


In the format provided by the authors and unedited.

Undulating changes in human plasma proteome profiles across the lifespan

Benoit Lehallier^{1,2,3*}, **David Gate**^{1,2,3,4}, **Nicholas Schaum**⁵, **Tibor Nanasi**^{1,2,3,6}, **Song Eun Lee**^{1,2,3,4}, **Hanadie Yousef**^{1,2,3,4}, **Patricia Moran Losada**^{1,2,3}, **Daniela Berdnik**^{1,2,3,4}, **Andreas Keller**⁷, **Joe Verghese**^{8,9}, **Sanish Sathyan**^{8,9}, **Claudio Franceschi**^{10,11}, **Sofiya Milman**^{8,12}, **Nir Barzilai**^{8,12} and **Tony Wyss-Coray**^{1,2,3,4*}

¹Department of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ²Wu Tsai Neurosciences Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ³Paul F. Glenn Center for the Biology of Aging, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁴Department of Veterans Affairs, VA Palo Alto Health Care System, Palo Alto, CA, USA. ⁵Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁶Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience and Psychology, Hungarian Academy of Sciences Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Budapest, Hungary. ⁷Clinical Bioinformatics, Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany. ⁸Institute for Aging Research, Department of Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA. ⁹Department of Neurology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA. ¹⁰Department of Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ¹¹Department of Applied Mathematics, National Research Lobachevsky State University of Nizhny Novgorod, Nizhny Novgorod, Russia. ¹²Department of Genetics, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA. *e-mail: lehallib@stanford.edu; twc@stanford.edu




Supplementary Figure 1. DE-SWAN age effect when stratifying the analysis per cohort and accounting for subcohort in the modeling

(a) DE-SWAN results when stratifying the analysis per cohort ($n=3,301$ for Interval cohort and 962 for LonGenity). The 3 local peaks identified at age 34, 60 and 78y are indicated by colored vertical lines.


(b) Comparison of DE-SWAN results when adjusting for subcohort or not ($n=4,263$).

(c-e) Age effect at 34, 60 and 78y when adjusting for subcohort or not ($n=4,263$).




Supplementary Figure 2. Age related changes of BMI.

Changes during aging are estimated using LOESS curves, fitted for all subjects and for male and female separately. Data from the R NANHES package (Pruim, R. NHANES: Data from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Study. 2015)



Supplementary Figure 3. Normalization examples.

Representative examples of the normalization process in the INTERVAL and LonGenity cohorts. For each protein, the average of the subjects in the 60-70y range within each subcohort was used as a normalization factor. LOESS regression curves are fitted. Note that this normalization is needed when fitting aging trajectories (Fig. 2) but does not affect the results when “subcohort” is included as covariate in the statistical modeling



Supplementary Figure 4. Pathways covered by the 2,925 proteins measured in this study.

The 2,925 proteins measured in this study cover 90% of the human GO, Reactome and KEGG terms containing more than 8 genes. Coverage for pathways with 1+ to 100+ genes is represented.