

Appendix II: Quality Assessment and Risk of Bias

Author's Name	Was the study's target population a close representation of the national population in relation to relevant	Was the sample frame appropriate to address the target population?	Were study participants sampled in an appropriate way?	Was the sample size adequate?	Was the data analysis conducted with sufficient coverage of the identified sample?	Were valid methods used for the identification of the condition?	Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants?	Was there appropriate statistical analysis?	Was the response rate adequate, and if not, was the low response rate managed appropriately?	Total score	Grade
Ajayi, 2014	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	6	Moderate
Folayan, 2007	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	Low
Folayan, 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low
Folayan, 2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low
Folayan, 2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low
Kalejaiye, 2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low
Oyedele, 2017	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low
Folayan, 2019	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	Low
Folayan, 2020	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Low
El Tantawi, 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low
Olatosi, 2021	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	7	High
Folayan, 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low
Egbunah, 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low
Oziegbe, 2023	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low

0–3: “low risk,” 4–6: “moderate risk,” and 7–9: “low risk” of bias