

Solar Cells Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form is intended for publication with all accepted papers reporting the characterization of photovoltaic devices and provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. Some list items might not apply to an individual manuscript, but all fields must be completed for clarity.

For further information on Nature Research policies, including our [data availability policy](#), see [Authors & Referees](#).

► Experimental design

Please check the following details are reported in the manuscript, and provide a brief description or explanation where applicable.

1. Dimensions

Area of the tested solar cells	☒ Yes ☐ No	Small-area cells had an active area of 0.04 cm ² . Perovskite solar modules had an active area of 65 cm ² . Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
Method used to determine the device area	☒ Yes ☐ No	Small-cell areas were defined by black metal aperture masks. Module active areas were defined by the laser-scribed module layout. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.

2. Current-voltage characterization

Current density-voltage (J-V) plots in both forward and backward direction	☒ Yes ☐ No	Forward and reverse J-V scans were provided over voltage windows extending from -0.1 V to slightly above the Voc of each device group and back to -0.1V.
Voltage scan conditions	☒ Yes ☐ No	Scan rate:0.2V/s Step size:0.02V Delay:50 ms Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
Test environment	☒ Yes ☐ No	J-V measurements were performed in a nitrogen-filled glovebox under simulated AM 1.5G illumination. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
Protocol for preconditioning of the device before its characterization	☐ Yes ☒ No	Provide a description of the protocol. No preconditioning was used in this work.
Stability of the J-V characteristic	☒ Yes ☐ No	Device stability was evaluated under dark-storage, continuous MPP-tracking and open-circuit ageing conditions. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.

3. Hysteresis or any other unusual behaviour

Description of the unusual behaviour observed during the characterization	☒ Yes ☐ No	Minor hysteresis was observed for devices herein. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
Related experimental data	☒ Yes ☐ No	J-V curves under reverse and forward scans were provided. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.

4. Efficiency

External quantum efficiency (EQE) or incident photons to current efficiency (IPCE)	☒ Yes ☐ No	EQE curves were provided. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
A comparison between the integrated response under the standard reference spectrum and the response measure under the simulator	☒ Yes ☐ No	The integrated Jsc values from EQE were consistent with the Jsc values from J-V measurements. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.

For tandem solar cells, the bias illumination and bias voltage used for each subcell	☐ Yes ☒ No	Provide a description of the measurement conditions. Not applicable; single -junction inverted perovskite architecture.
5. Calibration		
Light source and reference cell or sensor used for the characterization	☒ Yes ☐ No	Using a Keithley 2400 sourcemeter under 1 sun irradiation from an AM 1.5G solar simulator (SS-F5, Enlitech). The illumination intensity was calibrated to 100 mW/cm ² using a certified Si reference cell. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
Confirmation that the reference cell was calibrated and certified	☒ Yes ☐ No	The Si reference cell used to calibrate the solar simulator was calibrated and certified. It was used to set the AM 1.5G illumination intensity to 100 mW/cm ² before J–V measurements. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
Calculation of spectral mismatch between the reference cell and the devices under test	☐ Yes ☒ No	Provide a value of the spectral mismatch and/or a description of how it has been taken into account in the measurements. Spectral mismatch was not explicitly calculated. The solar simulator was calibrated using a certified Si reference cell, and EQE-integrated J _{sc} values were compared with J–V-derived J _{sc} values to verify consistency.
6. Mask/aperture		
Size of the mask/aperture used during testing	☒ Yes ☐ No	A black metal aperture mask of 0.04 cm ² was used for small-area cells. The module active area was 65 cm ² . Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
Variation of the measured short-circuit current density with the mask/aperture area	☐ Yes ☒ No	Report the difference in the short-circuit current density values measured with the mask and aperture area. Not measured. All small-area devices were measured using the same 0.04 cm ² black metal aperture mask to avoid area-dependent variation.
7. Performance certification		
Identity of the independent certification laboratory that confirmed the photovoltaic performance	☒ Yes ☐ No	The champion small-area device was certified by the South China National Center of Metrology, accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2017. TÜVNORD certification report for a 65 cm ² PSC module with electrodeposited SAMs, tested in accordance with IEC 61215-2:2021. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
A copy of any certificate(s)	☒ Yes ☐ No	Supplementary Figures 45 and 59. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
8. Statistics		
Number of solar cells tested	☒ Yes ☐ No	For J–V performance statistics, 10 cells were tested for each device group. For stability measurements, 5 cells were tested for each condition unless otherwise stated. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
Statistical analysis of the device performance	☒ Yes ☐ No	Device performance statistics are shown as distributions for 10 cells per group. Stability data shown as distributions for 5 cells per group. Stability data are reported as mean ± s.d. from five devices per condition. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.
9. Long-term stability analysis		
Type of analysis, bias conditions and environmental conditions	☒ Yes ☐ No	Long-term stability was evaluated under three conditions. Dark-storage ageing was performed at 85 °C and 50% relative humidity with light off. Operational stability was measured by continuous MPP tracking at 65 °C under one-sun-equivalent illumination in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Accelerated open-circuit ageing was also performed at 65 °C under one-sun-equivalent illumination. Device temperature was monitored using a thermocouple attached to a dummy device placed adjacent to the tested cells. Explain why this information is not reported/not relevant.

