

Supplementary Information

1 Formal Proof of the Search Complement Algorithm

In this section we provide a formal proof of the search complement algorithm.

To begin with, in Eq. (1) we provide the analytical form of an arbitrary fully controlled U gate with n top control qubits and m bottom target qubits

$$\mathcal{C}^n(U) = U \otimes |t\rangle\langle t| + I_2^{\otimes m} \otimes I_2^{\otimes n} - I_2^{\otimes m} \otimes |t\rangle\langle t| \quad (1)$$

$|t\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is the state that activates the target gate, U , and I_2 is the 2×2 identity. Notice that $\mathcal{C}^n$ acts as the identity whenever it is applied on a state $|i\rangle$ that is different from $|t\rangle$, but when the state is $|i\rangle = |t\rangle$, then the first and last term in Eq. (1) are activated, and the result is $U|t\rangle$.

The analytical form of the shift operator of the *CNOT* model to perform a UCQTQW on $\mathcal{H}_{2^n}$ is

$$S = \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| \quad (2)$$

Once both shift and coin operators of the system are defined, let us obtain a reduced expression for the operator of the search complement algorithm, $\mathcal{U} = SC'(I_2^{\otimes n} \otimes H^{\otimes n})$, where n is the number of qubits of both position and coin registers.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| \right] \left[H^{\otimes m} \otimes |t\rangle\langle t| + I_m \otimes I_m - I_m \otimes |t\rangle\langle t| \right] \left[I_2^{\otimes m} \otimes H^{\otimes n} \right] \\ \mathcal{U} &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| H^{\otimes m} \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| |t\rangle\langle t| H^{\otimes n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| H^{\otimes n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| |t\rangle\langle t| H^{\otimes n} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Using the property $\langle j|t\rangle = \delta_{jt}$, $\mathcal{U}$ simplifies as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| H^{\otimes m} \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| \delta_{jt} |t\rangle\langle t| H^{\otimes n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| H^{\otimes n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| \delta_{jt} |t\rangle\langle t| H^{\otimes n} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathcal{U} &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |i\rangle\langle i| H^{\otimes m} \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle\langle t| H^{\otimes n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle\langle j| H^{\otimes n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle\langle t| H^{\otimes n} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U} &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |i\rangle \langle i| (H^{\otimes m} - I_m) \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle \langle t| H^{\otimes n} \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle \langle i| \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle \langle j| H^{\otimes n}\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

Next, we use Eq. (6) to calculate the first step of the quantum walk. Consider the initial state of the quantum walk to be

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |r\rangle \otimes |s\rangle \quad (7)$$

Then

$$|\psi_1\rangle = \mathcal{U} |\psi_0\rangle \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi_1\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |i\rangle \langle i| (H^{\otimes m} - I_m) |r\rangle \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle \langle i| r\rangle \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle \langle j| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi_1\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |i\rangle \langle i| H^{\otimes m} |r\rangle \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |i\rangle \langle i| r\rangle \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle \langle i| r\rangle \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle \langle j| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi_1\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |i\rangle \langle i| H^{\otimes m} |r\rangle \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} |i\rangle \delta_{ir} \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} |i\rangle \delta_{ir} \otimes |j \oplus i\rangle \langle j| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Thus, the final expression for $|\psi_1\rangle$ is given by Eq. (12).

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi_1\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \langle i| H^{\otimes m} |r\rangle \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \left(|i\rangle \otimes |t \oplus i\rangle \right) \\ &- \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \left(|r\rangle \otimes |t \oplus r\rangle \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle j| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \left(|r\rangle \otimes |j \oplus r\rangle \right)\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

Now, let us get the probability of finding the walker at an arbitrary position $|k\rangle$ when measured. For this, let us apply the measurement operator $M_k = I_m \otimes |k\rangle \langle k|$ to state $|\psi_1\rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned}M_k |\psi_1\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \langle i| H^{\otimes m} |r\rangle \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \langle k| t \oplus i\rangle \left(|i\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \right) \\ &- \langle t| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \langle k| t \oplus r\rangle \left(|r\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle j| H^{\otimes n} |s\rangle \langle k| j \oplus r\rangle \left(|r\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \right)\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow M_k |\psi_1\rangle &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^m-1} \langle i|H^{\otimes m}|r\rangle \langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \delta_{k,t\oplus i} \left(|i\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \right) \\
&\quad - \langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \delta_{k,t\oplus r} \left(|r\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \langle j|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \delta_{k,j\oplus r} \left(|r\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Now, in order to contract the Kronecker deltas in the last expression and to facilitate calculations, consider two integers u and v such that

$$k = t \oplus u \tag{15}$$

$$k = v \oplus r \tag{16}$$

This allows us to turn Eq. (14) into

$$\begin{aligned}
M_k |\psi_1\rangle &= \langle u|H^{\otimes m}|r\rangle \langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \left(|u\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\langle v|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle - \delta_{k,t\oplus r} \langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \right) \left(|r\rangle \otimes |k\rangle \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The probability is then given by the squared modulus of this expression, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow |M_k |\psi_1\rangle|^2 &= \left(\langle u|H^{\otimes m}|r\rangle \right)^2 \left(\langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\langle v|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle - \delta_{k,t\oplus r} \langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \right)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|M_k |\psi_1\rangle|^2 &= \left(\langle u|H^{\otimes m}|r\rangle \right)^2 \left(\langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\langle v|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \right)^2 + \delta_{k,t\oplus r} \left(\langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \right)^2 \\
&\quad - \delta_{k,t\oplus r} \langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \langle v|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Notice then that $\langle a|H^{\otimes m}|b\rangle$ is the (a,b) -th entry in the m dimensional Hadamard matrix. That is,

$$\langle a|H^{\otimes m}|b\rangle = \frac{(-1)^{a \cdot b}}{\sqrt{2^m}} \tag{21}$$

where $a \cdot b$ is the *binary dot product* or the number 1 bits a and b have in common in their binary representations. Notice then that the value of $a \cdot b$ does not matter when obtaining the square of the entry

$$\left(\langle a|H^{\otimes m}|b\rangle \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2^m} \tag{22}$$

This turns Eq. (20) into

$$p_k = \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) + \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{\delta_{k,t\oplus r}}{2^n} - \delta_{k,t\oplus r} \langle t|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \langle v|H^{\otimes n}|s\rangle \tag{23}$$

Which is the general expression for the probability of measuring a node using the search complement algorithm.

Notice that we still have one Kronecker delta left, which leaves us with two cases.

1. Case 1: When $k \neq t \oplus r$

The probability of measuring the state associated to node k is

$$p(v_k) = \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \tag{24}$$

2. Case 2: When $k = t \oplus r$

The probability of measuring the state associated to node k is

$$p(v_k) = \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} + \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} - 2 \langle t | H^{\otimes n} | s \rangle \langle v | H^{\otimes n} | s \rangle \quad (25)$$

Moreover, as v is defined by $k = v \oplus r$ and $k = t \oplus r$, we must have $v = t$, and thus:

$$\begin{aligned} p(v_k) &= \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} + \frac{2}{2^n} - 2 \left(\langle t | H^{\otimes n} | s \rangle \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} + \frac{2}{2^n} - \frac{2}{2^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, given that the coin state is represented with the same number of bits as the position state in $|\psi\rangle$, that is $m = n$, we get the following final expression for the probability distribution of a quantum walker:

$$p(v_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4^n} & \text{if } k = t \oplus r \\ \frac{1}{4^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} & \text{if } k \neq t \oplus r \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

In the case where $|r\rangle = |0\rangle$, then the probability of measuring the target state is $p(v_t) = \frac{1}{4^n}$, while the probability of measuring any other state is $p(v_{k \neq t}) = \frac{1}{4^n} + \frac{1}{2^n}$. Thus, the probability of measuring the target state $|t\rangle$ is indeed minimized.

To prove that Eq. (26) is indeed a probability distribution we calculate the sum of all probabilities, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} p(v_i) = p(v_{t \oplus r}) + \sum_{i \neq t \oplus r} p(v_i)$$

Given that there are $2^n - 1$ non-target states, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} p(v_i) = \frac{1}{4^n} + (2^n - 1) \left(\frac{1}{4^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} \right) = \frac{1}{4^n} + \left(\frac{1}{2^n} + 1 \right) - \left(\frac{1}{4^n} + \frac{1}{2^n} \right)$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} p(v_i) = 1$$

2 Calibration Data

In this section we provide the calibration parameters of the quantum processor imbq_manila at the time of running the search complement algorithm to obtain the experimental data for Figure 14 and Table 1 in the main text.

Table 1. Calibration of quantum processor imbq_manila when executing the search complement algorithm. The results of the algorithm are shown in Figure 14 and Table 1 of the main text.

Qubit/Parameter	Q_0	Q_1	Q_2	Q_3	Q_4
$T_1(\mu s)$	149.09	203.7	161.46	203.01	108.82
$T_2(\mu s)$	90.93	87.48	22.82	58.99	17.28
Frequency (GHz)	4.963	4.838	5.037	4.951	5.066
Anharmonicity (GHz)	-0.34335	-0.34621	-0.34366	-0.34355	-0.34211
Readout assignment error (10^{-2})	2.05	1.71	1.99	1.95	2.09
Prob meas0 prep1	0.0306	0.152	0.03	0.0256	0.0316
Prob meas1 prep0	0.0104	0.1902	0.0098	0.0134	0.0102
Readout length (ns)	5351.111	5351.111	5351.111	5351.111	5351.111
ID error (10^{-4})	1.83	6.84	3.18	2.09	4.19
$\sqrt{x}$ error (10^{-4})	1.83	6.84	3.18	2.09	4.19
Single-qubit Pauli-X error (10^{-4})	1.83	6.84	3.18	2.09	4.19
CNOT error (10^{-2})	0-1:1.335	1-2:1.715; 1-0:1.335	2-3:1.126; 2-1:1.715	3-4:0.5390; 3-2:1.126	4-3:0.5390
Gate time (ns)	0-1:277.333	1-2:469.333; 1-0:312.889	2-3:355.556; 2-1:504.889	3-4:334.222; 3-2:391.111	4-3:298.667