

Box 1. Note from the editors

The Editors-in-Chief of *Implementation Science* invited this editorial following a consultation with our editorial team and Editorial Board. They identified “de-implementation” as an important theme, which deserves more attention than it currently receives. We regard de-implementation broadly as “stopping practices that are not evidence-based”. We encourage further papers on this theme and will include these in a special article series in the journal to enhance their visibility. All submissions will be reviewed and handled according to our normal procedures. In addition, we welcome and encourage comments in response to the accompanying editorial, using the comment feature of the journal’s platform. These comments, while moderated, are intended to stimulate discussion and debate within the implementation research community. In both papers and comments, we welcome a range of perspectives and rigorous studies on the theme of de-implementation, including (but not limited to) contributions that cover psychological, organizational or economic factors. We intend to promote other themes in the future.