1018-1028, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1912035 (2020).
- 58 Sanchez, A. P. *et al.* Rivaroxaban improves survival and decompensation in cirrhotic patients with moderate liver dysfunction. Double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Hepatology* (2023).