

Table A2: Characteristics of microsatellite loci applied in the study. All were run in the same panel; i.e. run in the same capillary.

Locus information			Amplification details			Genetic diversity		
<i>Reference</i> ^a	<i>Locus</i> ^b	<i>Label</i> ^c	<i>MP</i> ^d	<i>Primer</i> (μ M) ^e	<i>PCR</i> ^f	<i>Na</i> ^g	<i>Allele-range</i> ^h	<i>Uhe</i> ⁱ
Genebank: AF151370	BFRO13	FAM	MP1	0.09	58	5	235-251	0.66
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	213	FAM	single	0.38	60	11	283-327	0.78
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	414	FAM	MP2	0.20	60	7	389-413	0.71
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	309	FAM	MP4	0.57	59	2	447-451	0.47
(Sušnik <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	BFRO10	VIC	MP1	0.08	58	5	96-128	0.33
(Sušnik <i>et al.</i> , 1999b)	BFRO15	VIC	MP1	0.04	58	2	144-154	0.50
(Sušnik <i>et al.</i> , 1999b)	BFRO18	VIC	MP1	0.04	58	5	181-195	0.59
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	207	VIC	MP1	0.10	58	2	216-224	0.47
(Sušnik <i>et al.</i> , 1999a)	BFRO9	VIC	MP1	0.05	58	2	243-247	0.16
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	438	VIC	single	0.34	60	10	261-297	0.79
(Sušnik <i>et al.</i> , 2000)	BFRO11	NED	MP3	0.30	59	2	86-102	0.43
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	313	NED	MP2	0.18	60	6	180-200	0.75
(Olsen <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	Ogo2	NED	MP1	0.07	58	4	233-245	0.63
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	433b	NED	MP3	0.18	59	8	291-319	0.69
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	445	NED	MP4	0.13	59	13	374-422	0.85
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	419a	PET	single	0.24	58	2	106-110	0.29
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	415	PET	MP3	0.33	59	10	189-225	0.76
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	211	PET	MP2	0.25	60	5	232-240	0.08
(Junge <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	214	PET	MP1	0.14	58	3	292-313	0.53

^aPublication of the locus or Genebank accession number if unpublished.

^bCommon name of the locus

^cLabel, Fluorescent dye used

^dMP, Multiplex group or single locus PCR amplification

^ePrimer concentration in the PCR-mix

^fAnnealing temperature profile of the PCR program used

^gNa, Number of alleles found in the locus

^hA-range, Range of the length (bp) of alleles

ⁱH_E, Unbiased expected heterozygosity in the locus

Marker information and methodological details are described in detail in Junge *et al.* (2009) and Koskinen and Primmer (2001).

References

Junge C, Primmer CR, Vøllestad AV, Leder EH (2009). Isolation and characterization of 19 new microsatellites for European grayling, *Thymallus thymallus* (Linnaeus, 1758), and their cross-amplification in four other salmonid species. *Conserv Genet Resour* DOI: 10.1007/s12686-009-9147-z.

Koskinen MT, Primmer CR (2001). High throughput analysis of 17 microsatellite loci in grayling (*Thymallus* spp. Salmonidae). *Conserv Genet* **2**: 173–177.

Olsen JB, Bentzen P, Seeb JE (1998). Characterization of seven microsatellite loci derived from pink salmon. *Mol Ecol* **7**: 1083–1090.

Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol Ecol Notes* **6**: 288-295.

Sušnik S, Snoj A, Dovc P (1999a). Microsatellites in grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*): Comparison of two geographically remote populations from the Danubian and Adriatic river basin in Slovenia. *Mol Ecol* **8**: 1756–1758.

Sušnik S, Snoj A, Dovc P (1999b). A new set of microsatellite markers for grayling: *BFRO014*, *BFRO015*, *BFRO016*, *BFRO017* and *BFRO018*. *Anim Genet* **30**: 462–478.

Sušnik S, Snoj A, Jesenšek D, Dovc P (2000). Microsatellite DNA markers (BFRO010 and BFRO011) for grayling. *J Anim Sci* **78**: 488–489.