

<i>n</i> = 4	DNA content fraction				
	<2C	2C	2C - 4C	4C	>4C
WT	2.2% ± 0.3%	87.9% ± 0.1%	4.5% ± 0.5%	3.5% ± 0.4%	1.3% ± 0.1%
<i>cap-g</i> ^{s105}	6.8% ± 1.7%	65.8% ± 4.0%	6.1% ± 0.5%	15.5% ± 1.3%	4.2% ± 0.7%
MO ^{<i>cap-h</i>}	4.3% ± 1.9%	70.1% ± 3.7%	8.2% ± 0.8%	13.1% ± 0.3%	2.6% ± 1.0%
MO ^{<i>cap-h</i> + <i>p53</i>}	3.7% ± 1.2%	74.2% ± 8.7%	6.6% ± 2.1%	11.2% ± 3.8%	3.0% ± 1.2%
MO ^{<i>cap-d2</i>}	9.8% ± 5.4%	61.4% ± 10.7%	11.3% ± 3.0%	10.0% ± 1.5%	4.7% ± 1.5%
MO ^{<i>cap-d2</i> + <i>p53</i>}	7.6% ± 3.5%	64.4% ± 14.2%	9.3% ± 3.2%	12.2% ± 4.2%	4.8% ± 2.9%
Statistical significance p-values	WT vs <i>cap-g</i> ^{s105}				
	p < 0.05	p < 0.01	p < 0.01	p < 0.001	p < 0.01
	WT vs MO ^{<i>cap-h</i>}				
	p > 0.05	p < 0.01	p < 0.01	p < 0.001	p > 0.05
	WT vs MO ^{<i>cap-d2</i>}				
	p > 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p < 0.005	p < 0.05
	MO ^{<i>cap-h</i>} vs MO ^{<i>cap-h</i> + <i>p53</i>}				
	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05
	MO ^{<i>cap-d2</i>} vs MO ^{<i>cap-d2</i> + <i>p53</i>}				
	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05	p > 0.05

Table 2
Seipold et al., 2009