

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

eMethods

Data analyses

Using a similar strategy to that of Jette et al. (1) and Ferreira et al. (2), for items with a four-point Likert scale, the “Strongly Agree” and “Agree” categories were combined, as well as the “Strongly Disagree” and “Disagree” categories, so that responses fell into one of two categories: “Agree” or “Disagree”. For the items with a “Yes/No/Do Not Know” choice set, the “Do Not Know” category was combined with the “No” category, based on the belief that a lack of knowledge regarding EBP topics is similar to not performing EBP. For items categorized by the number of times, the lowest categories (≤ 1 and 2–5) were distinguished from the higher categories (6–10, 11–15, and ≥ 16), and combined into “Poor” for the lower values and “Good” for the high values. For items that were designed to examine the degree of understanding of research terms, the “Understand Completely” and “Understand Somewhat” categories were combined so that a 2-category response was obtained: “Understand Completely” and “Understand at Least Somewhat plus Do Not Understand”. Lastly, in the barriers item, the PTs’ choices were collapsed into “Present” (if the PT chooses 1st, 2nd, or 3rd) or “Absent” (no barrier choice). After item categories were collapsed, logistic regression tests were used to assess the association between the demographics and research-related items (attitudes and beliefs about EBP; interest in and motivation to engage in EBP; educational background, knowledge, and skills related to accessing and interpreting information; the level of attention to and use of the literature; access to and availability of information to promote EBP; and perceived barriers to using EBP). An α of 0.05 was used to define whether a model needed to be reported. Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% CIs were determined for each level of the independent variables controlling for the country. CIs including 1.0 were considered not statistically significant

Table S1. Association between PTs' characteristics and their attitudes, beliefs, interest in and motivation to about/engage EBP.

Attitude or belief (Agree)	Factor – Level	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Model <i>P</i>	Model <i>R</i> ^{2 a}
EBP is Necessary to Practice	<i>Years since licensure</i>		0.002	0.117
	<5 y	4.599 (1.919–11.021)		
	5–10 y	4.025 (1.687–9.601)		
	11–15 y	2.729 (1.013–7.352)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
EBP improves the quality of patient care	<i>Age</i>		<0.001	0.032
	20–29 y	2.188 (1.298–3.687)		
	30–39y	3.169 (1.723–5.831)		
	40–49 y	0.912 (0.522–1.595)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>		0.020	0.050
	<5 y	2.717 (1.841–4.010)		
	5–10 y	5.430 (3.106–9.495)		
	11–15 y	2.415 (1.395–4.181)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
Reimbursement will increase with use of EBP	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.081
	<5 y	2.684 (2.253–3.197)		
	5–10 y	1.920 (1.587–2.323)		
	11–15 y	1.650 (1.313–2.073)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
EBP doesn't account for practice settings limitations	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.024
	<5 y	2.023 (1.703–2.402)		
	5–10 y	1.598 (1.323–1.932)		
	11–15 y	1.625 (1.292–2.045)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Working hours</i>		<0.001	0.011
	< 20	1.572 (1.300–1.902)		
	20–30	1.313 (1.093–1.577)		
	31–40	1.407 (1.186–1.670)		
	> 40	Reference ^b		
	<i>Working hours</i>		<0.001	0.049
	< 20 h	2.383 (1.977–2.873)		
	20–30 h	2.412 (2.010–2.895)		
	31–40 h	2.302 (1.941–2.730)		
	> 40 h	Reference ^b		
Evidence is Lacking to Support Interventions	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.036
	<5 y	2.172 (1.822–2.588)		
	5–10 y	2.218 (1.829–2.690)		
	11–15 y	1.224 (0.968–1.549)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Age</i>		<0.001	0.016
	20–29 y	1.797 (1.404–2.300)		
	30–39y	1.301 (1.004–1.687)		
	40–49 y	1.093 (0.821–1.454)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
EBP helps decision making	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.059
	<5 y	5.448 (3.473–8.545)		
	5–10 y	3.984 (2.515–6.313)		

Necessity to increase evidence use in practice	11–15 y	4.527 (2.374–8.635)	<0.001	0.059
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Age</i>			
	20–29 y	4.098 (2.561–6.558)		
	30–39 y	5.601 (3.169–9.899)		
	40–49 y	1.607 (0.964–2.680)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Academic degree</i>			
	Undergraduate	3.664 (1.395–9.624)		
	Specialization	5.238 (1.781–15.407)		
Learning/Improving skills interest to incorporate EBP	Master	3.511 (1.221–10.101)	0.007	0.060
	Doctorate	Reference ^b		
	<i>Patients day</i>			
	<5	1.912 (1.145–3.192)		
	5–10	2.270 (1.379–3.734)		
	11–15	2.288 (1.307–4.006)		
	>15	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>			
	<5 y	5.138 (2.824–9.348)		
	5–10 y	10.917 (4.617–25.815)		
	11–15 y	3.081 (1.528–6.211)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	Professional membership register			
	Yes	2.225 (1.158–4.276)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Patients day</i>			
	<5	1.811 (0.972–3.374)	<0.001	0.081
	5–10	2.818 (1.484–5.349)		
	11–15	2.318 (1.179–4.558)		
	>15	Reference ^b		

^a Nagelkerke R²; ^b In logistic regression, one level of the independent variable serve as reference against which the odds of the other levels occurring are determined. 95% CI=95% confidence interval. Controlling for country.

Table S2. Association between PTs' characteristics and their educational background, knowledge and skills related to accessing and interpreting information.

Education, Skill or Knowledge (Agree)	Factor – Level	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P	R ² ^a
Learned foundations in academic program	<i>Age</i>		<0.001	0.056
	20–29 y	3.328 (2.493–4.443)		
	30–39 y	1.987 (1.477–2.674)		
	40–49 y	0.978 (0.718–1.331)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.113
	<5 y	4.349 (3.518–5.375)		
	5–10 y	5.428 (4.180–7.049)		
	11–15 y	4.639 (3.357–6.411)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.007
	Yes	1.504 (1.239–1.827)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.003
	Yes	1.305 (1.042–1.633)		
	No	Reference ^b		
Familiar with online databases	<i>Age</i>		0.002	0.059
	20–29 y	2.405 (1.779–3.251)		
	30–39 y	1.566 (1.147–2.139)		
	40–49 y	0.624 (0.454–0.857)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.093
	<5 y	4.178 (3.371–5.179)		
	5–10 y	4.574 (3.540–5.910)		
	11–15 y	2.543 (1.922–3.364)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.065
	Yes	3.248 (2.709–3.894)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.040
	Yes	3.590 (2.663–4.840)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.030
	Yes	2.544 (2.006–3.226)		
	No	Reference ^b		
Formal training in critical appraisal	<i>Age</i>		<0.001	0.062
	20–29 y	2.279 (1.785–2.910)		
	30–39 y	1.460 (1.132–1.884)		
	40–49 y	0.715 (0.543–0.942)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.104
	<5 y	3.223 (2.701–3.844)		
	5–10 y	3.867 (3.149–4.749)		
	11–15 y	1.159 (0.927–1.450)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Academic Degree</i>		<0.001	0.020
	Undergraduate	0.230 (0.098–0.542)		
	Specialization	0.236 (0.099–0.561)		
	Master	0.357 (0.149–0.852)		
	Doctorate	Reference ^b		

Formal training in search strategies	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.025
	Yes	1.732 (1.484–2.021)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>			
	Yes	1.693 (1.419–2.020)	<0.001	0.021
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.032
	Yes	1.952 (1.658–2.297)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Age</i>		<0.001	0.045
	20–29 y	1.846 (1.420–2.401)		
	30–39 y	1.255 (0.955–1.649)		
	40–49 y	0.599 (0.448–1.299)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.089
	<5 y	3.202 (2.656–3.860)		
	5–10 y	3.419 (2.755–4.242)		
	11–15 y	1.034 (0.824–1.297)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.012
	Yes	1.628 (1.383–1.916)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>			
	Yes	2.540 (2.058–3.135)	<0.001	0.032
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.030
	Yes	2.226 (1.853–2.674)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Working hours</i>		<0.001	0.046
	< 20	1.609 (1.313–1.971)		
	20–30	1.670 (1.367–2.040)		
	31–40	2.305 (1.895–2.805)		
	> 40	Reference ^b		
	<i>Patients day</i>		<0.001	0.095
	1–5	3.607 (2.939–4.426)		
	6–10	4.538 (3.683–5.591)		
	11–15	4.389 (3.481–5.535)		
	> 15	Reference ^b		
	<i>Age</i>		<0.001	0.062
Confident in critical appraisal skills	20–29 y	2.279 (1.785–2.910)		
	30–39 y	1.460 (1.132–1.884)		
	40–49 y	0.715 (0.543–1.042)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.104
	<5 y	3.223 (2.701–3.844)		
	5–10 y	3.867 (3.149–4.749)		
	11–15 y	1.159 (0.927–1.450)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.025
	Yes	1.732 (1.484–2.021)		
	No	Reference ^b		

Confident in search skills	<i>Professional membership register</i>			
	Yes	1.693 (1.419–2.020)	<0.001	0.021
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.032
	Yes	1.952 (1.658–2.297)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Working hours</i>		<0.001	0.024
	< 20	1.766 (1.452–2.147)		
	20–30	1.627 (1.344–1.969)		
	31–40	1.449 (1.217–1.725)		
	> 40	Reference ^b		
	<i>Patients day</i>		<0.001	0.074
	1–5	3.183 (2.609–3.882)		
	6–10	3.538 (2.900–4.317)		
	11–15	3.041 (2.452–3.771)		
	> 15	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.038
	<5 y	1.417 (1.116–1.799)		
	5–10 y	3.056 (2.215–4.217)		
	11–15 y	3.783 (2.411–5.936)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.104
	Yes	4.838 (3.909–5.988)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>			
	Yes	5.483 (3.538–8.499)	<0.001	0.048
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.018
	Yes	2.165 (1.639–2.860)		
	No	Reference ^b		

^a Nagelkerke R²; ^b In logistic regression, one level of the independent variable serve as reference against which the odds of the other levels occurring are determined. 95% CI=95% confidence interval. Controlling for country.

Table S3. Factors associated with understanding of specific terms.

The therapists' self-evaluated knowledge of terms associated with EBP (largely understood)	Factor – Level	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P	R ² ^a
Relative risk	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.036
	Male	1.979 (1.729–2.266)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.058
	Yes	2.752 (2.350–3.222)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>			
	Yes	2.635 (2.237–3.104)	<0.001	0.050
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.034
Absolute risk	Yes	2.049 (1.772–2.370)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.019
	Male	1.615 (1.410–1.851)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.026
	Yes	1.873 (1.610–2.178)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>			
	Yes	2.297 (1.944–2.714)	<0.001	0.037
Systematic review	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.017
	Yes	1.614 (1.394–1.868)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.019
	Male	1.822 (1.571–2.114)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.040
	Yes	2.075 (1.779–2.421)		
	No	Reference ^b		
Odds ratio	<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.057
	Yes	2.957 (2.425–3.606)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.047
	Yes	2.306 (1.949–2.728)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.068
	Male	3.055 (2.556–3.652)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.023
	Yes	2.329 (1.800–3.014)		
	No	Reference ^b		

Meta-analysis	<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.056
	Yes	3.019 (2.503–3.642)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.079
	Yes	3.454 (2.887–4.132)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.055
	Male	2.280 (1.992–2.610)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.048
Confidence interval	Yes	2.423 (2.068–2.839)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.091
	Yes	3.740 (3.160–4.427)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.061
	Yes	2.575 (2.224–2.982)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.040
	Male	2.059 (1.802–2.354)		
Heterogeneity	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.043
	Yes	2.434 (2.070–2.861)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.069
	Yes	3.125 (2.660–3.672)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.055
	Yes	2.510 (2.172–2.900)		
	No	Reference ^b		
Publication bias	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.056
	Male	1.994 (1.743–2.281)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.073
	Yes	2.697 (2.293–3.173)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.076
	Yes	2.811 (2.390–3.307)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.058
	Yes	2.138 (1.850–2.472)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.045
	Male	2.158 (1.887–2.467)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.049

Yes	2.593 (2.202–3.053)		
No	Reference ^b		
<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.094
Yes	3.883 (3.290–4.582)		
No	Reference ^b		
<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.068
Yes	2.792 (2.413–3.229)		
No	Reference ^b		

^a Nagelkerke R²; ^b In logistic regression, one level of the independent variable serve as reference against which the odds of the other levels occurring are determined. 95% CI=95% confidence interval. Controlling for country.

Table S4. Association between PTs' characteristics and their attention to and use of the literature.

Attention an use of literature (Good)	Factor – Level	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Model P	Model R ^{2a}
Articles read per month	<i>Age</i>		<0.001	0.061
	20–29 y	3.492 (2.720–4.483)		
	30–39y	2.064 (1.593–2.674)		
	40–49 y	1.522 (1.148–2.018)		
	>50 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Years since licensure</i>		<0.001	0.096
	<5 y	3.752 (3.109–4.527)		
	5–10 y	3.069 (2.500–3.768)		
	11–15 y	1.471 (1.169–1.852)		
	>15 y	Reference ^b		
	<i>Working hours</i>		<0.001	0.074
	< 20	2.396 (1.947–2.948)		
	20–30	2.503 (2.045–3.064)		
	31–40	2.941 (2.427–3.565)		
	> 40	Reference ^b		
	<i>Patients day</i>		<0.001	0.073
Literature used in decisions per month	<5	2.581 (2.112–3.154)		
	5–10	3.252 (2.657–3.979)		
	11–15	3.156 (2.402–4.076)		
	>15	Reference ^b		
	<i>Sex</i>		0.010	0.015
	Male	1.583 (1.372–1.827)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>			
	Yes	1.209 (1.023–1.429)	<0.001	0.002
	No	Reference ^b		

^a Nagelkerke R²; ^b In logistic regression, one level of the independent variable serve as reference against which the odds of the other levels occurring are determined. 95% CI=95% confidence interval. Controlling for country.

Table S5. Association between PTs' characteristics and their access to practical guidelines.

Access to Practical Guidelines	Factor – Level	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Model <i>P</i>	Model <i>R</i> ^{2a}
Guidelines use in practice (Agree)	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.082
	Yes	2.986 (2.532–3.522)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.057
	Yes	2.604 (2.123–3.195)		
	No	Reference ^b		
Guidelines patients' preferences incorporation (Agree)	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.031
	Male	1.554 (1.313–1.838)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.082
	Yes	3.133 (2.566–3.825)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.030
	Yes	2.708 (2.057–3.564)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>			
	Yes	2.681 (1.971–3.647)	<0.001	0.024
	No	Reference ^b		

^a Nagelkerke R^2 ; ^b In logistic regression, one level of the independent variable serve as reference against which the odds of the other levels occurring are determined. 95% CI=95% confidence interval. Controlling for country.

Table S6. Association between PTs' characteristics and their access to and availability of information to promote EBP.

Access and Availably of Information	Factor – Level	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Model <i>P</i>	Model <i>R</i> ^{2a}
Access to relevant databases in practice (Agree)	<i>Participated in continuing education courses</i>		<0.001	0.082
	Yes	2.986 (2.532–3.522)		
	No	Reference		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.057
	Yes	2.604 (2.123–3.195)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Professional membership register</i>		<0.001	0.043
	Yes	2.254 (1.803–2.818)		
	No	Reference ^b		
	<i>Sex</i>		<0.001	0.031
	Male	1.554 (1.313–1.838)		
	Female	Reference ^b		
	<i>Working hours</i>		<0.001	0.046
	< 20	1.551 (1.257–1.915)		
	20–30	2.296 (1.827–2.885)		
	31–40	1.847 (1.511–2.257)		
	> 40	Reference ^b		
	<i>Patients day</i>		<0.001	0.061
	1–5	1.946 (1.574 2.404)		
	6–10	2.980 (2.384 3.726)		
	11–15	2.680 (2.097 3.425)		
	> 15	Reference ^b		
	<i>Type of facility</i>		0.008	0.036
	Acute care hospital	1.338 (0.941–1.904)		
	Acute rehabilitation	1.568 (1.029–2.390)		
	Subacute rehabilitation	1.312 (0.828–2.078)		
	Skilled nursing facility	1.616 (1.071–2.436)		
	Private outpatient clinic	1.141 (0.842–1.546)		
	Facility-based outpatient clinic	1.305 (0.920–1.851)		
	Home care	1.402 (1.006–1.955)		
	School system	1.225 (0.585–2.566)		
	University	2.832 (1.884–4.254)		
	Other	Reference ^b		
	<i>Condition for majority of patients treated</i>		0.003	0.029
	Orthopedic	1.630 (0.816–3.255)		
	Neurological	1.838 (0.890–3.796)		
	Cardiovascular/respiratory	2.405 (1.192–4.851)		
	Pediatric/neonatal	2.363 (0.957–5.836)		
	Geriatric	1.556 (0.423–5.724)		
	Sports rehabilitation	1.314 (0.625–2.759)		
	Others	2.011 (0.956–4.226)		
	No patient care	Reference ^b		

^a Nagelkerke R²; ^b In logistic regression, one level of the independent variable serve as reference against which the odds of the other levels occurring are determined. 95% CI=95% confidence interval. Controlling for country.

Table S7. Association Between PTs' Characteristics and Their Perceived Barriers.

Access and Availably of Information	Factor – Level	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Model P	Model R ^{2 a}
Insufficient time	<i>Years of License</i>		<0.001	0.048
	< 5	0.473 (0.371–0.603)		
	5–10	1.028 (0.795–1.329)		
	11–15	1.025 (0.773–1.359)		
	> 15	Reference ^b		
Lack of information resources	<i>Working hours</i>		<0.001	0.052
	< 20	2.258 (1.715–2.973)		
	20–30	2.218 (1.659–2.966)		
	31–40	3.260 (2.470–4.304)		
	> 40	Reference ^b		
	<i>Patients day</i>		<0.001	0.026
	1–5	1.812 (1.328–2.473)		
	6–10	1.607 (1.175–2.199)		
	11–15	1.936 (1.395–2.688)		
	> 15	Reference ^b		
	<i>Clinical Instructor</i>		<0.001	0.037
	Yes	0.461 (0.361–0.589)		
	No	Reference ^b		
Lack of research skills	<i>Working hours</i>		<0.001	0.024
	< 20	1.481 (1.177–1.864)		
	20–30	1.431 (1.146–1.787)		
	31–40	1.665 (1.358–2.042)		
	> 40	Reference ^b		

^a Nagelkerke R²; ^b In logistic regression, one level of the independent variable serve as reference against which the odds of the other levels occurring are determined. 95% CI=95% confidence interval. Controlling for country.

References

1. Jette DU, Bacon K, Batty C, Carlson M, Ferland A, Hemingway RD, et al. Evidence-based practice: Beliefs, attitudes, knowledge, and behaviors of physical therapists. *Phys Ther.* 2003;83(9).
2. Ferreira RM, Martins PN, Pimenta N, Gonçalves RS. Measuring evidence-based practice in physical therapy: a mix-methods study. *PeerJ.* 2022;9.