

12 Appendix

12.1 Equilibrium points

12.1.1 $E_3(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$

Given the equilibrium $\mathfrak{E}_3(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ where $\check{I}_{1e} = 0$, $\check{I}_{2e} = 1$, $\check{S}_{1e} = \check{S}_{2e} = \check{R}_e = 0$, we get,,

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{E}_3} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta_2 - \delta & 0 & \mu & \mu & \rho \\ -\beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_2 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 \\ \gamma & \gamma & -\rho & -\rho & -\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues are, $-\beta_2 - \delta, 0, -\gamma - \sigma - \delta, -\gamma - \sigma - \delta, -\delta$.

Theorem 1. *The stability point $E_3(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ exhibits local asymptotic stability provided that $\beta_2 + \delta > 0$, $\gamma + \sigma + \delta > 0$, $\delta > 0$.*

12.1.2 $E_4(0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$

Given the variational matrix $\mathcal{V}$, we get,

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta & -\beta_1 - \beta_2 & \mu - \beta_1 & \mu & \rho \\ 0 & -\delta & \beta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_1 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 \\ \gamma & \gamma & -\rho & -\rho & -\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are $-\delta, -\delta, -\gamma - \sigma - \delta, -\gamma - \sigma - \delta, -\delta$.

Theorem 2. *The stability point $E_4(0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$ exhibits local asymptotic stability provided that $\delta > 0, \gamma + \sigma + \delta > 0$.*

12.1.3 $E_5(0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$

For the equilibrium $\mathfrak{E}_5(0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$, where $\check{I}_{1e} = 0$, $\check{I}_{2e} = 0$, $\check{S}_{1e} = 0$, $\check{S}_{2e} = 1$, and $\check{R}_e = 0$ is

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta & -\beta_1 - \beta_2 & \mu & \mu - \beta_2 & \rho \\ 0 & -\delta & 0 & \beta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 \\ \gamma & \gamma & -\rho & -\rho & -\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are $-\delta, -\delta, -\gamma - \sigma - \delta, -\gamma - \sigma - \delta, -\delta$.

Theorem 3. *The stability point $E_5(0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ exhibits local asymptotic stability provided that $\delta > 0, \gamma + \sigma + \delta > 0$.*

12.1.4 $E_6(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$

Given the variational matrix

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta & 0 & \mu & \mu & \rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 \\ \gamma & \gamma & -\rho & -\rho & -\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are $-\delta, 0, -\gamma - \sigma - \delta, -\gamma - \sigma - \delta, -\delta$.

Theorem 4. *The stability point $E_1(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ exhibits local asymptotic stability provided that $\delta > 0, \gamma + \sigma + \delta > 0$.*

12.1.5 $E_7(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$

For the equilibrium point $\mathfrak{E}_7(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ where $I_{1e}^* = 1, I_{2e}^* = 1, S_{1e}^* = 0, S_{2e}^* = 0$, and $\vec{R}_e = 0$, we have the variational matrix,

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \delta & 0 & \mu & \mu & \rho \\ -\beta_2 - \beta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_1 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 \\ \gamma & \gamma & -\rho & -\rho & -\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are the solutions to the determinant of $\mathcal{V} - \lambda I = 0$, where I is the identity matrix. $[-1.43069623 + 0.j - 0.21201624 + 0.22919424j - 0.21201624 - 0.22919424j, -0.4452713 + 0.j - 0.8 + 0.j]$. Given the negative real parts of all eigenvalues, the stability point $E_7(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ exhibits local asymptotic stability.

12.1.6 $E_8(1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$

Substitute the equilibrium values into the matrix

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \delta & -\beta_1 - \beta_2 & \mu - \beta_1 & \mu - \beta_2 & \rho \\ -\beta_2 - \beta_1 & -\beta_2 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_1 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_2 & \beta_2 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & 0 \\ \gamma & \gamma & -\rho & -\rho & -\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 5. *The stability point $E_8(1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ exhibits local asymptotic stability provided that*

1. $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \delta > k_1(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$ where $k_1 > 1$.
2. $\mu + \beta_1 - \beta_2 S_{1e}^* < k_2(\beta_1 - \beta_2 S_{1e}^*)$ where $0 < k_2 < 1$.
3. $\gamma + \sigma + \delta > k_3(\gamma + \sigma)$ where $k_3 > 1$.
4. $\mu + \beta_2 > k_4 \beta_2$ where $k_4 > 1$.
5. $\gamma + \rho + \delta > k_5(\gamma + \rho)$ where $k_5 > 1$.

12.1.7 $E_9(1, 1, 1, 1, 0)$

Substituting the equilibrium values, we get:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} -\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \delta & -\beta_2 & \beta_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta_1 - \beta_2 & -\beta_1 - \delta & 0 & \beta_1 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & 0 & -\gamma - \sigma - \delta & -\beta_1 & \gamma \\ 0 & \beta_2 & \beta_2 & -\mu - \beta_2 - \delta & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma & \rho & -\gamma - \rho - \delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

$[-1.63103353+0.j \ 0.04932644+0.j \ -0.84360343+0.24368374j, -0.84360343-0.24368374j \ -0.38108607+0.j]$ The stability point $E_9(1, 1, 1, 1, 0)$ exhibits local asymptotic stability provided that

1. $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \delta > k_1(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$ where $k_1 > 1.63103353$.
2. $\mu + \beta_1 - \beta_2 \check{S}_{1e} < k_2(\beta_1 - \beta_2 \check{S}_{1e})$ where $0 < k_2 < 0.04932644$.
3. $\gamma + \sigma + \delta > k_3(\gamma + \sigma)$ where $k_3 > 1.08728717$ (considering the largest magnitude between third and fourth eigenvalues).
4. $\mu + \beta_2 > k_4\beta_2$ where $k_4 > 1.38108607$.
5. $\gamma + \rho + \delta > k_5(\gamma + \rho)$ where $k_5 > 1.38108607$.

12.2 Proof of theorem 5

Proof. Consider the function $V(\check{I}_1, \check{I}_2) = \check{I}_1 + \check{I}_2$. The time derivative of V along the trajectories of the system is:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{d\check{I}_1}{dt} + \frac{d\check{I}_2}{dt}.$$

Using the system of equations, we can show that $\frac{dV}{dt} \leq 0$ for all $\check{I}_1, \check{I}_2 > 0$.

$$\frac{d\check{I}_1}{dt} = \beta_1 \check{S}_2 \check{I}_1 - \gamma \check{I}_1 - \sigma \check{I}_1 - \delta \check{I}_1.$$

$$\frac{d\check{I}_2}{dt} = \beta_2 \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2 - \gamma \check{I}_2 - \sigma \check{I}_2 - \delta \check{I}_2.$$

Substituting in the given equations:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \beta_1 \check{S}_2 \check{I}_1 + \beta_2 \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2 - \gamma(\check{I}_1 + \check{I}_2) - \sigma(\check{I}_1 + \check{I}_2) - \delta(\check{I}_1 + \check{I}_2).$$

Now, let's analyze the terms:

1. The terms $\beta_1 \check{S}_2 \check{I}_1$ and $\beta_2 \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2$ represent the new infections. As the number of infected individuals increases, the number of susceptible individuals (either $\check{S}_1$ or $\check{S}_2$) decreases. This means that these terms will decrease as $\check{I}_1$ and $\check{I}_2$ increase.

2. The terms $-\gamma(\check{I}_1 + \check{I}_2)$, $-\sigma(\check{I}_1 + \check{I}_2)$, and $-\delta(\check{I}_1 + \check{I}_2)$ are all negative and increase in magnitude as $\check{I}_1$ and $\check{I}_2$ increase.

As $\check{I}_1$ and $\check{I}_2$ increase, the positive terms in $\frac{dV}{dt}$ decrease, while the negative terms increase in magnitude. This ensures that $\frac{dV}{dt}$ is non-positive for all $\check{I}_1, \check{I}_2 > 0$, i.e., $\frac{dV}{dt} \leq 0$.

Thus, we've shown that $\frac{dV}{dt} \leq 0$ for all $\check{I}_1, \check{I}_2 > 0$. $\square$

12.3 Proof of theorem 6

Proof. Consider $(\check{S}_1(t), \check{S}_2(t), \check{I}_1(t), \check{I}_2(t), \check{R}(t))$ to be any solution of the system. Combining the differential equations for $\check{S}_1$ and $\check{S}_2$, we get:

$$\frac{d\check{S}_1}{dt} + \frac{d\check{S}_2}{dt} \leq \mu + \rho\check{R} - \delta(\check{S}_1 + \check{S}_2). \quad (1)$$

From the above inequality, we deduce that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup(\check{S}_1(t) + \check{S}_2(t)) \leq \frac{\mu + \rho\check{R}}{\delta}. \quad (2)$$

Let,

$$W(t) = \check{S}_1(t) + \check{S}_2(t) + \check{I}_1(t) + \check{I}_2(t) + \check{R}(t). \quad (3)$$

Then, summing up all the differential equations, we have,

$$\frac{dW}{dt} \leq \mu + \rho\check{R} - \delta W, \quad (4)$$

which can be simplified as

$$\frac{dW}{dt} + \delta W \leq \mu + \rho\check{R}. \quad (5)$$

From differential inequalities theory,

$$0 \leq W(t) \leq \frac{\mu + \rho\check{R}}{\delta} + \frac{W(0)}{e^{\delta t}}. \quad (6)$$

Taking the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$0 \leq W \leq \frac{\mu + \rho\check{R}}{\delta}. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$C = \{(\check{S}_1, \check{S}_2, \check{I}_1, \check{I}_2, \check{R}) : 0 \leq W \leq \frac{\mu + \rho\check{R}}{\delta} + \epsilon\}. \quad (8)$$

$\square$

12.4 Proof of theorem 7

Let $l^* \in \mathbb{N}^*$ s.t. $Z(0) \in [\frac{1}{l^*}, \frac{\nu}{\epsilon}]$. For $n \geq l^*$, we denote:

$$\sigma_n = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \left| Z(t) \in \Xi \text{ and } Z(t) \notin \left[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{\nu}{\epsilon} \right]^5 \right. \right\},$$

here σ_n representing the stopping time with $\sigma = \inf\{0 < t : Z(t) \notin \Xi\}$.

The task remains to show $\mathcal{P}\{t > \sigma\} = 0$ for every $t > 0$.

$\sigma_n < \sigma$ implies $\mathcal{P}\{\sigma_n \leq t\} \geq \mathcal{P}\{\sigma < t\}$.

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \mathcal{P}\{\sigma_n < t\} = 0$, then our assertion holds. For the outset, let's take $\Theta_1: \mathbb{R}_+^{4*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as a Lyapunov function.

$$\mathfrak{S}_1(\check{S}_1(t), \check{S}_2(t), \check{I}_1(t), \check{I}_2(t), R(t)) = \check{S}_1^2(t) + \check{S}_2^2(t) + \check{I}_1^2(t) + \check{I}_2^2(t) + R^2(t) \quad (9)$$

Using Ito's formula, whenever $0 < t$ and $\varrho \in [0, t \wedge \sigma_n]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathfrak{S}_1(\check{S}_1(t), \check{S}_2(t), \check{I}_1(t), \check{I}_2(t), R(t)) &= \mathbf{H}\mathfrak{S}_1 dt - \varrho_1 \check{S}_1^2 d\mathfrak{D}_1(t) - \varrho_2 \check{S}_2^2 d\mathfrak{D}_2(t) \\ &\quad - \varrho_3 \check{I}_1^2 d\mathfrak{D}_3(t) - \varrho_4 \check{I}_2^2 d\mathfrak{D}_4(t) - \varrho_5 R^2 d\mathfrak{D}_5(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}\mathfrak{S}_1 &= -\mu \check{S}_1^2 - \beta_1 \check{S}_1^3 \check{I}_1 - \beta_2 \check{S}_1^3 \check{I}_2 + \rho R \check{S}_1^2 - \delta \check{S}_1^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_2 \check{S}_2^3 \check{I}_2 - \beta_1 \check{S}_2^2 \check{I}_1 - \delta \check{S}_2^3 + \beta_1 \check{S}_2 \check{I}_1^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma \check{I}_1^3 - \sigma \check{I}_1^3 - \delta \check{I}_1^3 - \beta_2 \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2^3 - \gamma \check{I}_2^3 \\ &\quad - \sigma \check{I}_2^3 - \delta \check{I}_2^3 - \gamma \check{I}_1 \check{R}^2 + \gamma \check{I}_2 \check{R}^2 - \rho \check{R}^3 - \delta \check{R}^3 \\ &\quad + \varrho_1^2 \check{S}_1^2 + \varrho_2^2 \check{S}_2^2 + \varrho_3^2 \check{I}_1^2 + \varrho_4^2 \check{I}_2^2 + \varrho_5^2 \check{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\mathbf{H}\mathfrak{S}_1 \leq \rho \check{R} \check{S}_1^2 + \beta_1 \check{S}_2 \check{I}_1^2 + \gamma \check{I}_2 R^2 + \varrho_1^2 \check{S}_1^2 + \varrho_2^2 \check{S}_2^2 + \varrho_3^2 \check{I}_1^2 + \varrho_4^2 \check{I}_2^2 + \varrho_5^2 \check{R}^2$$

then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathfrak{S}_1 &\leq \rho + \beta_1 + \gamma + \varrho_1^2 + \varrho_2^2 + \varrho_3^2 + \varrho_4^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\varrho_1}{\mathfrak{s}} d\mathfrak{D}_1(t) - \frac{\varrho_2}{\mathfrak{i}_1} d\mathfrak{D}_2(t) - \frac{\varrho_3}{\mathfrak{i}_2} d\mathfrak{D}_3(t) - \frac{\varrho_4}{\mathfrak{i}_3} d\mathfrak{D}_4(t) \\ &\leq \theta dt - \varrho_1 \check{S}_1 d\mathfrak{D}_1(t) - \varrho_2 \check{S}_2 d\mathfrak{D}_2(t) - \varrho_3 \check{I}_1 d\mathfrak{D}_3(t) \\ &\quad - \varrho_4 \check{I}_2 d\mathfrak{D}_4(t) - \varrho_5 \check{R} d\mathfrak{D}_5(t). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\theta = \left[\rho + \beta_1 + \gamma + \varrho_1^2 + \varrho_2^2 + \varrho_3^2 + \varrho_4^2 \right].$$

By utilizing the principles of expectation and the Fubini theorem, integrating the aforementioned equation yields,

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{I}_1(Z(\varrho)) \leq \mathfrak{I}_1(Z_0) + \theta\varrho.$$

Invoking the results from Gronvall, for every $\varrho \in [0, t \wedge \sigma_n]$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{I}_1(Z(\varrho)) \leq \mathfrak{I}_1(Z_0)e^{\theta\varrho},$$

we deduce,

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathfrak{I}_1(Z(t \wedge \tau_n))] \leq \mathfrak{I}_1(Z_0) + \theta t. \quad (11)$$

Given that $\mathfrak{I}_1(Z(t \wedge \sigma_n)) > 0$ and $Z(\sigma_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$, it follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{I}_1(Z(t \wedge \sigma_n)) &\geq \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{I}_1(m(\sigma_n))\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_n < t\}}), \\ &\geq nB(\sigma_n < t). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

From the implications of equations (11) and (12), for all $t \geq 0$,

$$B(\sigma_n < t) \leq \frac{\mathfrak{I}_1(Z_0) + \theta t}{n}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup B(\sigma_n < t) = 0.$$

12.5 Proof of theorem 8

Let's assume that for any initial condition $(\check{S}_1(0), \check{S}_2(0), \check{I}_1(0), \check{I}_2(0), \check{R}(0)) \in \Xi$,

there exists a unique and positive local solution of the form $(S_1(t), \check{S}_2(t), \check{I}_1(t), \check{I}_2(t), R(t))$ over the interval $[0, \sigma_e)$. The term σ_e denotes the time of explosion. We aim to prove $\sigma_e = \infty$ almost surely, implying the solution is global. Furthermore, let's define σ_{l^*} as our chosen stopping time. It is given that the infimum of ϕ is infinite. As j tends towards infinity, it can be observed that σ_{l^*} exhibits an increasing behavior. If we define σ_∞ as $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{l^*}$, it follows that $\sigma_e \geq \sigma_\infty$ with high probability. Our goal is to demonstrate that $\sigma_\infty = \infty$ almost surely.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{l^*} = \inf t \in (0, \sigma_e) : &\check{S}_1(t) \notin (\frac{1}{l^*}, j) \text{ or } \check{S}_2(t) \notin (\frac{1}{l^*}, j) \\ &\text{or } \check{I}_1(t) \notin (\frac{1}{l^*}, j) \text{ or } \check{I}_2(t) \notin (\frac{1}{l^*}, j) \text{ or } \check{R}(t) \notin (\frac{1}{l^*}, j). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Assuming, for the sake of contradiction, that $\sigma_\infty = \infty$ isn't always true, we would then have some non-negative Q and a value of ε within $[0, 1)$ such that the probability $D(\sigma_\infty < Q) > \varepsilon$. This implies:

$$\exists(l_1^* \in \mathbb{N})(\forall l^* \geq l_1^*)D(\sigma_{l^*} \leq Q) \geq \varepsilon. \quad (14)$$

Let's further introduce the function $\mathfrak{S}_2$, a twice continuously differentiable function mapping from $\mathbb{R}_4^+$ to $\mathbb{R}^+$, given by

$$\mathfrak{S}_2(\check{S}_1(t), \check{S}_2(t), \check{I}_1(t), \check{I}_2(t), \check{R}(t)) = \left(\check{S}_1 - 1 - \ln \check{S}_1 \right) + \left(\check{S}_2 - 1 - \ln \check{S}_2 \right) + \left(\check{I}_1 - 1 - \ln \check{I}_1 \right) + \left(\check{I}_2 - 1 - \ln \check{I}_2 \right).$$

Applying Ito's formula, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathfrak{S}_2 &= \mathbf{H}\mathfrak{S}_2 \left(\check{S}_1, \check{S}_2, \check{I}_1, \check{I}_2 \right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(\check{S}_1 - 1 \right) \varrho_1 d\mathfrak{D}_1(t) + \left(\check{S}_2 - 1 \right) \varrho_2 d\mathfrak{D}_2(t) \\ &\quad + \left(\check{I}_1 - 1 \right) \varrho_3 d\mathfrak{D}_3(t) + \left(\check{I}_2 - 1 \right) \varrho_4 d\mathfrak{D}_4(t) \\ &\quad + \left(\check{R} - 1 \right) \varrho_5 d\mathfrak{D}_5(t), \end{aligned}$$

Where the expression for $\mathbf{H}\mathfrak{S}_2$ is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}\mathfrak{S}_2 &= \mu - \beta_1 \check{S}_1 \check{I}_1 - \beta_2 \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2 + \rho \check{R} - \delta \check{S}_1 - (\mu - \beta_1 \check{I}_1 - \beta_2 \check{I}_2 + \rho \check{R} - \delta) \\ &\quad - \beta_2 \check{S}_2 \check{I}_2 - \beta_1 \check{S}_2 \check{I}_1 - \delta \check{S}_2 - (-\beta_2 \check{I}_2 - \beta_1 \check{I}_1 - \delta) + \beta_1 \check{S}_2 - \gamma - \sigma - \delta \\ &\quad + \beta_2 \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2 - \gamma \check{I}_2 - \sigma \check{I}_2 - \delta \check{I}_2 (\beta_2 \check{S}_1 - \gamma - \sigma - \delta) + \gamma \check{I}_1 + \gamma \check{I}_2 - \rho \check{R} - \delta \check{R} + \\ &\quad (\gamma \check{I}_1 + \gamma \check{I}_2 - \rho - \delta) + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_1^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_3^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_4^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_5^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}\mathfrak{S}_2 &\leq \mu + \rho \check{R} - \delta \check{S}_1 + \beta_1 \check{I}_1 + \beta_2 \check{I}_2 + \delta) \\ &\quad + (\beta_2 \check{I}_2 + \beta_1 \check{I}_1 + \delta) + \beta_1 \check{S}_2 + \beta_2 \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2 + \gamma + \sigma + \delta + \gamma \check{I}_1 + \gamma \check{I}_2 + (\gamma \check{I}_1 + \gamma \check{I}_2) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} \varrho_1^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_3^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_4^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_5^2 dt = \mathcal{J} \end{aligned}$$

Integration over the given interval yields

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathfrak{S}_2 &\leq \mathcal{J} + \left(\check{S}_1 - 1 \right) \varrho_1 d\mathfrak{D}_1(t) + \left(\check{S}_2 - 1 \right) \varrho_2 d\mathfrak{D}_2(t) + \left(\check{I}_1 - 1 \right) \varrho_3 d\mathfrak{D}_3(t) + \\ &\quad \left(\check{I}_2 - 1 \right) \varrho_4 d\mathfrak{D}_4(t) + \left(\check{R} - 1 \right) \varrho_5 d\mathfrak{D}_5(t). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{S}_2 \left(\check{S}_1(Q \wedge \sigma_{l^*}), \check{S}_2(T \wedge \sigma_{l^*}), \check{I}_1(Q \wedge \sigma_{l^*}), \check{I}_2(Q \wedge \sigma_{l^*}), \check{R}(Q \wedge \sigma_{l^*}) \right) \\
& \leq \mathfrak{S}_2 \left(\check{S}_1(0), \check{S}_2(0), \check{I}_1(0), \check{I}_2(0), \check{R}(0) \right) + \mathcal{J}\mathbb{E}(Q \wedge \sigma_{l^*}), \\
& \leq \mathfrak{S}_2 \left(\check{S}_1(0), \check{S}_2(0), \check{I}_1(0), \check{I}_2(0), \check{R}(0) \right) + \mathcal{J}Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Considering the set Ω_{l^*} where $l_1^* \leq j$, and from the relation in (14), we can infer that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{S}_2 \left(\check{S}_1(0), \check{S}_2(0), \check{I}_1(0), \check{I}_2(0), \check{R}(0) \right) + \mathcal{J}Q \\
& \geq \mathbb{E} \left(1_{\Omega_{l^*}} \mathfrak{S}_2 \left(\check{S}_1(\sigma_{l^*}, \omega), \check{S}_2(\tau_j, \omega), \check{I}_1(\sigma_{l^*}, \omega), \check{I}_2(\sigma_{l^*}, \omega), \check{R}(\sigma_{l^*}, \omega) \right) \right), \\
& \geq \varepsilon[l^* - 1 - \ln l^*] \wedge \left[\frac{1}{l^*} - 1 + \ln l^* \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Upon letting l^* approach infinity, we encounter the contradiction,
 $\infty > \mathfrak{S}_2 \left(\check{S}_1(0), \check{S}_2(0), \check{I}_1(0), \check{I}_2(0), \check{R}(0) \right) + \mathcal{J}Q = \infty$ a.s. This inconsistency with our initial assumption proves our desired result.

12.6 Proof of theorem 9

Let $\mathfrak{S}_3 = \ln \check{I}_1(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
d \ln \check{I}_1(t) &= \frac{1}{\check{I}_1} dI_1 - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 dt, \\
&= \left[\beta_1 S_2 - \gamma - \sigma - \delta - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 \right] dt + \varrho_2 d\mathfrak{D}_2(t), \\
&\leq \left[\beta_1 S_2 - \delta - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 \right] dt + \varrho_2 d\mathfrak{D}_2(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Integrating (16) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \check{I}_1(t) &\leq \beta_1 \int_0^t \check{S}_1(r) dr - \delta(t) - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 t + \varrho_2 \mathfrak{D}_2(t) - \varrho_2 \mathfrak{D}_2(0) + \ln \check{I}_1(0), \\
\beta_1 &\leq \int_0^t \check{S}_1(r) dr - \delta(t) - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 t + P_1(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $P_1(t) = \varrho_2 \mathfrak{D}_2(t) - \varrho_2 \mathfrak{D}_2(0) + \ln \check{I}_1(0)$. Dividing (17) by t , we have

$$\frac{\ln \check{I}_1(t)}{t} \leq \beta_1 \langle \check{S}_1 \rangle - \delta - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 + \frac{P_1(t)}{t}. \quad (18)$$

Integrating the model, we can write

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\check{S}_1(t) - \check{S}_1(0)}{t} = \mu - \beta_1 \int_0^t \check{S}_1 \check{I}_1 - \beta_2 \int_0^t \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2 + \rho \langle R \rangle - \delta \langle \check{S}_1 \rangle + \int_0^t \check{S}_1(r) d\mathfrak{D}_1(r), \\ \frac{\check{S}_2(t) - \check{S}_2(0)}{t} = -\beta_2 \int_0^t \check{S}_2 \check{I}_2 - \beta_1 \int_0^t \check{S}_2 \check{I}_1 - \delta \langle \check{S}_2 \rangle + \frac{\varrho_2}{t} \int_0^t \check{S}_2(r) d\mathfrak{D}_2(r), \\ \frac{\check{I}_1(t) - \check{I}_1(0)}{t} = \beta_1 \int_0^t \check{S}_2 \check{I}_1 - \gamma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle + \frac{\varrho_3}{t} \int_0^t \check{I}_1(r) d\mathfrak{D}_3(r), \\ \frac{\check{I}_2(t) - \check{I}_2(0)}{t} = \beta_2 \int_0^t \check{S}_1 \check{I}_2 - \gamma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle + \frac{\varrho_4}{t} \int_0^t \check{I}_2(r) d\mathfrak{D}_4(r), \\ \frac{\check{R}(t) - \check{R}(0)}{t} = \gamma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle + \gamma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \rho \langle \check{R} \rangle - \delta \langle \check{R} \rangle + \frac{\varrho_5}{t} \int_0^t \check{R}(r) d\mathfrak{D}_5(r), \end{array} \right. \quad (19)$$

Adding the previous equations of (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \frac{\check{S}_1(t) - \check{S}_1(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{S}_2(t) - \check{S}_2(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{I}_1(t) - \check{I}_1(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{I}_2(t) - \check{I}_2(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{R}(t) - \check{R}(0)}{t}, \\ &= \mu - \delta \langle \check{S}_1 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{S}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{R} \rangle - \gamma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \gamma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\varrho_1}{t} \int_0^t \check{S}_1(r) d\mathfrak{D}_1(r) + \frac{\varrho_2}{t} \int_0^t \check{S}_2(r) d\mathfrak{D}_2(r) \\ &\quad + \frac{\varrho_3}{t} \int_0^t \check{I}_1(r) d\mathfrak{D}_3(r) + \frac{\varrho_4}{t} \int_0^t \check{I}_2(r) d\mathfrak{D}_4(r) + \frac{\varrho_5}{t} \int_0^t \check{R}(r) d\mathfrak{D}_5(r) \\ W &= \mu - \delta \langle \check{S}_1 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{S}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{R} \rangle - \gamma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \gamma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle + \frac{L}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L}{t} &= \frac{\varrho_1}{t} \int_0^t \check{S}_1(r) d\mathfrak{D}_1(r) + \frac{\varrho_2}{t} \int_0^t \check{S}_2(r) d\mathfrak{D}_2(r) + \frac{\varrho_3}{t} \int_0^t \check{I}_1(r) d\mathfrak{D}_3(r) \\ &\quad + \frac{\varrho_4}{t} \int_0^t \check{I}_2(r) d\mathfrak{D}_4(r) + \frac{\varrho_5}{t} \int_0^t \check{R}(r) d\mathfrak{D}_5(r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \check{S}_1 \rangle &= \mu - \delta \langle \check{S}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{R} \rangle - \gamma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \gamma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle + \frac{L}{t} \\ &\quad + \frac{\check{S}_1(t) - \check{S}_1(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{S}_2(t) - \check{S}_2(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{I}_1(t) - \check{I}_1(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{I}_2(t) - \check{I}_2(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{R}(t) - \check{R}(0)}{t}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Substitute (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ln \check{I}_1(t)}{t} &\leq \frac{\beta_1}{\delta} [\mu - \delta \langle \check{S}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \delta \langle \check{R} \rangle - \gamma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \gamma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_1 \rangle - \sigma \langle \check{I}_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{L}{t} + \frac{\check{S}_1(t) - \check{S}_1(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{S}_2(t) - \check{S}_2(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{I}_1(t) - \check{I}_1(0)}{t} + \frac{\check{I}_2(t) - \check{I}_2(0)}{t} + \\ &\quad \frac{\check{R}(t) - \check{R}(0)}{t}] - \delta(t) - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2 t + P_1(t), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Hence, utilizing study in [17] we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \check{I}_1(t)}{t} &\leq \frac{\beta_1 \mu}{\delta} - (\gamma + \delta + \sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2, \\ &\leq (\gamma + \delta + \sigma) [\mathbf{R}_1 - 1] - \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \check{I}_1(t)}{t} \leq (\gamma + \delta + \sigma) \left[\mathbf{R}_1 - 1 - \frac{\varrho_2^2}{2(\gamma + \delta + \sigma)} \right]. \quad (22)$$

The process can be repeated to show $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \check{I}_2(t) = 0$ when $\mathbf{R}_2^s < 1$.

12.7 Codes

```
import numpy as np
from scipy.integrate import odeint
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
import pandas as pd
def model(y, t, beta0, alpha, r, K, gamma, mu, delta, sigma, rho):
    S1, S2, I1, I2, R = y
    I = I1 + I2
    beta1 = beta2 = beta0 * (1 + alpha * r * I * (1 - I / K))

    dS1 = mu - beta1 * S1 * I1 - beta2 * S1 * I2 + rho * R - delta * S1
    dS2 = -beta2 * S2 * I2 - beta1 * S2 * I1 - delta * S2
    dI1 = beta1 * S2 * I1 - gamma * I1 - sigma * I1 - delta * I1
    dI2 = beta2 * S1 * I2 - gamma * I2 - sigma * I2 - delta * I2
    dR = gamma * I1 + gamma * I2 - rho * R - delta * R
```

```

        return [dS1, dS2, dI1, dI2, dR]
S1_0 = 0.9
S2_0 = 0.9
I1_0 = 0.01
I2_0 = 0.01
R_0 = 0.0
y0 = [S1_0, S2_0, I1_0, I2_0, R_0]
t = np.linspace(0, 65, 5000)
beta0 = 0.5
alpha = 0.1
r = 1.0
K = 1.0
gamma = 0.1
mu = 0.02
delta = 0.01
sigma = 0.01
rho = 0.005
sol = odeint(model, y0, t, args=(beta0, alpha, r, K, gamma, mu, delta, sigma, rho))
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 0], 'b', label='S1')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 1], 'g', label='S2')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 2], 'r', label='I1')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 3], 'm', label='I2')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 4], 'c', label='R')
plt.title('Dynamics of Susceptibles, Infected, and Recovered Populations')
plt.xlabel('Time')
plt.ylabel('Population Fraction')
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
##Population Distribution ##
populations_at_t100 = [sol[index_at_t100, i] for i in range(5)]
labels = ['S1', 'S2', 'I1', 'I2', 'R']
colors = ['blue', 'green', 'red', 'magenta', 'cyan']
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 8))
plt.pie(populations_at_t100, labels=labels, colors=colors, autopct='%1.1f%%')
plt.title('Population Distribution at t=100')
plt.show()
###Time series###
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 0], label='S_1')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 1], label='S_2')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 2], label='I_1')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 3], label='I_2')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 4], label='R')

```

```

plt.legend()
plt.title('Time Series of Populations')
plt.xlabel('Time')
plt.ylabel('Population Fraction')
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
###Phase Plane###
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(sol[:, 0], sol[:, 2], label='S_1 vs I_1')
plt.plot(sol[:, 1], sol[:, 3], label='S_2 vs I_2')
plt.legend()
plt.title('Phase Plane Plot')
plt.xlabel('Susceptible Population')
plt.ylabel('Infected Population')
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
###Quiver Plot###
U = sol[1:, 2] - sol[:-1, 2]
V = sol[1:, 3] - sol[:-1, 3]
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.quiver(sol[:-1, 2], sol[:-1, 3], U, V, scale=50)
plt.title('Quiver Plot showing changes in I1 and I2')
plt.xlabel('I1')
plt.ylabel('I2')
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
###moving_avg###
moving_avg_I1 = np.convolve(sol[:, 2], np.ones(50)/50, mode='valid')
moving_avg_I2 = np.convolve(sol[:, 3], np.ones(50)/50, mode='valid')
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 2], label='I1')
plt.plot(t[len(t)-len(moving_avg_I1):], moving_avg_I1, 'r--', label='I1')
plt.plot(t, sol[:, 3], label='I2')
plt.plot(t[len(t)-len(moving_avg_I2):], moving_avg_I2, 'm--', label='I2')
plt.title('Time Series with Moving Average')
plt.xlabel('Time')
plt.ylabel('Population Fraction')
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()

```