

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

Please do not complete any field with "not applicable" or n/a. Refer to the help text for what text to use if an item is not relevant to your study.

For final submission: please carefully check your responses for accuracy; you will not be able to make changes later.

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

- | n/a | Confirmed |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| ☐ | ☒ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| ☐ | ☒ A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| ☒ | ☐ The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
<i>Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.</i> |
| ☐ | ☒ A description of all covariates tested |
| ☒ | ☐ A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| ☐ | ☒ A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| ☒ | ☐ For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
<i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i> |
| ☐ | ☒ For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| ☒ | ☐ For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| ☒ | ☐ Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated |

Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection No software was used to collect the data.

Data analysis We performed all diversification analyses with the fossil record using the PyRate 3.0 program. PyRate is a Bayesian modelling platform to estimate origination, extinction, and preservation rates from fossil occurrence data. The output processing was done with the R environment (R version 4.3.0.). We provided the command lines to run all the diversification models that we have performed with the fossil data sets in the Figshare digital data repository (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25395871.v2>).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our [policy](#)

All fossil data sets to repeat the analyses described here are available in the Figshare digital data repository (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25395871.v2>).

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with [human participants or human data](#). See also policy information about [sex, gender \(identity/presentation\), and sexual orientation](#) and [race, ethnicity and racism](#).

Reporting on sex and gender N/A

Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings N/A

Population characteristics N/A

Recruitment N/A

Ethics oversight N/A

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size We studied Cenozoic diversification using ~220 samples and ~48,000 specimens from 870 species. We used sedimentary rock samples for ostracod analysis. We tried to obtain >200 specimens per sample as far as possible, which is a standard procedure in micropaleontology.

Data exclusions No data were excluded from our study.

Replication We replicated the analyses over ten randomized data sets (randomizing occurrence age for each taxon) and estimated the times of speciation (denoted Ts) and times of extinction (denoted Te) as the mean of the posterior samples from each replicate and for each taxon.

Randomization We did not perform an experiment with group allocation. We replicated the analyses on ten randomized data sets and estimated the times of speciation and times of extinction as the mean of the posterior samples from each replicate. There was no further group partitioning of data beyond the natural groupings (allocated to ostracod genera and species).

Blinding Blinding was not relevant to this study, since no human subjects or experiments were involved.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a Involved in the study

☒ ☐ Antibodies

☒ ☐ Eukaryotic cell lines

☐ ☒ Palaeontology and archaeology

☒ ☐ Animals and other organisms

☒ ☐ Clinical data

☒ ☐ Dual use research of concern

☒ ☐ Plants

Methods

n/a Involved in the study

☒ ☐ ChIP-seq

☒ ☐ Flow cytometry

☒ ☐ MRI-based neuroimaging

Palaeontology and Archaeology

Specimen provenance	From Indonesia and Philippines with local collaborators as in the author list.
Specimen deposition	Specimens are deposited at the University of Hong Kong.
Dating methods	Biostratigraphy
☒ Tick this box to confirm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.	
Ethics oversight	None. Microfossil has no ethics or commercial relevance

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.