

Supplementary Information for

Social cues can impact complex behavior unconsciously

Christoph Schütz^{1,*}, Iris Güldenpenning², Dirk Koester³, and Thomas Schack^{1,4,5}

¹Bielefeld University, Faculty of Psychology and Sports Science, Bielefeld, 33615, Germany

²Paderborn University, Faculty of Science, Paderborn, 33098, Germany

³BSP Business School Berlin, Faculty Business and Management, Berlin, 12247, Germany

⁴Bielefeld University, Cluster of Excellence Cognitive Interaction Technology (CITEC), Bielefeld, 33619, Germany

⁵Bielefeld University, Research Institute for Cognition and Robotics (CoR-Lab), Bielefeld, 33615, Germany

*christoph.schuetz@uni-bielefeld.de

Table 1. Mean values (95 % confidence intervals in brackets) of temporal and spatial dependent variables for whole-body movements (complex response) and button-press responses (simple response). Response latencies and response times (RTs) are given in ms, center of pressure (CoP-335) in mm. Note: *cc*: congruent pass/congruent gaze; *ci*: congruent pass/incongruent gaze; *ic*: incongruent pass/congruent gaze; *ii*: incongruent pass/incongruent gaze

Complex Response	Experiment 1a				Experiment 2a				Experiment 3a			
	cc	ci	ic	ii	cc	ci	ic	ii	cc	ci	ic	ii
<i>RT</i> :	653.5 (6.0)	688.6 (8.0)	723.9 (5.8)	762.5 (9.8)	704.9 (6.3)	716.6 (7.5)	770.1 (5.4)	782.3 (8.2)	693.7 (7.7)	695.1 (8.1)	782.0 (7.7)	771.0 (8.4)
<i>CoP-335</i> :	-67.2 (8.9)	-21.1 (8.7)	2.4 (6.8)	50.9 (10.7)	-45.0 (10.0)	-33.8 (8.7)	17.4 (8.3)	30.4 (10.6)	-47.4 (13.5)	-44.1 (12.4)	34.3 (13.1)	34.7 (12.8)
<i>Resp. Lat.</i> :	206.3 (22.5)	286.3 (21.0)	305.8 (36.9)	204.5 (22.4)	212.3 (6.6)	245.2 (8.3)	252.5 (6.8)	213.5 (9.2)	211.5 (8.7)	226.2 (8.0)	230.9 (8.3)	209.0 (10.8)
Simple Response	Experiment 1b				Experiment 2b				Experiment 3b			
	cc	ci	ic	ii	cc	ci	ic	ii	cc	ci	ic	ii
<i>RT</i> :	313.7 (4.3)	324.9 (3.7)	347.2 (4.2)	359.2 (3.7)	305.2 (5.4)	304.0 (3.1)	342.1 (3.1)	346.6 (5.0)	336.7 (3.0)	331.8 (6.1)	371.4 (4.7)	370.1 (3.3)