

Real-World Evidence of Nivolumab Monotherapy in Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma from a Multicenter Retrospective Cohort Study by the Spanish Genitourinary Oncology Group

Natalia Fernández-Díaz^{1,2,3}, María Mateos-González^{1,2}, Ana Pertejo-Fernández⁴, Juan Diego Cacho-Lavín⁵, María José Juan-Fita⁶, Isabel Chirivella-González⁷, Mikel Arruti-Ibarbia⁸, Ovidio Fernández-Calvo⁹, Natalia Fernández-Núñez¹⁰, María José Méndez-Vidal¹¹, Martín Lázaro-Quintela¹², Aurea Molina-Díaz¹³, Nieves Del Pozo-Alonso¹⁴, Olatz Etxaniz-Ulazia¹⁵, Silvia Margarita García-Acuña¹⁶, Yoel Z. Betancor^{2,3,17}, Ainara Azueta-Etxebarria^{18,δ}, Ana Calatrava-Fons¹⁹, Helena Lombardía-Rodríguez^{2,3}, Lorena Alarcón-Molero^{20,Ω}, Leire Etxegarai-Ganboa²¹, Abraham Antón-Cameselle^{2,3}, José Antonio Bello-Giz²², Carlos Manuel Neira-De Paz²³, Teresa Cabaleiro²⁴, Teresa González-Serrano²⁵, José Antonio Ortiz-Rey²⁶, Felipe Sacristán-Lista²⁷, Silvia García-Rubín², Elisa Ortega²⁸, Cristina Carrato-Moñino²⁹, Santiago Aguin-Losada^{1,2}, Luis León-Mateos^{1,2,3,30}, Jorge García-González^{1,2,30}, Álvaro Pinto-Marín⁴, Ignacio Duran⁵, Rafael López-López^{1,2,3,30}, Urbano Anido-Herranz^{1,2}, Juan Ruiz-Bañobre^{1,2,3,30,#}

Affiliations:

1. Department of Medical Oncology, University Clinical Hospital of Santiago de Compostela (SERGAS), Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
2. Translational Medical Oncology Group (ONCOMET), Health Research Institute of Santiago de Compostela (IDIS), Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
3. University of Santiago de Compostela (USC), Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
4. Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario La Paz - IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain.
5. Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, Santander, Spain.
6. Department of Medical Oncology, Fundación Instituto Valenciano de Oncología (IVO), Valencia, Spain.
7. Department of Medical Oncology, INCLIVA Biomedical Research Institute, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain.
8. Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Galdakao-Usansolo, Bizkaia, Spain.
9. Department of Medical Oncology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Ourense, Ourense, Spain.
10. Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario Lucus Augusti, Lugo, Spain.
11. Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía, Maimonides Institute for Biomedical Research of Córdoba (IMIBIC), Córdoba, Spain.
12. Department of Medical Oncology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Vigo, Vigo, Spain.
13. Department of Medical Oncology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain.
14. Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Universitario del Vinalopó, Elche, Alicante, Spain.
15. Department of Medical Oncology, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Barcelona, Spain.

16. Department of Pathology, University Clinical Hospital of Santiago de Compostela, University of Santiago de Compostela (USC), Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
 17. Genomes and Disease, Centre for Research in Molecular Medicine and Chronic Diseases (CiMUS), University of Santiago de Compostela (USC), 15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
 18. Department of Pathology, Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, Santander, Spain.
 19. Department of Pathology, Fundación Instituto Valenciano de Oncología (IVO), Valencia, Spain.
 20. Department of Pathology, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain.
 21. Department of Pathology, Hospital Galdakao-Usansolo, Bizkaia, Spain.
 22. Department of Pathology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Ourense, Ourense, Spain.
 23. Department of Pathology, Hospital Universitario Lucus Augusti, Lugo, Spain.
 24. Fundación Pública Galega Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago de Compostela, University Clinical Hospital of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.
 25. Department of Pathology, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía, Maimonides Institute for Biomedical Research of Córdoba (IMIBIC), Córdoba, Spain.
 26. Department of Pathology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Vigo, Vigo, Spain.
 27. Department of Pathology, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain.
 28. Department of Pathology, Hospital Universitario del Vinalopó, Elche, Alicante, Spain.
 29. Department of Pathology, Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Barcelona, Spain.
 30. Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Cáncer (CIBERONC), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain.
- ^δ Current affiliation: Department of Pathology, Basurto University Hospital, Translational Prostate Cancer Research Lab, CIC bioGUNE-Basurto, Biocruces Bizkaia Health Research Institute, Bilbao, Spain.
- ^Ω Current affiliation: Department of Pathology, General Hospital of Valdepeñas, Ciudad Real, Spain.

#Corresponding author:

Dr. Juan Ruiz-Bañobre; Medical Oncology Department, University Clinical Hospital of Santiago de Compostela, Travesía da Choupana S/N, 15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain. Phone: +034 981 951 470. ORCID: 0000-0003-0755-4295. Email: juan.ruiz.banobre@sergas.es

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Tables:

- Supplementary Table 1
- Supplementary Table 2
- Supplementary Table 3
- Supplementary Table 4
- Supplementary Table 5
- Supplementary Table 6
- Supplementary Table 7
- Supplementary Table 8
- Supplementary Table 9
- Supplementary Table 10
- Supplementary Table 11
- Supplementary Table 12
- Supplementary Table 13
- Supplementary Table 14

Supplementary Table 1. Number of patients included per medical center.

Medical center	Patients, <i>n</i> (%)
CHUAC	11 (5)
CHUO	14 (6)
CHUS	49 (22)
CHUVI	12 (5)
HCUV	16 (7)
HGU	16 (7)
HULA	13 (6)
HUMV	21 (10)
HULP	26 (12)
HURS	12 (5)
ICO	5 (2)
IVO	19 (9)
HUV	8 (4)

Abbreviations: CHUAC, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de A Coruña; CHUO, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Ourense; CHUS, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago de Compostela; CHUVI, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Vigo; HCUV, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia; HGU, Hospital Galdakao-Usansolo; HULA, Hospital Universitario Lucus Augusti; HUMV, Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla; HULP, Hospital Universitario La Paz; HURS, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía; ICO, Catalan Institute of Oncology; IVO, Instituto Valenciano de Oncología; HUV, Hospital Universitario del Vinalopó.

Supplementary Table 2. Drugs received prior to nivolumab.

Characteristics	Patients
First line, <i>n</i> (%)	222
Pazopanib	89 (40)
Sunitinib	120 (54)
Other ^a	13 (6)
Second line, <i>n</i> (%)	79
Axitinib	18 (26)
Cabozantinib	23 (33)
Everolimus	13 (19)
Pazopanib	9 (13)
Sunitinib	7 (11)
Other ^b	9 (13)
Third line or beyond, <i>n</i> (%)	24
Axitinib	11
Cabozantinib	7
Everolimus	5
Sunitinib	4
Other ^c	8
Median nivolumab doses, <i>n</i> (range)	7 (1-125)
Discontinued treatment, <i>n</i> (%)	203 (91)
Reasons for discontinuation, <i>n</i> (%)	
Progression	156 (70)
Death	9 (4)
Adverse event	23 (10)
Other	24 (11)

^aAxitinib (n=1), Everolimus (n=4), Sorafenib (n=2), Chemotherapy (n=4), Interleukin-2 (n=1), Tivozanib (n=1).

^bSorafenib (n=6), Savolitinib (n=2), Chemotherapy (n=1).

^cPazopanib (n=3), Sorafenib (n=3), Chemotherapy (n=1), Interferon alfa (n=1).

Supplementary Table 3. Univariable logistic regression analyses for response.

Characteristics	Univariable analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	P value
Sex (male vs female)	0.89 (0.45-1.81)	0.74
Age (<75 vs ≥75 years)	0.54 (0.19-1.29)	0.2
ECOG-PS (≥2 vs 0-1)	0.32 (0.05-1.15)	0.13
IMDC risk score (poor vs intermediate/good/missing)	0.47 (0.17-1.11)	0.11
Histology (ccRCC vs non-ccRCC/missing)	0.99 (0.44-2.49)	0.99
Prior nephrectomy (yes vs no)	0.80 (0.31-2.33)	0.65
Corticosteroid use (yes vs no/missing)	0.74 (0.16-2.41)	0.65
CNS metastases (yes vs no)	1.94 (0.49-6.71)	0.31
Number of prior therapies (>1 vs 1)	0.53 (0.25-1.03)	0.07
Number of metastatic sites (≥3 vs <3)	0.70 (0.37-1.32)	0.28

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CI, confidence interval; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; IMDC, International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium; OR, odds-ratio.

Supplementary Table 4. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses for disease control.

Characteristics	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Sex (male vs female)	0.90 (0.49-1.62)	0.72	-	-
Age (<75 vs ≥75 years)	0.53 (0.26-1.07)	0.08	-	-
ECOG-PS (≥2 vs 0-1)	0.34 (0.12-0.88)	0.03	0.49 (0.16-1.42)	0.2
IMDC risk score (poor vs intermediate/good/missing)	0.38 (0.18-0.76)	0.007	0.56 (0.25-1.2)	0.14
Histology (ccRCC vs non-ccRCC/missing)	1.41 (0.68-2.98)	0.36	-	-
Prior nephrectomy (yes vs no)	1.97 (0.81-5.14)	0.14	-	-
Corticosteroid use (yes vs no/missing)	0.94 (0.34-2.66)	0.91	-	-
CNS metastases (yes vs no)	2.64 (0.74-12.31)	0.16	-	-
Number of prior therapies (>1 vs 1)	0.51 (0.28-0.88)	0.02	1.58 (0.86-2.82)	0.15
Number of metastatic sites (≥3 vs <3)	0.34 (0.20-0.59)	0.0001	0.40 (0.23-0.70)	0.002

Bold numbers indicate statistically significant values.

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CI, confidence interval; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; IMDC, International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium; OR, odds-ratio.

Supplementary Table 5. Distribution of histology by patient and disease characteristics.

Characteristics	ccRCC histology (n=188, 85%)	Non-ccRCC histology (n=33, 15%)	P value
Sex, n (%)			1
Male	137 (73)	24 (73)	
Female	51 (27)	9 (27)	
Age, n (%)			0.46
<75 years	153 (81)	29 (88)	
≥75 years	35 (19)	4 (12)	
ECOG-PS, n (%)			1
0-1	170 (90)	30 (91)	
≥2	18 (10)	3 (9)	
IMDC risk score, n (%)			1
Intermediate/good	148 (79)	27 (82)	
Poor	37 (20)	6 (18)	
Missing	3 (1)	0 (0)	
Prior nephrectomy, n (%)			0.53
Yes	171 (91)	29 (88)	
No	17 (9)	4 (12)	
Corticosteroid use, n (%)			0.26
Yes	16 (8)	0 (0)	
No	171 (91)	33 (100)	
Missing	1 (1)	0 (0)	
CNS metastases, n (%)			0.38
Yes	11 (6)	0 (0)	
No	177 (94)	33 (100)	
Number of prior therapies, n (%)			0.69
1	66 (35)	10 (30)	
>1	122 (65)	23 (70)	
Number of metastatic sites, n (%)			0.57
<3	102 (54)	20 (61)	
≥3	86 (46)	13 (39)	

Of the 222 cases, one was excluded from group comparison because histology was not specified (n = 221).

P values were computed excluding missing cases.

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CNS, central nervous system; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; IMDC, International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium.

Supplementary Table 6. Distribution of IMDC risk score by patient and disease characteristics.

Characteristics	IMDC risk score intermediate/good (n=176, 80%)	IMDC risk score poor (n=43, 20%)	P value
Sex, n (%)			0.57
Male	130 (74)	30 (70)	
Female	46 (26)	13 (30)	
Age, n (%)			0.66
<75 years	143 (81)	37 (86)	
≥75 years	33 (19)	6 (14)	
ECOG-PS, n (%)			<0.0001
0-1	168 (95)	30 (70)	
≥2	8 (5)	13 (30)	
Histology, n (%)			1
ccRCC	148 (84)	37 (86)	
Non-ccRCC	27 (15)	6 (14)	
Missing	1 (1)	0 (0)	
Prior nephrectomy, n (%)			1
Yes	158 (90)	39 (91)	
No	18 (10)	4 (9)	
Corticosteroid use, n (%)			0.62
Yes	12 (7)	4 (9)	
No	163 (92)	39 (91)	
Missing	1 (1)	0 (0)	
CNS metastases, n (%)			1
Yes	9 (5)	2 (5)	
No	167 (95)	41 (95)	
Number of prior therapies, n (%)			0.03
1	54 (31)	21 (49)	
>1	122 (69)	22 (51)	
Number of metastatic sites, n (%)			0.02
<3	103 (59)	16 (37)	
≥3	73 (41)	27 (63)	

Of the 222 cases, three were excluded from group comparison because IMDC risk score was not specified (n = 219).

Bold numbers indicate statistically significant values.

P values were computed excluding missing cases.

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CNS, central nervous system; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; IMDC, International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium.

Supplementary Table 7. Distribution of prior nephrectomy by patient and disease characteristics.

Characteristics	Prior nephrectomy (n = 200, 90%)	No prior nephrectomy (n= 22, 10%)	P value
Sex, n (%)			0.2
Male	143 (72)	19 (86)	
Female	57 (28)	3 (14)	
Age, n (%)			0.55
<75 years	166 (83)	17 (77)	
≥75 years	34 (17)	5 (23)	
ECOG-PS, n (%)			0.45
0-1	182 (91)	19 (86)	
≥2	18 (9)	3 (14)	
IMDC risk score, n (%)			1
Intermediate/good	158 (80)	18 (82)	
Poor	39 (19)	4 (18)	
Missing	3 (1)	0 (0)	
Histology, n (%)			0.08
Clear cell	171 (86)	17 (77)	
Non-clear cell	29 (14)	4 (18)	
Missing	0 (0)	1 (5)	
Corticosteroid use, n (%)			0.66
Yes	14 (7)	2 (9)	
No	185 (92)	20 (91)	
Missing	1 (1)	0 (0)	
CNS metastases, n (%)			1
Yes	10 (5)	1 (5)	
No	190 (95)	21 (95)	
Number of prior therapies, n (%)			0.1
1	128 (64)	18 (82)	
>1	72 (36)	4 (18)	
Number of metastatic sites, n (%)			0.82
<3	109 (55)	13 (59)	
≥3	91 (45)	9 (41)	

P values were computed excluding missing cases.

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CNS, central nervous system; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; IMDC, International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium.

Supplementary Table 8. Distribution of number of metastatic sites by patient and disease characteristics.

Characteristics	<3 metastatic sites (n = 122, 55%)	≥3 metastatic sites (n = 100, 45%)	P value
Sex, n (%)			0.45
Male	92 (75)	70 (70)	
Female	30 (25)	30 (30)	
Age, n (%)			1
<75 years	101 (83)	82 (82)	
≥75 years	21 (17)	18 (18)	
ECOG-PS, n (%)			0.11
0-1	114 (93)	87 (87)	
≥2	8 (7)	13 (13)	
IMDC risk score, n (%)			0.02
Intermediate/good	103 (86)	73 (73)	
Poor	16 (12)	27 (27)	
Missing	3 (2)	0 (0)	
Histology, n (%)			0.57
Clear cell	102 (84)	86 (86)	
Non-clear cell	20 (16)	13 (13)	
Missing	0 (0)	1 (1)	
Corticosteroid use, n (%)			0.07
Yes	5 (4)	11 (11)	
No	117 (96)	88 (88)	
Missing	0 (0)	1 (1)	
CNS metastases, n (%)			0.03
Yes	2 (2)	9 (9)	
No	120 (98)	91 (91)	
Prior nephrectomy, n (%)			0.82
Yes	109 (89)	91 (91)	
No	13 (11)	9 (9)	
Number of prior therapies, n (%)			0.007
1	32 (26)	44 (44)	
>1	90 (74)	56 (56)	

Bold numbers indicate statistically significant values.

P values were computed excluding missing cases.

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CNS, central nervous system; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; IMDC, International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium

Supplementary Table 9. Distribution of central nervous system metastases by patient and disease characteristics.

Characteristics	CNS metastases (n = 11, 5%)	No CNS metastases (n = 211, 95%)	P value
Sex, n (%)			0.49
Male	7 (64)	155 (73)	
Female	4 (36)	56 (27)	
Age, n (%)			0.22
<75 years	11 (100)	172 (82)	
≥75 years	0 (0)	39 (18)	
ECOG-PS, n (%)			0.28
0-1	9 (82)	192 (91)	
≥2	2 (18)	19 (9)	
IMDC risk score, n (%)			1
Intermediate/good	9 (82)	167 (80)	
Poor	2 (18)	41 (19)	
Missing	0 (0)	3 (1)	
Histology, n (%)			0.37
Clear cell	11 (100)	177 (84)	
Non-clear cell	0 (0)	33 (15)	
Missing	0 (0)	1 (1)	
Corticosteroid use, n (%)			< 0.0001
Yes	5 (45)	10 (4)	
No	6 (55)	200 (95)	
Missing	0 (0)	1 (1)	
Prior nephrectomy, n (%)			1
Yes	10 (91)	190 (90)	
No	1 (9)	21 (10)	
Number of prior therapies, n (%)			0.75
1	3 (27)	73 (35)	
>1	8 (73)	138 (65)	
Number of metastatic sites, n (%)			0.03
<3	2 (18)	120 (57)	
≥3	9 (82)	91 (43)	

Bold numbers indicate statistically significant values.

P values were computed excluding missing cases.

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma; CNS, central nervous system; ECOG-PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; IMDC, International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium.

Supplementary Table 10. Treatment response by histology.

	ccRCC (n = 188, 85%)	Non-ccRCC (n = 33, 15%)	P value
Response, n (%)	45 (24)	7 (21)	0.83
Complete response	15	0	
Partial response	30	7	
No response, n (%)	143 (76)	26 (79)	
Stable disease	55	7	
Progression disease	76	17	
Not evaluable	12	2	
Disease control, n (%)	100 (53)	14 (42)	0.26
Complete response	15	0	
Partial response	30	7	
Stable disease	55	7	
No disease control, n (%)	88 (47)	19 (58)	
Progressive disease	76	17	
Not evaluable	12	2	

Of the 222 cases, one was excluded from group comparison because histology was not specified (n = 221).

Abbreviations: ccRCC, clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

Supplementary Table 11. Treatment response by IMDC risk score.

	IMDC risk score intermediate/good (n = 176, 80%)	IMDC risk score poor (n = 43, 20%)	P value
Response, n (%)	45 (26)	6 (14)	0.16
Complete response	13	0	
Partial response	32	6	
No response, n (%)	131 (74)	37 (86)	
Stable disease	54	8	
Progression disease	68	24	0.006
Not evaluable	9	5	
Disease control, n (%)	99 (56)	14 (33)	
Complete response	13	0	
Partial response	32	6	
Stable disease	54	8	
No disease control, n (%)	77 (44)	29 (67)	
Progressive disease	68	24	
Not evaluable	9	5	

Of the 222 cases, three were excluded from group comparison because IMDC risk score was not specified (n = 219).

Bold numbers indicate statistically significant values.

Abbreviations: IMDC, International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium.

Supplementary Table 12. Treatment response by prior nephrectomy.

	Prior nephrectomy (n = 200, 90%)	No prior nephrectomy (n = 22, 10%)	P value
Response, n (%)	47 (23)	6 (27)	0.79
Complete response	15	0	
Partial response	32	6	
No response, n (%)	153 (77)	16 (73)	
Stable disease	60	2	
Progression disease	83	10	
Not evaluable	10	4	
Disease control, n (%)	107 (54)	8 (36)	0.18
Complete response	15	0	
Partial response	32	6	
Stable disease	60	2	
No disease control, n (%)	93 (46)	14 (64)	
Progressive disease	83	10	
Not evaluable	10	4	

Supplementary Table 13. Treatment response by central nervous system metastases.

	CNS metastases (n = 11, 5%)	No CNS metastases (n = 211, 95%)	P value
Response, n (%)	4 (36)	49 (23)	0.3
Complete response	2	13	
Partial response	2	36	
No response, n (%)	7 (64)	162 (77)	
Stable disease	4	58	
Progression disease	3	90	
Not evaluable	0	14	
Disease control, n (%)	8 (73)	107 (51)	0.22
Complete response	2	13	
Partial response	2	36	
Stable disease	4	58	
No disease control, n (%)	3 (27)	104 (49)	
Progressive disease	3	90	
Not evaluable	0	14	

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system.

Supplementary Table 14. Distribution of treatment response by number of metastatic sites.

	<3 metastatic sites (n = 122, 55%)	≥3 metastatic sites (n = 100, 45%)	P value
Response, n (%)	32 (26)	21 (21)	0.43
Complete response	12	3	
Partial response	20	18	
No response, n (%)	90 (74)	79 (79)	
Stable disease	45	17	
Progression disease	40	53	
Not evaluable	5	9	
Disease control, n (%)	77 (63)	38 (38)	0.0003
Complete response	12	3	
Partial response	20	18	
Stable disease	45	17	
No disease control, n (%)	45 (37)	62 (62)	
Progressive disease	40	53	
Not evaluable	5	9	

Bold numbers indicate statistically significant values.