

*This manuscript has been previously reviewed at another Nature Research journal. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at Communications Physics.*

REVIEWERS' COMMENTS:

Reviewer #1 (Remarks to the Author):

I believe the authors have successfully responded to all my concerns that I had with the previous manuscript, as such, I recommend the publication of this work in Communications Physics as it's present form.

Reviewer #2 (Remarks to the Author):

The authors have addressed all questions from all reviewers in satisfactory fashion, therefore I would recommend the paper to be published as is.

Reviewer #3 (Remarks to the Author):

Reading the comments by the previous referees and reply from the author, I feel that most of the questions are answered. The authors seem, however, try to avoid facing the referees's criticisms by major revisions, which, I partially understand considering the present corona situation. The revised manuscript is surely improved, although not significantly, and contains new results worth publishing. I think that it is not suitable to cause further delay by asking further major improvements, and the present manuscript can be published in Comm. Physics.