

Quality of non-expert citizen science data collected for habitat type conservation status assessment in Natura 2000 protected areas.

Kallimanis A.S.^{1,2}, Panitsa M.^{2,3}, Dimopoulos P.^{2,3}

¹ Department of Ecology, Aristotle University, Thessaloniki, Greece

² Department of Environmental and Natural Resources Management, University of Patras, Agrinio, Greece.

³ Faculty of Biology, Division of Plant Biology, University of Patras, Patras, Greece

Supplementary material

Protocols for collecting field data towards the conservation status assessment of habitat types:

2120 "Shifting dunes along the shoreline with *Ammophila arenaria* (white dunes)"

2270 "Wooded dunes with *Pinus pinea* and/or *Pinus pinaster*"

5210 "Arborescent matorral with *Juniperus* spp."

9560 "Endemic forests with *Juniperus* spp."

2120 "Shifting dunes along the shoreline with *Ammophila arenaria* (white dunes)" – all clusters

Evaluator:				Site code:			
Plot code (ddmmyy#nbr):				Existing relev� nr:			
Date of assessment:							
coords		centre		LON:		bottom right	
				LAT:		LAT:	
Locality:							
Plot size (m ²) (sugg. = 16 m ²):				Area assessed (m ²) (sugg. 100 m ²):			
Exposition (�):				Altitude (m):			
Inclination (�):				Relief: cliff / slope / plain / depression/ravine			
Geological substratum:				Soil type: sandy - silt - loam			
picts	CENTRE	id:		azimuth:			
	PANOR	id:		azimuth:		LON:	
					LAT:		
Adjacent vegetation (habitat type(s):				Substratum with significant disturbances (e.g. erosion, trampling)			YES NO
Invasive/Ruderal species (incl. abundance):							
width of sand dunes:				distance from sea:			
Other:							

	Cover (%)					Layer	Cover %	Height (m)
	0-5	5-25	25-50	50-75	>75			
boulders (>20 cm)						Tree (>2m)		
stones (2-20 cm)						Shrub (0.5-2 m)		
gravel (2mm – 2cm)						Herb (<0.5m)		
fine soil								
litter								
moss								

Specific Structure and Functions					
☐	Sparse vegetation cover ≥ 20%	☐	Discrete spatial succession pattern	☐	Substrate with no significant disturbances
☐	Communities mainly consisted of rhizomatous geophytes and hemicryptophytes favouring sand dune formation by trapping blowing sand	☐	Vegetation of yellow, very permeable and humus poor mobile sand dunes	☐	Dunes usually more than 1 m high
☐	Dunes landwards of the <i>Agropyretum juncei</i> (2110)	☐		☐	

Prospects of Structure and Functions			
Future Trend			
☐ FV No P or T of high importance and up to 1 of medium importance or positive impacts balance higher number or importance of P or T	☐ U1 Up to 3 P or T of medium importance or positive impacts balance higher number or importance of P or T	☐ U2 At least 1 T or P of high importance and/or more than 3 P or T of medium importance without positive impacts being able to balance them	☐ XX Not able to assess P or T
Future status			
☐ FV Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV status in more than 75% of the studied locality	☐ U1 Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV stat in 50-75% of the studied locality and not more than 25% in U2 status	☐ U2 Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV status in less than 50% of the studied locality or more than 25% in U2 status	☐ XX: Not able to asses future conservation status in > 50% of the studied locality
Restoration possibility			
☐ easy	☐ possible with an average effort	☐ difficult or impossible	☐ unable to assess
Positive impacts (management actions, policy changes etc). Description and importance.			
Description			Importance

Notes:

2120 "Shifting dunes along the shoreline with *Ammophila arenaria* (white dunes)" – all clusters

[illegible][illegible]

2270 "Wooded dunes with *Pinus pinea* and/or *Pinus pinaster*" - all clusters

Evaluator:				Site code:			
Plot code (ddmmyy#nbr):				Existing relev� nr:			
Date of assessment:							
coords		centre		LON:		bottom right	LON:
				LAT:			LAT:
Locality:							
Plot size (m ²) (sugg. = 16 m ²):				Area assessed (m ²) (sugg.=100 m ²):			
Exposition (�):				Altitude (m):			
Inclination (�):				Relief: cliff / slope / plain / depression/ravine			
Geological substratum:				Soil type: sandy - silt - loam			
picts	CENTRE	id:		azimuth:			
	PANOR	id:		azimuth:		LON:	
						LAT:	
Adjacent vegetation (habitat) type(s):				Substratum with significant disturbances (e.g. erosion, trampling)		YES NO	
Invasive/Ruderal species (incl. abundance):							
width of sand dunes:				distance from sea:			
Other:							

	Cover (%)					Layer	Cover %	Height (m)
	0-5	5-25	25-50	50-75	>75			
boulders (>20 cm)						Tree (>2m)		
stones (2-20 cm)						Shrub (0.5-2 m)		
gravel (2mm – 2cm)						Herb (<0.5m)		
fine soil								
litter								
moss								

Ειδικ�ς Δομ�ς και Λειτουργ�ς					
☐	<i>Pinus pinea</i> tree density medium to high in pure stands	☐	<i>Pinus pinea</i> species natural regeneration and diversity of species age classes	☐	Substrate with no significant disturbances (e.g. trampling)
☐	Communities with no significant disturbances characterized by typical floristic structure	☐	Absence of gradual replacement of the <i>Pinus pinea</i> trees by <i>Pinus halepensis</i> in the middlestorey	☐	Absence of gradual replacement of the <i>Pinus pinea</i> trees by <i>Pinus halepensis</i> in the understorey
☐	Discrete <i>Pinus pinea</i> stands covering medium sized to extensive areas (500 m ² <x> ha)	☐	Stand stratified (tree, shrub, herb layers present)	☐	Natural <i>Pinus pinea</i> stands with more than one third of the individuals at reproductive age
☐		☐		☐	

Prospects of Structure and Functions			
Future Trend			
☐ FV No P or T of high importance and up to 1 of medium importance or positive impacts balance higher number or importance of P or T	☐ U1 Up to 3 P or T of medium importance or positive impacts balance higher number or importance of P or T	☐ U2 At least 1 T or P of high importance and/or more than 3 P or T of medium importance without positive impacts being able to balance them	☐ XX Not able to assess P or T
Future status			
☐ FV Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV status in more than 75% of the studied locality	☐ U1 Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV stat in 50-75% of the studied locality and not more than 25% in U2 status	☐ U2 Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV status in less than 50% of the studied locality or more than 25% in U2 status	☐ XX: Not able to asses future conservation status in > 50% of the studied locality
Restoration possibility			
☐ easy	☐ possible with an average effort	☐ difficult or impossible	☐ unable to assess
Positive impacts (management actions, policy changes etc). Description and importance.			
Description			Importance

Notes:

2270 "Wooded dunes with *Pinus pinea* and/or *Pinus pinaster*" - all clusters

[illegible]

Pressures (P) and Threats (T) for the habitat type			
Code	Description	P, T, T or PT	Importance

5210 "Arborescent matorral with *Juniperus* spp." – all clusters

Evaluator:				Site code:			
Plot code (ddmmyy#nbr):				Existing relevé nr:			
Date of assessment:							
coords	centre	LON:		bottom right	LON:		
		LAT:			LAT:		
Locality:							
Plot size (m²) (sugg. = 50 m²):				Area assessed (m²) (sugg. = 500 m²):			
Exposition (°):				Altitude (m):			
Inclination (°):				Relief: cliff / slope / plain / depression/ravine			
Geological substratum:				Soil type: sandy - silt - loam			
picts	CENTRE	id:		azimuth:			
	PANOR	id:	azimuth:	LON:			
				LAT:			
Adjacent vegetation (habitat) type(s):				Substratum with significant disturbances (e.g. erosion, trampling)		YES NO	
Invasive/Ruderal species (incl. abundance):							
Other:							

	Cover (%)					Layer	Cover %	Height (m)
	0-5	5-25	25-50	50-75	>75			
boulders (>20 cm)						Tree (>2m)		
stones (2-20 cm)						Shrub (0.5-2 m)		
gravel (2mm – 2cm)						Herb (<0.5m)		
fine soil								
litter								
moss								

Specific Structure and Functions					
☐	Upper storey dominated by high evergreen shrubs	☐	Understorey dominated by phrygana and/or therophytes	☐	Absence of illegal logging
☐	Presence/practice of normal (regular) grazing	☐	Absence of dense road network or infrastructures	☐	Absence of evidence(s) of primary or secondary succession
☐	Absence of indications of significant/important erosion (e.g. crevasse-like erosion)	☐	Rich in important plant species community	☐	Presence of juniper seedlings

Notes:

Prospects of Structure and Functions				
Future Trend				
☐ FV No P or T of high importance and up to 1 of medium importance or positive impacts balance higher number or importance of P or T	☐ U1 Up to 3 P or T of medium importance or positive impacts balance higher number or importance of P or T	☐ U2 At least 1 T or P of high importance and/or more than 3 P or T of medium importance without positive impacts being able to balance them	☐ XX Not able to assess P or T	
Future status				
☐ FV Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV status in more than 75% of the studied locality	☐ U1 Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV stat in 50-75% of the studied locality and not more than 25% in U2 status	☐ U2 Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV status in less than 50% of the studied locality or more than 25% in U2 status	☐ XX: Not able to assess future conservation status in > 50% of the studied locality	
Restoration possibility				
☐ easy	☐ possible with an average effort	☐ difficult or impossible	☐ unable to assess	
Positive impacts (management actions, policy changes etc). Description and importance.				
Description				Importance

5210 "Arborescent matorral with *Juniperus* spp." – all clusters

Typical species							
Species	Cover		Vit.	Species	Cover		Vit.
	plot	area			plot	area	
<i>Acinos alpinus</i>				<i>Allium subhirsutum</i>			
<i>Aetheorhiza bulbosa</i>				<i>Anagallis arvensis</i>			
<i>Arisarum vulgare</i>				<i>Asphodelus ramosus</i>			
<i>Asterolinon linum-stellatum</i>				<i>Astragalus creticus</i>			
<i>Asperula pulvinaris</i>				<i>Atractylis cancellata</i>			
<i>Avena barbata</i>				<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>			
<i>Brachypodium pinnatum</i>				<i>Brachypodium retusum</i>			
<i>Briza maxima</i>				<i>Bupleurum gaudianum</i>			
<i>Bupleurum flavum</i>				<i>Bupleurum gracile</i>			
<i>Calendula arvensis</i>				<i>Calicotome villosa</i>			
<i>Carex liparocarpos</i>				<i>Catapodium rigidum</i>			
<i>Centaurea laconica</i>				<i>Centaurea raphanina</i>			
<i>Cerastium candidissimum</i>				<i>Cirsium candelabrum</i>			
<i>Coridothymus capitatus</i>				<i>Crataegus pycnoloba</i>			
<i>Crucianella latifolia</i>				<i>Cynoglossum creticum</i>			
<i>Cynara cornigera</i>				<i>Daphne oleoides</i>			
<i>Eryngium amethystinum</i>				<i>Euphorbia acanthothamnus</i>			
<i>Euphorbia exigua</i>				<i>Euphorbia myrsinites</i>			
<i>Fumana arabica</i>				<i>Fumana procumbens</i>			
<i>Gladiolus illyricus</i>				<i>Globularia bisnagarica</i>			
<i>Helianthemum salicifolium</i>				<i>Hippocrepis unisiliquosa</i>			
<i>Hymenonema graecum</i>				<i>Hypochaeris achyrophorus</i>			
<i>Juniperus oxycedrus</i>				<i>Lagoecia cuminoides</i>			
<i>Leontodon tuberosus</i>				<i>Limonium antipaxorum</i>			
<i>Linum strictum</i>				<i>Linum trigynum</i>			
<i>Malcolmia maritima</i>				<i>Melica ciliata</i>			
<i>Micromeria graeca</i>				<i>Micromeria nervosa</i>			
<i>Minuartia attica</i>				<i>Myrtus communis</i>			
<i>Nepeta camphorata</i>				<i>Nigella arvensis</i>			
<i>Olea europaea</i>				<i>Ononis reclinata</i>			
<i>Paronychia albanica</i>				<i>Paronychia macrosepala</i>			
<i>Paronychia rechingeri</i>				<i>Periploca angustifolia</i>			
<i>Phagnalon graecum</i>				<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>			
<i>Piptatherum miliaceum</i>				<i>Plantago bellardii</i>			
<i>Pisum sativum</i>				<i>Prasium majus</i>			
<i>Potentilla arcadiensis</i>				<i>Prunus cocomilia</i>			
<i>Ptilostemon afer</i>				<i>Rhamnus lycioides</i>			
<i>Rosa heckeliana</i>				<i>Rostraria cristata</i>			
<i>Selaginella denticulata</i>				<i>Sideritis raeseri</i>			
<i>Silene radicata</i>				<i>Smilax aspera</i>			
<i>Stipa capensis</i>				<i>Stipa capillata</i>			
<i>Stipa pennata</i>				<i>Teucrium brevifolium</i>			
<i>Teucrium capitatum</i>				<i>Thymus longicaulis</i>			
<i>Thymus rechingeri</i>				<i>Thymus sipyleus</i>			
<i>Trifolium campestre</i>				<i>Trigonella coerulescens</i>			
<i>Tuberaria guttata</i>				<i>Urginea maritima</i>			
<i>Valantia hispida</i>				<i>Valantia muralis</i>			
<i>Juniperus macrocarpa</i>							
<i>Juniperus phoenicea</i>							
<i>Juniperus excelsa</i>				<i>Juniperus foetidissima</i>			

Pressures (P) and Threats (T) for the habitat type			
Code	Description	P, T, T or PT	Importance

9560 "Endemic forests with *Juniperus spp.*" – all clusters

Evaluator:				Site code:	
Plot code (ddmmyy#nbr):				Existing relev� nr:	
Date of assessment:					
coords	centre	LON:	bottom right		LON:
		LAT:			LAT:
Locality:					
Plot size (m ²) (sugg. = 200 m ²):			Area assessed (m ²) (sugg. = 500 m ²):		
Exposition (�):			Altitude (m):		
Inclination (�):			Relief: cliff / slope / plain / depression/ravine		
Geological substratum:			Soil type: sandy - silt - loam		
picts	CENTRE	id:	azimuth:		
	PANOR	id:	azimuth:		LON:
					LAT:
Adjacent vegetation (habitat) type(s):				Substratum with significant disturbances (e.g. erosion, trampling)	YES NO
Invasive/Ruderal species (incl. abundance):					
Other:					

	Cover (%)					Layer	Cover %	Height (m)
	0-5	5-25	25-50	50-75	>75			
boulders (>20 cm)						Tree (>2m)		
stones (2-20 cm)						Shrub (0.5-2 m)		
gravel (2mm – 2cm)						Herb (<0.5m)		
fine soil								
litter								
moss								

Specific Structure and Functions					
☐	Cover of shrub and tree layers of <i>Juniperus</i> species higher than 30%	☐	Soil covered with litter for more than 20% of the plot area	☐	At least 30% of <i>Juniperus</i> species individuals with tree like form
☐	Adequate regeneration of <i>Juniperus</i> species both in the herb and in the shrub layers	☐	Absence or low cover (<5%) of ruderal and/or invasive species	☐	Stand without signs of significant disturbance (e.g. from logging, grazing, fires, natural causes such as windfalls)
☐	Diversity of <i>Juniperus</i> species age classes	☐	No signs of erosion or only sheet (surface) erosion (furrows with depth <30 cm) present in less than 20% of the area	☐	Absence of planted species (e.g. from reforestation)
☐	Cover of forest herb species (shade tolerant species) >25%	☐		☐	

Prospects of Structure and Functions			
Future Trend			
☐ FV No P or T of high importance and up to 1 of medium importance or positive impacts balance higher number or importance of P or T	☐ U1 Up to 3 P or T of medium importance or positive impacts balance higher number or importance of P or T	☐ U2 At least 1 T or P of high importance and/or more than 3 P or T of medium importance without positive impacts being able to balance them	☐ XX Not able to assess P or T
Future status			
☐ FV Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV status in more than 75% of the studied locality	☐ U1 Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV stat in 50-75% of the studied locality and not more than 25% in U2 status	☐ U2 Struct. & funct. are expected to be in FV status in less than 50% of the studied locality or more than 25% in U2 status	☐ XX: Not able to asses future conservation status in > 50% of the studied locality
Restoration possibility			
☐ easy	☐ possible with an average effort	☐ difficult or impossible	☐ unable to assess
Positive impacts (management actions, policy changes etc). Description and importance.			
Description			Importance

Notes:

[illegible][illegible]