

	b) Have the authors complied with community-established norms of data deposition (for a list of mandated data deposition and appropriate repositories please follow the table here)?	<i>Present and sufficient</i>
Code availability	a) Is the code available in a public repository (or if not yet available, is it clear how it will be made available upon publication)? Is the code in a form that can be used and understood by others, including being readable at a line-by-line level in terms of syntax and comments? b) Is there a clear, documented workflow (including data preparation/cleaning steps and analyses) to reproduce the results? Are all key results (figures and tables) supported by the documented workflow?	<i>Present and sufficient</i>
Reproducibility	a) Does the description of the methodology give potential for reproducibility of the work?	<i>Present and sufficient</i>

For further information on a specific journal, please refer to the journal's website or contact the journal's Editorial Office.

Detailed checklists are available for a number of study designs, including:

- Randomized controlled trials (CONSORT) and protocols (SPIRIT)
- Systematic reviews and meta-analyses* (PRISMA) and protocols (PRISMA-P)
- Observational studies (STROBE)
- Case reports (CARE)
- Qualitative research (COREQ)
- Diagnostic/prognostic studies (STARD and TRIPOD)
- Economic evaluations (CHEERS)
- Pre-clinical animal studies (ARRIVE)
- SAMPL guidelines