

13%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

|    |                                                                                            |                 |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1  | <a href="http://www.frontiersin.org">www.frontiersin.org</a><br>Internet                   | 125 words — 2%  |
| 2  | <a href="http://researchonline.gcu.ac.uk">researchonline.gcu.ac.uk</a><br>Internet         | 65 words — 1%   |
| 3  | <a href="http://cardiab.biomedcentral.com">cardiab.biomedcentral.com</a><br>Internet       | 64 words — 1%   |
| 4  | <a href="http://lipidworld.biomedcentral.com">lipidworld.biomedcentral.com</a><br>Internet | 59 words — 1%   |
| 5  | <a href="http://www.researchsquare.com">www.researchsquare.com</a><br>Internet             | 52 words — 1%   |
| 6  | <a href="http://www2.mdpi.com">www2.mdpi.com</a><br>Internet                               | 47 words — 1%   |
| 7  | <a href="http://academic.oup.com">academic.oup.com</a><br>Internet                         | 26 words — < 1% |
| 8  | <a href="http://www.springermedizin.de">www.springermedizin.de</a><br>Internet             | 25 words — < 1% |
| 9  | <a href="http://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov">pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</a><br>Internet                 | 20 words — < 1% |
| 10 | <a href="http://www.econstor.eu">www.econstor.eu</a><br>Internet                           |                 |

20 words — < 1%

---

11 Yufeng Guo, Junwen Lu, Mailiman Bahani, Guifeng Ding et al. "Triglyceride-glucose index in early pregnancy predicts the risk of gestational diabetes: a prospective cohort study", *Lipids in Health and Disease*, 2024  
Crossref 18 words — < 1%

---

12 Tao Li, Huilan Zhang, Qianyu Wu, Siwei Guo, Wanqin Hu. "Association between triglyceride glycemic index and gout in US adults", *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*, 2024  
Crossref 17 words — < 1%

---

13 [eurjmedres.biomedcentral.com](http://eurjmedres.biomedcentral.com)  
Internet 15 words — < 1%

---

14 [journals.lww.com](http://journals.lww.com)  
Internet 13 words — < 1%

---

15 Yuxuan Tong, Yu Wang, Xin Chen, Bin Qin, Yongkang Liu, Yuqian Cui, Xifa Gao, Jianhua Wang, Ting Wu, Dongling Lv, Xiao Chen. "The triglyceride glucose: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio is associated with coronary artery calcification evaluated via non-gated chest CT", *Cardiovascular Diabetology*, 2024  
Crossref 12 words — < 1%

---

16 Ang Gao, Jinxing Liu, Chengping Hu, Yan Liu, Yong Zhu, Hongya Han, Yujie Zhou, Yingxin Zhao. "Association between the triglyceride glucose index and coronary collateralization in coronary artery disease patients with chronic total occlusion lesions", *Lipids in Health and Disease*, 2021  
Crossref 11 words — < 1%

---

17 Rongjiong Zheng, Yushan Mao. "Triglyceride and glucose (TyG) index as a predictor of incident hypertension: a 9-year longitudinal population-based study", *Lipids in Health and Disease*, 2017  
Crossref 11 words — < 1%

---

18 [genzyme.com](#)  
Internet 10 words — < 1%

---

19 [medicaldialogues.in](#)  
Internet 10 words — < 1%

---

20 Nuozhou Liu, Xinyao Luo, Peiyao Li, Wei Xiong. "The Triglycerides and Glucose Index is not superior to HOMA-IR in predicting testosterone deficiency among adultmales", *Andrology*, 2022  
Crossref 9 words — < 1%

---

21 Young Min Kim, Jie-Hyun Kim, Jong Suk Park, Su Jung Baik, Jaeyoung Chun, Young Hoon Youn, Hyojin Park. "Association between triglyceride-glucose index and gastric carcinogenesis: a health checkup cohort study", *Gastric Cancer*, 2021  
Crossref 9 words — < 1%

---

22 Yuting Sun, Hangyu Ji, Wenjie Sun, Xuedong An, Fengmei Lian. "Triglyceride glucose (TyG) index: A promising biomarker for diagnosis and treatment of different diseases", *European Journal of Internal Medicine*, 2024  
Crossref 9 words — < 1%

---

23 [bmcpublikealth.biomedcentral.com](#)  
Internet 9 words — < 1%

---

24 [pure.rug.nl](#)  
Internet 9 words — < 1%

25

[www.science.gov](http://www.science.gov)

Internet

9 words — &lt; 1%

26

Lei Ding, Bingqi Fu, Hongda Zhang, Cong Dai, Aikai Zhang, Fengyuan Yu, Lijie Mi, Wei Hua, Min Tang.

"The impact of triglyceride glucose-body mass index on all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in elderly patients with diabetes mellitus: evidence from NHANES 2007–2016", BMC Geriatrics, 2024

Crossref

8 words — &lt; 1%

27

Sangsang Li, Bingxin Guo, Huanan Chen, Zhan Shi, Yapeng Li, Qingfeng Tian, Songhe Shi. "The role of the triglyceride (triacylglycerol) glucose index in the development of cardiovascular events: a retrospective cohort analysis", Scientific Reports, 2019

Crossref

8 words — &lt; 1%

28

Tao Liu, Haochen Xuan, Jie Yin, Lili Wang, Chaofan Wang, Xianzhi Xu, Junhong Chen, Dongye Li, Tongda Xu. "Triglyceride Glucose Index Increases Significantly Risk of Hypertension Development in Chinese Individuals Aged ≥45 Years Old: Analysis from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study", Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare, 2023

Crossref

8 words — &lt; 1%

29

Yuxin Yan, Liyu Zhou, Rui La, Ming Jiang, Dinghua Jiang, Lixin Huang, Wu Xu, Qian Wu. "The association between triglyceride glucose index and arthritis: a population-based study", Lipids in Health and Disease, 2023

Crossref

8 words — &lt; 1%

30

[pure.eur.nl](http://pure.eur.nl)

Internet

8 words — &lt; 1%

---

32 Liyao Fu, Shi Tai, Jiaying Sun, Ningjie Zhang, Ying Zhou, Zhenhua Xing, Yongjun Wang, Shenghua Zhou. "The Impact of Triglyceride-Glucose Index On Incident Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus", Research Square Platform LLC, 2021

Crossref Posted Content

---

33 Qing Zhou, Jie Yang, Hongyi Tang, Zexuan Guo et al. "High triglyceride-glucose (TyG) index is associated with poor prognosis of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction", Cardiovascular Diabetology, 2023

Crossref

---

34 Wangqin Yu, Xiaoling Wang, Zhongyan Du, Wenke Cheng. "Association of triglyceride-glucose index and its combination with obesity indicators in predicting the risk of aortic aneurysm and dissection", Frontiers in Nutrition, 2024

Crossref

---

35 Yong Zhu, Kesen Liu, Maolin Chen, Yan Liu, Ang Gao, Chengping Hu, Hong Li, Huagang Zhu, Hongya Han, Jianwei Zhang, Yingxin Zhao. "Triglyceride-glucose index is associated with in-stent restenosis in patients with acute coronary syndrome after percutaneous coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents", Cardiovascular Diabetology, 2021

Crossref

---

36 "OPENING LECTURE", Gynecological Endocrinology, 2009

Crossref

---

37 Sangmo Hong, Kyungdo Han, Cheol-Young Park. 6 words — < 1%  
"The triglyceride glucose index is a simple and low-cost marker associated with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: a population-based study", BMC Medicine, 2020  
Crossref

---

38 Zixiang Ye, Enmin Xie, Yanxiang Gao, Peizhao Li et al. 6 words — < 1%  
"The triglyceride glucose index is associated with future cardiovascular disease nonlinearly in middle-aged and elderly Chinese adults", BMC Endocrine Disorders, 2022  
Crossref

---

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF  
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE SOURCES OFF  
EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF

20250321113828110042532752997990

2  
1

4  
2 Association between triglyceride-glucose index in early pregnancy  
3 and risk of preeclampsia: a multicenter retrospective cohort study

4

5

6 Qiong Li<sup>1†</sup>, Chenyang Zhao<sup>1†</sup>, Miao Liu<sup>1</sup>, Meng Li<sup>2</sup>, Ying Zhang<sup>2\*</sup>, Chaoyan Yue<sup>2\*</sup>

7

8

9 Abstract

10 **Background** Previous evidence has indicated that insulin resistance may be an early  
11 pathological state of preeclampsia (PE). As a novel biomarker, the triglyceride  
12 glucose (TyG) index can reflect the level of the body's insulin resistance.

13 **Methods** The present study included 41,694 singleton pregnant women, comprising  
14 2,308 PE patients and 39,386 healthy controls from three tertiary hospitals in China  
15 from January 2019 to June 2024. Datas were retrospectively collected via medical  
16 record review. The TyG index was measured before 20 weeks of gestation, and  
17 participants were grouped via the TyG index quartiles. The primary outcome was PE,  
18 and the secondary outcomes were preterm birth and LBW. Multivariable logistic  
19 regression was used to calculate the ORs for the TyG index quartiles comparing to the  
20 lowest quartile for the primary and secondary outcomes. Subgroup analyses were  
21 conducted to evaluate the effect of age, BMI, parity and TyG test week on these  
22 associations.

23 **Results** After adjusting for confounders, compared to TyG index Q1, a higher TyG

index was positively associated with PE (TyG index Q3 OR = 1.23, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.06-1.43,  $P = 0.0067$ ; TyG index Q4 OR = 1.31, 95% CI: 1.11-1.53,  $P = 0.0011$ ) and preterm birth (TyG index Q4 OR = 1.18, 95% CI: 1.01-1.37,  $P = 0.0376$ ), negatively associated with LBW (TyG index Q3 OR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.74-0.97,  $P = 0.0147$ ). In Model I, a protective association was seen between higher TyG quartiles and preterm birth ( $P = 0.0472$  for Q3 and  $P = 0.0000$  for Q4), but this association was not significant in Model II after adjusting for confounding factors. Subgroup analysis revealed that age, pre-pregnancy BMI, parity and test week did not influence these associations (interaction  $P > 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion** The present findings suggested that the TyG index could act as a potential prediction for preeclampsia risk. Clinical evaluation incorporating the TyG index during early pregnancy can help in screening for patients at risk of PE.

**Keywords** Triglyceride glucose index, Preeclampsia, Early pregnancy, Insulin resistance.

## Background

Preeclampsia (PE) may be a severe complication of pregnancy with an incidence of 5-8% [1]. PE is clinically characterized by the emergence of high blood pressure and proteinuria following the 20th week of pregnancy [2]. This condition poses significant risks to both maternal and neonatal health, potentially resulting in adverse outcomes such as preterm delivery, restricted fetal growth, neonatal asphyxia, placental abruption, and maternal death [3]. Timely detection and appropriate management of

PE are critical to ensuring the health and safety of both pregnant individuals and their offspring. The exact etiology of PE remains unclear, and researchers have been working to identify maternal blood biomarkers that can predict the onset of PE, such as sFlt-1 [4] and PlGF [5]. However, the effectiveness of these biomarkers still needs validation. Despite advances in obstetric care, there remains a significant clinical gap in the early prediction and prevention of PE. Currently, there is a lack of validated, accessible biomarkers that can reliably identify pregnant women at risk of PE during the early pregnancy.

Insulin resistance (IR), as part of metabolic syndrome, is reported to be one of the early pathological states in hypertensive disorders of pregnancy [6]. This pathological state may exist even before the clinical symptoms of PE appear after 20 weeks of gestation [7]. While physiological IR in normal pregnancy is beneficial for fetal nutrition supply and growth [8], excessive IR can have harmful effects on both maternal and fetal health, including an elevated risk of PE [7]. The triglyceride-glucose (TyG) index, deriving from fasting plasma levels of triglycerides and glucoses, has gained recognition as a robust marker for detecting IR and related metabolic abnormalities. Due to its ease of measurement, the TyG index has become a popular topic among researchers and is widely applicable in clinical practice. Researches have demonstrated the TyG index is linked to an high risk of gestational diabetes mellitus [9, 10], cardiovascular disease [11, 12], and metabolic syndrome [13]. Nevertheless, there is limited literature exploring the association with the TyG index and PE. A retrospective analysis shows that among pregnant women

68 with normal glucoses, a high TyG index correlates with an elevated risk of PE.  
69 Furthermore, the integration of the TyG index with glycated hemoglobin levels  
70 demonstrates potential predictive significance for identifying PE [14]. Another  
71 retrospective study has also reported similar results [15]. However, current reseaches  
72 about the TyG and PE have some limitations, such as reliance on single-sample  
73 sources, insufficient control of confoundings, and the acquisition of the TyG index in  
74 the mid-to-late stages of pregnancy after the diagnosis of PE. These limitations reduce  
75 the generalizability of the findings and may fail to capture early pathological changes  
76 that occur before clinical symptoms manifest. By focusing on early pregnancy TyG  
77 measurements and the stratification of TyG index based on quartiles, the present study  
78 aimed to overcome these limitations and provide a more comprehensive understand in  
79 the development of PE.

80 This study leverages large-scale, multicenter clinical data to examine the TyG index  
81 in early pregnancy and the risk of PE. Multivariable regression analyses was  
82 performed to evaluate the utility of the TyG index in predicting PE risk while  
83 accounting for various potential confounding factors. Subgroup analyses were  
84 conducted, satisfied by maternal age, body mass index, parity and TyG index test  
85 week, to assess whether these variables modify the TyG index and PE. Unlike prior  
86 studies, this investigation utilized the TyG index measured before 20 weeks of  
87 gestation and analyzed its association with PE after stratifying the TyG index, offering  
88 novel perspectives on PE prediction. TThe present findings may assist clinical  
89 practitioners in offering early monitoring, preventive measures, and treatment

90 strategies for individuals with elevated TyG values in early pregnant women  
91 potentially improving overall pregnancy outcomes.

92

## 93 **Methods**

### 94 **Design and participants**

95 This retrospective cohort analysis encompassed women with singleton pregnancies  
96 who visited Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital of Fudan University, Huangpu  
97 Branch (Center 1) and Yangpu Branch (Center 2) and the First People's Hospital of  
98 Chenzhou (Center 3) from January 2019 to June 2024. Clinical data and assessments  
99 were collected and evaluated during the participants' first visit which was defined as  
100 the initial prenatal visit, typically occurring between 12 to 20 weeks of gestation.  
101 Variability in gestational weeks at TyG testing was due to differences in when women  
102 initiated prenatal care. To address this variability, a sensitivity analysis restricting the  
103 sample to those tested within a narrower gestational window ( < 14 weeks and 14 ~ 20  
104 weeks) was conducted to ensure consistency in the timing of measurements.

105 All participants delivered at the hospital where they received care, with all clinical  
106 and laboratory data being retrieved from the HIS and LIS. The inclusion were  
107 follows: (1) age more than 20 years; (2) singleton pregnancy; and (3) visits and  
108 deliveries at one of the participating centers. The following criteria were applied for  
109 exclusion: (1) first triglyceride and fasting glucose measurements taken after 20  
110 weeks of gestation; (2) twin or multiple pregnancies; (3) pre-existing hypertension; (4)  
111 comorbidities such as type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia or metabolic

112 syndrome diagnosed before pregnancy; kidney disease, heart diseases, or other  
113 internal or surgical diseases; and (5) incomplete clinical or laboratory data. Ultimately,  
114 41,694 pregnant women were comprised, including 2,308 women with PE and 39,386  
115 women without PE. The study process diagram is depicted in Figure 1.

116 The study received ethical approval from the Medical Ethics Committees of the  
117 Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital of Fudan University and the First People's  
118 Hospital of Chenzhou. It was conducted in accordance with the principles set forth in the  
119 Helsinki Declaration. All participants provided broad informed consent upon their  
120 first visit.

121

## 122 Variables and measurements

123 The TyG index was the exposure variable of interest. Clinical and laboratory data  
124 were gathered from pregnant women prior to 20 weeks of gestation. Venous samples  
125 were obtained after a fasting period of eight hours, and the subsequent laboratory  
126 parameters were analyzed using an automated hematology analyzer: triglycerides  
127 (mg/dL), total cholesterol (TC, mg/dL), fasting plasma glucose (FPG, mg/dL),  
128 alanine transaminase (ALT, U/L), uric acid (UA,  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ), and creatinine ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ).

129 The TyG index was computed using the formula:  $\text{TyG} = \ln[\text{triglycerides (mg/dL)} \times$   
130  $\text{fasting glucose (mg/dL)} / 2]$ . Data pertaining to both the mothers and their newborns  
131 were collected following delivery.

132 The selection of confounders was guided by a combination of literature review and  
133 univariate analysis. Variables that showed significant associations ( $P < 0.001$ ) in

134 univariate analyses were adjusted in Model II. All included confounders had variance  
135 inflation factors (VIF) less than 5, indicating no significant multicollinearity issues.  
136 Confounding variables included age, BMI, systolic blood pressure, DBP, aspirin use,  
137 antihypertensive medication use, history of hypertension, tobacco use, alcohol  
138 consumption, in vitro fertilization (IVF), ALT, UA, creatinine, TC, FPG, gestational  
139 week at testing, adverse pregnancy history, and educational level. BMI was computed  
140 by dividing pre-pregnancy weight (kg) by the square of height ( $m^2$ ) and was classified  
141 into two categories: lowweight or normal ( $< 24 \text{ kg}/m^2$ ) and overweight ( $\geq 24 \text{ kg}/m^2$ ).  
142 Age was categorized as normal ( $< 35$  years) and advanced ( $\geq 35$  years). BMI and age  
143 cutoffs were determined based on clinical relevance and World Health Organization  
144 (WHO) classifications [16]. Adverse pregnancy history was defined as prior PE,  
145 miscarriage, or other significant obstetric complications. Education levels were  
146 categorized into various groups, including postgraduate, bachelor's degree or above,  
147 college diploma, high school, and less than junior high school.

148

#### 149 **Outcomes and measurements**

150 The primary outcome assessed in the study was PE. The diagnostic criteria for PE  
151 were based on the 2020 guidelines established by the ACOG [17]. PE was diagnosed  
152 when a patient with normal blood pressure prior to pregnancy developed SBP  $\geq 140$   
153 mmHg or DBP  $\geq 90$  mmHg after 20 weeks of gestation, along with proteinuria (+ or  
154 higher). PE was also diagnosed if any of the following characteristics were present  
155 without proteinuria: pulmonary edema, headache unexplained by other causes, or

156 visual disturbances.

157 The secondary outcomes included LBW and <sup>23</sup>preterm birth. The LBW was defined  
158 by the WHO <sup>5</sup>as a birth weight less than 2500 g [16], while <sup>5</sup>preterm birth was thought  
159 to <sup>4</sup>delivery occurring <sup>4</sup>before 37 weeks of gestation, in accordance with WHO  
160 guidelines [18]. <sup>4</sup>For women with normal menstrual cycles, fetal gestational age was  
161 determined based on the day of the menstrual period. In cases of irregular menstrual  
162 cycles, early ultrasound was utilized to estimate gestational weeks.

163

#### 164 **Statistical Analysis**

165 <sup>26</sup>Baseline characteristics were described according to the TyG quartiles (Q1-Q4).  
166 Continuous variables were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard deviations, and variables  
167 was reported as percentage. To assess differences of covariates among <sup>37</sup>participants,  
168 <sup>33</sup>continuous variables were compared using weighted t-tests, and <sup>33</sup>categorical variables  
169 were evaluated using weighted chi-square tests.

170 Multivariable regression analyses was applied investigating <sup>5</sup>the association between  
171 the TyG index and the primary and secondary outcomes. The findings were reported  
172 as ORs accompanied by 95% CIs. The TyG index <sup>9</sup>was categorized into quartiles, with  
173 the lowest quartile (TyG Q1) serving as the reference. Model I not adjusting <sup>34</sup>for any  
174 covariate, while Model II adjusted for confounders, including age, BMI, SBP, DBP,  
175 aspirin use, antihypertensive medication use, history of hypertension, tobacco use,  
176 alcohol consumption, parity, IVF, ALT, UA, creatinine, TC, test week, adverse  
177 pregnancy history, and educationa.

178 To further reveal <sup>6</sup> the relationship between the TyG index and the risk of PE,  
179 subgroup analyses were used by stratifying participants according to <sup>8</sup> age (< 35 years  
180 or ≥ 35 years), BMI (< 24 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or ≥ 24 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), parity (primiparous or multiparous),  
181 and test week (< 14 weeks or 14 ~ 20 weeks) to determine the impact of these  
182 variables on the outcomes. Likelihood ratio were performed to assess interactions  
183 between subgroups.

184 The <sup>14</sup> statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS (version 21.0, IBM,  
185 Armonk, NY) and R statistics packages (R Foundation, version 4.4.1). <sup>1</sup> A significance  
186 level of  $P < 0.05$  was employed for determining statistical significance.

187

## 188 **Results**

### 189 **Baseline characteristics**

190 <sup>12</sup> Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics grouped by the TyG index quartiles.  
191 According to strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, 41,694 singleton pregnant  
192 participants were enrolled in the present study, including 2,308 PE patients and  
193 39,386 healthy pregnant women. The mean TyG level and its standard deviation (SD)  
194 were measured as  $7.86 \pm 0.18$ ,  $8.24 \pm 0.08$ ,  $8.51 \pm 0.08$ , and  $8.96 \pm 0.24$  <sup>24</sup> for quartile 1,  
195 quartile 2, quartile 3 and quartile 4. As shown in Table 1, cases with any missing  
196 clinical data were excluded to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the results,  
197 accounting for the difference in the sample sizes for other variables from the total  
198 number of cases in the primary outcome analysis. Overall, the number of participants  
199 in each group was balanced. Differences between groups were statistically significant,

except for LBW, hypertension history, and tobacco use. The incidence of PE across different TyG index groups ranged from 3.81% to 8.14%. Similarly, maternal age, BMI, SBP, DBP, IVF, preterm birth, tobacco, alcohol consumption, adverse pregnancy history, triglycerids, TC, ALT, and FPG all increased with high TyG index value ( $P < 0.001$ ).

#### Association between the TyG index and PE

As show in Table 2, the TyG index was stratified in quartiles, with the low quartile (TyG index Q1) serving as reference. Multivariate analysis results revealed that, in Model I, compared to TyG index Q1, participants of TyG index Q3 and TyG index Q4 had a high risk of PE and preterm birth ( $OR > 1$ ) and a lower risk of LBW ( $OR < 1$ ). However, in Model II, compared to TyG index Q1, only participants in TyG index Q3 and TyG index Q4 showed a significant increase in the risk of PE by 25% (95% CI: 1.06-1.43,  $P = 0.0067$ ) and 31% (95% CI: 1.11-1.53,  $P = 0.0011$ ) respectively. In Model II, compared to TyG index Q1, participants in TyG index Q4 showed a significant higher risk of preterm birth by 18% (95% CI: 1.01-1.37,  $P = 0.0376$ ). Conversely, participants in TyG index Q3 had a 16% reduced risk of LBW (95% CI: 0.74-0.97,  $P = 0.0147$ ). Fig. 2 illustrate the relationship after adjusting for confoundings.

#### Subgroup analysis

As detailed in Table 3, subgroup analyses based on age, BMI, parity, and testweek at

222 testing demonstrated consistent associations between TyG and PE across all strata.  
223 After multivariable adjustment for potential confounders, interaction tests indicated  
224 no significant effect modification by variables on the TyG and PE association ( $P >$   
225 0.05). [Figure 3](#) visually depicts the stratified dose-response relationships across the  
226 analyzed subgroups.

227

## 228 **Discussion**

229 PE represents a serious pregnancy-related complication that carries considerable risks  
230 for both maternal and neonatal health, potentially leading to severe adverse outcomes.  
231 Previous studies have shown that physiological IR in normal pregnancy is beneficial  
232 for fetal nutrition supply and growth [8] but that excessive IR can lead to adverse  
233 maternal and fetal outcomes, such as PE [7]. As part of metabolic syndrome, IR may  
234 be an early pathological state in hypertensive disorders of pregnancy [6]. This  
235 pathological state exists before clinical symptoms of PE appear. Nevertheless, while  
236 some patients with IR progress to develop PE, others do not, indicating that the exact  
237 relationship and mechanisms by which IR impacts PE remain unclear. There is a lack  
238 of large-scale clinical studies to further validate these associations. The present study  
239 analyzed clinical and laboratory data from three large tertiary hospitals, uncovering a  
240 significant positive correlation. After accounting for all confounder variables, a higher  
241 TyG was consistently related to PE. Specifically, women with highest TyG index  
242 quartile demonstrated a 31% greater risk of developing PE. A similar positive  
243 association was observed in preterm birth. Conversely, an inverse relationship was

244 noted between <sup>29</sup> the TyG index and LBW. Subgroup analyses revealed maternal age,  
245 BMI, and parity did not significantly modify these associations, underscoring the  
246 robustness and reliability of the findings.

247 The TyG index, integrating the effects <sup>1</sup> of blood triglycerides and glucose, has been  
248 shown to exhibit higher sensitivity in detecting IR in individuals [19]. While prior  
249 research has predominantly concentrated on its association with cardiovascular  
250 diseases [20, 21], fewer studies have investigated its relationship with hypertensive  
251 disorders during pregnancy. Notably, Pan et al. demonstrated <sup>16</sup> that the TyG index is  
252 strongly associated with the development of gestational hypertension [22]. A recent  
253 retrospective study has discovered that women with normal glucose tolerance and an  
254 elevated TyG associated <sup>3</sup> with a high risk of PE, and the combination of the TyG index  
255 and glycated hemoglobin levels has predictive value for PE [14]. Another study  
256 highlighted the TyG index's potential value for PE, preterm birth, and macrosomia,  
257 indicating its possible utility as a surrogate marker for these adverse pregnancy  
258 outcomes [15]. The present findings agreed with these previous findings. However,  
259 these previous studies had methodological limitations, such as single-source samples,  
260 small sample sizes, and inadequate consideration of confounding factors. Building on  
261 these earlier studies, the present investigation utilized large-scale, multicenter clinical  
262 data, that was fully controlled for confounding factors. Duartile stratification analysis  
263 of the TyG index demonstrated that elevated levels (Q3 and Q4) were linked to a  
264 higher risk of PE, offering enhanced precision for risk stratification based on the TyG  
265 index.

266 The present study identified that only the third quartile (Q3) of the TyG index<sup>1</sup> was  
267 associated with a lower risk of LBW (OR = 0.84, 95% CI: 0.74–0.97,  $P = 0.0147$ ).  
268 Associations were not observed for Q2 and Q4.<sup>36</sup> The relationship between TyG and  
269 neonatal birth weight has been inconsistent across previous studies [15]. While one  
270 study<sup>1</sup> reported a positive relationship between the TyG index and macrosomia[23],  
271 another investigation<sup>5</sup> showed that the TyG index was an risk factor for LBW [22].  
272 Moreover, a protective effect against LBW was observed in Q3 compared to Q1,  
273 suggesting that moderate IR, as represented by mid-range TyG values, may be  
274 beneficial for fetal growth, potentially through mechanisms such as optimized glucose  
275 and lipid metabolism [8, 24]. In contrast, excessive IR, which was observed in higher  
276 TyG quartiles, could impair placental function and fetal nutrition, leading to adverse  
277 outcomes, such as LBW or preterm birth [25, 26]. The quartile-based stratification  
278 analysis of the TyG index revealed that only Q3 was negatively correlated with LBW.  
279 This finding suggested that different levels of the TyG index may lead to opposite  
280 outcomes and should be interpreted objectively in clinical settings when estimating  
281 neonatal birth weight.

282 The underlying mechanisms through which the TyG index impacts PE may be  
283 sourced from the IR it reflects. IR is a marker of hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and  
284 obesity. In pregnant women, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and obesity are risk factors  
285 for PE [27, 28]. IR may contribute to vascular endothelial cell injury by stimulating  
286 the release of NO, which in turn can worsen endothelial dysfunction [29, 30].  
287 Inflammatory mediators, such as TNF- $\alpha$  and leptin, are secreted in increased amounts

288 in the adipose tissues and placentas of individuals with IR [31]. Both endothelial  
289 dysfunction and inflammation can promote the development of PE. Moreover,  
290 excessive IR during pregnancy can lead to lipid metabolism disorders, increased  
291 oxidative stress, and placental dysfunction, ultimately contributing to the onset and  
292 progression of PE [32, 33]. Recent investigations have demonstrated that excessive IR  
293 activates the nervous system, leading to increased vascular and enhanced distal  
294 glomerular reabsorption. These effects ultimately contribute to endothelial  
295 dysfunction and gestational hypertension or PE [22].

296 The present study revealed <sup>1</sup> the association between the TyG index and risk of PE.  
297 The stratified fitting curves suggested that TyG levels before 14 weeks have a more  
298 significant association with PE compared to the test week between 14 and 20 weeks.  
299 Thus, TyG levels during early pregnancy may have greater clinical significance.  
300 Clinical monitoring based on specific TyG quartiles in early pregnancy could facilitate  
301 risk stratification for PE, enabling appropriate preventive measures such as lifestyle  
302 changes and use of aspirin for high-risk patients. These interventions are anticipated  
303 to lower adverse pregnancy outcomes for both mother and their infants.

304

#### 305 <sup>9</sup> Strengths and limitations

306 A key strength of the research was the utilization of large-scale, multicenter clinical  
307 and laboratory data, which improved the generalizability of the findings. Additionally,  
308 the robustness was further confirmed through multiple sensitivity analyses and  
309 subgroup evaluations. Additionally, the exposure factor in the present study was based

310 on routine laboratory measurements available in most hospitals, making it easily  
311 accessible and clinically applicable. The TyG index provides a more accurate  
312 reflection of maternal IR, offering significant clinical value for the prediction and  
313 management of PE. Nevertheless, the current study was not without its limitations.  
314 First, while the present findings provide important insights, the retrospective design  
315 may have introduced biases. Prospective studies are needed to validate the present  
316 findings and establish causality more definitively. Second, the present study only  
317 analyzed the association, without considering TyG changes in mid and late pregnancy.  
318 This omission may have introduced bias, given that TyG values may fluctuate  
319 throughout pregnancy. Future studies should standardize TyG measurement times to  
320 better evaluate its predictive value. Third, the study excluded individuals with type I,  
321 type II diabetes mellitus prior to pregnancy. While this exclusion aimed to minimize  
322 the potential influence of metabolic factors on the TyG index, it may have limited the  
323 generalizability of the findings. Lastly, although adjustments were made for numerous  
324 potential confounders based on prior research and clinical expertise, certain  
325 unmeasured factors—such as dietary patterns and physical activity levels—were not  
326 fully evaluated within the current cohort, potentially introducing residual  
327 confounding.

328

## 329 **Conclusion**

330 The present findings demonstrated TyG index in early pregnancy is independently  
331 associated with an elevated risk of PE, irrespective of maternal age, BMI, TyG index

332 test week prior to 20 weeks, and parity. As a straightforward and easily accessible  
333 marker <sup>12</sup> of IR, the TyG may hold a tool for early risk prediction of PE. Nevertheless,  
334 prospective studies are warranted to confirm its prediction and establish optimal  
335 thresholds for its application.

336

### 337 **Abbreviations**

|     |                      |
|-----|----------------------|
| PE  | preeclampsia         |
| TyG | triglyceride-glucose |
| IR  | insulin resistance   |
| Q   | quartile             |

338

339

### 340 **References**

- 341 1. Gestational Hypertension and Preeclampsia: ACOG Practice Bulletin, Number  
342 222. Obstetrics and gynecology 2020, 135(6):e237-e260.
- 343 2. Tanner MS, Davey MA, Mol BW, Rolnik DL: The evolution of the diagnostic  
344 criteria of preeclampsia-eclampsia. American journal of obstetrics and  
345 gynecology 2022, 226(2s):S835-s843.
- 346 3. Tsigas EZ: The Preeclampsia Foundation: the voice and views of the patient  
347 and her family. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 2022,  
348 226(2s):S1254-S1264.e1251.

- 349 4. Burke SD, Zsengellér ZK, Khankin EV, Lo AS, Rajakumar A, DuPont JJ,  
350 McCurley A, Moss ME, Zhang D, Clark CD et al: Soluble fms-like tyrosine  
351 kinase 1 promotes angiotensin II sensitivity in preeclampsia. *The Journal of*  
352 *clinical investigation* 2016, 126(7):2561-2574.
- 353 5. Lecarpentier É, Vieillefosse S, Haddad B, Fournier T, Leguy MC,  
354 Guibourdenche J, Tsatsaris V: Placental growth factor (PlGF) and sFlt-1  
355 during pregnancy: physiology, assay and interest in preeclampsia. *Annales de*  
356 *biologie clinique* 2016, 74(3):259-267.
- 357 6. Brands MW, Mizelle HL, Gaillard CA, Hildebrandt DA, Hall JE: The  
358 hemodynamic response to chronic hyperinsulinemia in conscious dogs.  
359 *American journal of hypertension* 1991, 4(2 Pt 1):164-168.
- 360 7. Kaaja R, Laivuori H, Laakso M, Tikkanen MJ, Ylikorkala O: Evidence of a  
361 state of increased insulin resistance in preeclampsia. *Metabolism: clinical and*  
362 *experimental* 1999, 48(7):892-896.
- 363 8. Ong KK, Dunger DB: Birth weight, infant growth and insulin resistance.  
364 *European journal of endocrinology* 2004, 151 Suppl 3:U131-139.
- 365 9. Bai X, Zhu Q, Wang W, Kan S, Hu S, Hao R, Wang S, Shi Z: Second-trimester  
366 triglyceride-glucose index to predict adverse outcomes in women with  
367 gestational diabetes mellitus: A retrospective multicenter cohort study. *Journal*  
368 *of diabetes investigation* 2024, 15(10):1489-1499.
- 369 10. Guo Y, Lu J, Bahani M, Ding G, Wang L, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Liu C, Zhou L,  
370 Liu X et al: Triglyceride-glucose index in early pregnancy predicts the risk of

- 371 gestational diabetes: a prospective cohort study. *Lipids in health and disease*  
372 2024, 23(1):87.
- 373 11. Park K, Ahn CW, Lee SB, Kang S, Nam JS, Lee BK, Kim JH, Park JS:  
374 Elevated TyG Index Predicts Progression of Coronary Artery Calcification.  
375 *Diabetes care* 2019, 42(8):1569-1573.
- 376 12. Won KB, Park EJ, Han D, Lee JH, Choi SY, Chun EJ, Park SH, Han HW,  
377 Sung J, Jung HO et al: Triglyceride glucose index is an independent predictor  
378 for the progression of coronary artery calcification in the absence of heavy  
379 coronary artery calcification at baseline. *Cardiovascular diabetology* 2020,  
380 19(1):34.
- 381 13. Son DH, Lee HS, Lee YJ, Lee JH, Han JH: Comparison of  
382 triglyceride-glucose index and HOMA-IR for predicting prevalence and  
383 incidence of metabolic syndrome. *Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular*  
384 *diseases : NMCD* 2022, 32(3):596-604.
- 385 14. Ye H, Yin BB, Zhang JH, Xi Y, Chen F, Bai YY: Combining the  
386 triglyceride-glucose index and glycated hemoglobin A1c to assess the risk of  
387 preeclampsia in women with normal glucose tolerance: a cross-sectional study.  
388 *European review for medical and pharmacological sciences* 2023,  
389 27(19):9279-9295.
- 390 15. Zhang J, Yin B, Xi Y, Bai Y: Triglyceride-glucose index: A promising  
391 biomarker for predicting risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Hangzhou,  
392 China. *Preventive medicine reports* 2024, 41:102683.

- 393 16. Arabzadeh H, Doosti-Irani A, Kamkari S, Farhadian M, Elyasi E, Mohammadi  
394 Y: The maternal factors associated with infant low birth weight: an umbrella  
395 review. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth* 2024, 24(1):316.
- 396 17. Croke L: Gestational Hypertension and Preeclampsia: A Practice Bulletin from  
397 ACOG. *American family physician* 2019, 100(10):649-650.
- 398 18. Swarray-Deen A, Sepenu P, Mensah TE, Osei-Agyapong J, Sefogah PE,  
399 Appiah-Sakyi K, Ahmed B, Konje JC: Preterm birth in low-middle income  
400 Countries. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2024,  
401 95:102518.
- 402 19. Simental-Mendía LE, Rodríguez-Morán M, Guerrero-Romero F: The product  
403 of fasting glucose and triglycerides as surrogate for identifying insulin  
404 resistance in apparently healthy subjects. *Metabolic syndrome and related  
405 disorders* 2008, 6(4):299-304.
- 406 20. Zhao J, Fan H, Wang T, Yu B, Mao S, Wang X, Zhang W, Wang L, Zhang Y,  
407 Ren Z et al: TyG index is positively associated with risk of CHD and coronary  
408 atherosclerosis severity among NAFLD patients. *Cardiovascular diabetology*  
409 2022, 21(1):123.
- 410 21. Dong W, Gong Y, Zhao J, Wang Y, Li B, Yang Y: A combined analysis of TyG  
411 index, SII index, and SIRI index: positive association with CHD risk and  
412 coronary atherosclerosis severity in patients with NAFLD. *Frontiers in  
413 endocrinology* 2023, 14:1281839.

- 414 22. Pan Y, Zou S, Xu Y, Di R, Gu H, Wang Z, Wei X, Yang C, Zhang G: Is there  
415 any association between early trimester Triglyceride-glucose index and  
416 incidence of hypertensive disorder of pregnancy and adverse pregnancy  
417 outcomes? *Frontiers in endocrinology* 2023, 14:1093991.
- 418 23. Zhao D, Chai S, Yuan N, Sun J, Zhao X, Zhang X: Triglyceride-glycaemic  
419 index: Insights into predicting fetal macrosomia and its interaction with  
420 gestational diabetes mellitus: A cohort study of Chinese pregnant women.  
421 *European journal of clinical investigation* 2024, 54(12):e14300.
- 422 24. Yadav A, Beilin LJ, Huang RC, Newnham JP, White SW, Mori TA: Fetal  
423 Growth Trajectories and Measures of Insulin Resistance in Young Adults. *The*  
424 *Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* 2023, 108(9):e861-e870.
- 425 25. Berhe AK, Ilesanmi AO, Aimakhu CO, Mulugeta A: Effect of pregnancy  
426 induced hypertension on adverse perinatal outcomes in Tigray regional state,  
427 Ethiopia: a prospective cohort study. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth* 2019,  
428 20(1):7.
- 429 26. Morrison JL, Duffield JA, Muhlhausler BS, Gentili S, McMillen IC: Fetal  
430 growth restriction, catch-up growth and the early origins of insulin resistance  
431 and visceral obesity. *Pediatric nephrology (Berlin, Germany)* 2010,  
432 25(4):669-677.
- 433 27. Sibai BM, Ewell M, Levine RJ, Klebanoff MA, Esterlitz J, Catalano PM,  
434 Goldenberg RL, Joffe G: Risk factors associated with preeclampsia in healthy  
435 nulliparous women. The Calcium for Preeclampsia Prevention (CPEP) Study

- 436 Group. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 1997,  
437 177(5):1003-1010.
- 438 28. Thadhani R, Stampfer MJ, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Solomon CG, Curhan GC:  
439 High body mass index and hypercholesterolemia: risk of hypertensive  
440 disorders of pregnancy. Obstetrics and gynecology 1999, 94(4):543-550.
- 441 29. Janus A, Szahidewicz-Krupska E, Mazur G, Doroszk A: Insulin Resistance  
442 and Endothelial Dysfunction Constitute a Common Therapeutic Target in  
443 Cardiometabolic Disorders. Mediators of inflammation 2016, 2016:3634948.
- 444 30. Jiang ZY, Lin YW, Clemont A, Feener EP, Hein KD, Igarashi M, Yamauchi T,  
445 White MF, King GL: Characterization of selective resistance to insulin  
446 signaling in the vasculature of obese Zucker (fa/fa) rats. The Journal of  
447 clinical investigation 1999, 104(4):447-457.
- 448 31. Kirwan JP, Hauguel-De Mouzon S, Lepercq J, Challier JC, Huston-Presley L,  
449 Friedman JE, Kalhan SC, Catalano PM: TNF-alpha is a predictor of insulin  
450 resistance in human pregnancy. Diabetes 2002, 51(7):2207-2213.
- 451 32. Lopez-Jaramillo P, Barajas J, Rueda-Quijano SM, Lopez-Lopez C, Felix C:  
452 Obesity and Preeclampsia: Common Pathophysiological Mechanisms.  
453 Frontiers in physiology 2018, 9:1838.
- 454 33. Villalobos-Labra R, Silva L, Subiabre M, Araos J, Salsoso R, Fuenzalida B,  
455 Sáez T, Toledo F, González M, Quezada C et al: Akt/mTOR Role in Human  
456 Foetoplacental Vascular Insulin Resistance in Diseases of Pregnancy. Journal  
457 of diabetes research 2017, 2017:5947859.

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of participants.

| Characteristic             | TyG Q1<br>n=10399 | TyG Q2<br>n=10440 | TyG Q3<br>n=10427 | TyG Q4<br>n=10428 | P-value |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                | 30.68 ± 3.68      | 30.99 ± 3.92      | 31.48 ± 4.12      | 32.22 ± 4.44      | <0.001  |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )   | 20.62 ± 2.55      | 21.05 ± 2.77      | 21.56 ± 3.09      | 22.63 ± 3.45      | <0.001  |
| SBP (mmHg)                 | 113.14 ± 11.69    | 114.19 ± 11.90    | 115.35 ± 12.41    | 117.96 ± 13.34    | <0.001  |
| DBP (mmHg)                 | 67.75 ± 8.94      | 69.12 ± 9.30      | 70.30 ± 9.62      | 71.83 ± 10.11     | <0.001  |
| TyG Test week              | 9.82 ± 1.90       | 10.62 ± 2.21      | 11.40 ± 2.83      | 13.43 ± 5.51      | <0.001  |
| TyG                        | 7.86 ± 0.18       | 8.24 ± 0.08       | 8.51 ± 0.08       | 8.96 ± 0.24       | <0.001  |
| Alanine transaminase (U/L) | 15.88 ± 11.37     | 17.47 ± 14.22     | 19.05 ± 17.22     | 20.11 ± 19.23     | <0.001  |
| Uric acid (μmol/L)         | 203.87 ± 41.93    | 210.25 ± 43.52    | 216.82 ± 46.22    | 230.92 ± 51.74    | <0.001  |
| Creatinine (U/L)           | 43.44 ± 5.91      | 43.69 ± 5.98      | 43.51 ± 6.00      | 43.04 ± 6.21      | <0.001  |
| TC (mg/dL)                 | 4.16 ± 0.65       | 4.44 ± 0.68       | 4.68 ± 0.75       | 5.05 ± 0.94       | <0.001  |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)      | 0.76 ± 0.14       | 1.07 ± 0.12       | 1.40 ± 0.16       | 2.18 ± 0.59       | <0.001  |
| Fast blood glucose (mg/dL) | 4.38 ± 0.35       | 4.46 ± 0.36       | 4.52 ± 0.39       | 4.65 ± 0.62       | <0.001  |
| Preeclampsia (%)           |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001  |
| No                         | 10003 (96.19%)    | 10004 (95.82%)    | 9800 (93.99%)     | 9579 (91.86%)     |         |
| Yes                        | 396 (3.81%)       | 436 (4.18%)       | 627 (6.01%)       | 849 (8.14%)       |         |
| Preterm birth (%)          |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001  |
| No                         | 9834 (95.55%)     | 9856 (95.16%)     | 9768 (94.26%)     | 9613 (92.74%)     |         |
| Yes                        | 458 (4.45%)       | 501 (4.84%)       | 595 (5.74%)       | 752 (7.26%)       |         |
| Low birth weight (%)       |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.078   |
| No                         | 9799 (94.27%)     | 9877 (94.63%)     | 9900 (94.97%)     | 9899 (94.95%)     |         |
| Yes                        | 596 (5.73%)       | 561 (5.37%)       | 524 (5.03%)       | 527 (5.05%)       |         |
| Aspirin (%)                |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001  |
| No                         | 9999 (98.88%)     | 9788 (98.54%)     | 9482 (98.24%)     | 8856 (96.89%)     |         |
| Yes                        | 113 (1.12%)       | 145 (1.46%)       | 170 (1.76%)       | 284 (3.11%)       |         |
| Depressor (%)              |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001  |
| No                         | 10096 (99.96%)    | 9908 (99.92%)     | 9611 (99.75%)     | 9046 (99.44%)     |         |
| Yes                        | 4 (0.04%)         | 8 (0.08%)         | 24 (0.25%)        | 51 (0.56%)        |         |
| Hypertension history (%)   |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.053   |
| No                         | 8882 (85.41%)     | 8963 (85.86%)     | 8999 (86.34%)     | 8868 (85.07%)     |         |
| Yes                        | 1517 (14.59%)     | 1476 (14.14%)     | 1424 (13.66%)     | 1556 (14.93%)     |         |
| Tobacco (%)                |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.614   |
| No                         | 9914 (98.18%)     | 9746 (98.32%)     | 9454 (98.21%)     | 8887 (98.06%)     |         |
| Yes                        | 184 (1.82%)       | 167 (1.68%)       | 172 (1.79%)       | 176 (1.94%)       |         |
| Alcohol (%)                |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001  |
| No                         | 9617 (95.24%)     | 9496 (95.79%)     | 9269 (96.29%)     | 8788 (96.97%)     |         |
| Yes                        | 481 (4.76%)       | 417 (4.21%)       | 357 (3.71%)       | 275 (3.03%)       |         |
| Parity (%)                 |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001  |
| Primipara                  | 8482 (83.71%)     | 8073 (78.77%)     | 7395 (72.19%)     | 6243 (60.95%)     |         |
| Multipara                  | 1651 (16.29%)     | 2176 (21.23%)     | 2849 (27.81%)     | 3999 (39.05%)     |         |
| IVF (%)                    |                   |                   |                   |                   | <0.001  |

|                               |                |                |               |               |        |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| No                            | 9797 (97.02%)  | 9456 (95.39%)  | 8995 (93.44%) | 8205 (90.53%) |        |
| Yes                           | 301 (2.98%)    | 457 (4.61%)    | 631 (6.56%)   | 858 (9.47%)   |        |
| Adverse pregnancy history (%) |                |                |               |               | <0.001 |
| No                            | 10060 (96.74%) | 10048 (96.25%) | 9947 (95.40%) | 9653 (92.57%) |        |
| Yes                           | 339 (3.26%)    | 392 (3.75%)    | 480 (4.60%)   | 775 (7.43%)   |        |
| Education (%)                 |                |                |               |               | <0.001 |
| Postgraduate                  | 2765 (27.67%)  | 2359 (23.95%)  | 1921 (20.00%) | 1495 (16.27%) |        |
| Bachelor's degree or above    | 4797 (48.01%)  | 4699 (47.70%)  | 4529 (47.16%) | 3897 (42.40%) |        |
| College diploma               | 1630 (16.31%)  | 1933 (19.62%)  | 2078 (21.64%) | 2326 (25.31%) |        |
| High school                   | 204 (2.04%)    | 263 (2.67%)    | 316 (3.29%)   | 461 (5.02%)   |        |
| Less than junior high school  | 595 (5.96%)    | 597 (6.06%)    | 760 (7.91%)   | 1011 (11.00%) |        |

459     quartile; IVF, in vitro fertilization.

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

7  
492 **Table 2** Risk of the primary and the secondary outcomes. 3

| Outcome            | No. of PE (%) | Model I           |         | Model II           |         |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
|                    |               | OR (95% CI)       | P-value | Adjust OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| Primary Outcome    |               |                   |         |                    |         |
| Preeclampsia       |               |                   |         |                    |         |
| TyG Q1             | 396 (3.81%)   | Reference         |         | Reference          |         |
| TyG Q2             | 436 (4.18%)   | 1.10 (0.96, 1.26) | 0.1748  | 0.96 (0.83, 1.13)  | 0.6525  |
| TyG Q3             | 627 (6.01%)   | 1.62 (1.42, 1.84) | <0.0001 | 1.23 (1.06, 1.43)  | 0.0067  |
| TyG Q4             | 849 (8.14%)   | 2.24 (1.98, 2.53) | <0.0001 | 1.31 (1.11, 1.53)  | 0.0011  |
| Secondary Outcomes |               |                   |         |                    |         |
| Preterm birth      |               |                   |         |                    |         |
| TyG Q1             | 458 (4.45%)   | Reference         |         | Reference          |         |
| TyG Q2             | 501 (4.84%)   | 1.09 (0.96, 1.24) | 0.1862  | 1.02 (0.89, 1.18)  | 0.7328  |
| TyG Q3             | 595 (5.74%)   | 1.31 (1.15, 1.48) | <0.0001 | 1.08 (0.94, 1.24)  | 0.3003  |
| TyG Q4             | 752 (7.26%)   | 1.68 (1.49, 1.89) | <0.0001 | 1.18 (1.01, 1.37)  | 0.0376  |
| Low birth weight   |               |                   |         |                    |         |
| TyG Q1             | 596 (5.73%)   | Reference         |         | Reference          |         |
| TyG Q2             | 561 (5.37%)   | 0.93 (0.83, 1.05) | 0.2581  | 0.94 (0.83, 1.07)  | 0.3722  |
| TyG Q3             | 524 (5.03%)   | 0.87 (0.77, 0.98) | 0.0239  | 0.84 (0.74, 0.97)  | 0.0147  |
| TyG Q4             | 527 (5.05%)   | 0.88 (0.78, 0.99) | 0.0302  | 0.87 (0.75, 1.02)  | 0.0774  |

493 Model I: No covariates were adjusted.

494 Model II: Adjusted for pre-pregnancy BMI, SBP, DBP, aspirin, depressor, hypertension history, tobacco,  
 495 alcohol, IVF, alanine transaminase, uric acid, creatinine, total serum cholesterol, test week, adverse  
 496 pregnancy history, and education.  
 497 PE, preeclampsia; Q, quartile.

498  
 499  
 500  
 501  
 502  
 503  
 504  
 505  
 506  
 507  
 508  
 509  
 510  
 511  
 512  
 513  
 514  
 515  
 516

**Table 3** Subgroup analysis of the TyG index and preeclampsia.

| Subgroup                 | OR (95% CI)       | P value | P for interaction | Adjust OR (95% CI) | P      | P for interaction |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Age (years)              |                   |         | 0.7802            |                    |        | 0.9164            |
| <35                      |                   |         |                   |                    |        |                   |
| Q1                       | Reference         |         |                   | Reference          |        |                   |
| Q2                       | 1.06 (0.91, 1.23) | 0.4641  |                   | 0.94 (0.80, 1.12)  | 0.4882 |                   |
| Q3                       | 1.55 (1.35, 1.79) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.20 (1.02, 1.42)  | 0.0275 |                   |
| Q4                       | 2.13 (1.86, 2.44) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.29 (1.08, 1.55)  | 0.0044 |                   |
| ≥35                      |                   |         |                   |                    |        |                   |
| Q1                       | Reference         |         |                   | Reference          |        |                   |
| Q2                       | 1.29 (0.91, 1.84) | 0.1580  |                   | 1.10 (0.73, 1.65)  | 0.6538 |                   |
| Q3                       | 1.79 (1.29, 2.47) | 0.0004  |                   | 1.35 (0.92, 1.97)  | 0.1277 |                   |
| Q4                       | 2.38 (1.76, 3.24) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.38 (0.94, 2.03)  | 0.1013 |                   |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) |                   |         | 0.6142            |                    |        | 0.5301            |
| <24                      |                   |         |                   |                    |        |                   |
| Q1                       | Reference         |         |                   | Reference          |        |                   |
| Q2                       | 1.03 (0.88, 1.21) | 0.7054  |                   | 0.91 (0.76, 1.08)  | 0.2689 |                   |
| Q3                       | 1.51 (1.29, 1.75) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.21 (1.02, 1.43)  | 0.0307 |                   |
| Q4                       | 1.77 (1.52, 2.06) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.18 (0.98, 1.43)  | 0.0887 |                   |
| ≥24                      |                   |         |                   |                    |        |                   |
| Q1                       | Reference         |         |                   | Reference          |        |                   |
| Q2                       | 1.14 (0.85, 1.52) | 0.3929  |                   | 1.15 (0.82, 1.62)  | 0.4252 |                   |
| Q3                       | 1.38 (1.05, 1.81) | 0.0209  |                   | 1.28 (0.92, 1.77)  | 0.1395 |                   |
| Q4                       | 1.79 (1.39, 2.31) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.53 (1.11, 2.11)  | 0.0092 |                   |
| Parity                   |                   |         | 0.8933            |                    |        | 0.9932            |
| Primipara                |                   |         |                   |                    |        |                   |
| Q1                       | Reference         |         |                   | Reference          |        |                   |
| Q2                       | 1.14 (0.98, 1.32) | 0.0876  |                   | 0.96 (0.81, 1.13)  | 0.5964 |                   |
| Q3                       | 1.74 (1.52, 2.01) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.21 (1.03, 1.43)  | 0.0179 |                   |
| Q4                       | 2.63 (2.29, 3.01) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.29 (1.08, 1.53)  | 0.0041 |                   |
| Multipara                |                   |         |                   |                    |        |                   |
| Q1                       | Reference         |         |                   | Reference          |        |                   |
| Q2                       | 1.21 (0.79, 1.84) | 0.3830  |                   | 0.98 (0.61, 1.57)  | 0.9208 |                   |
| Q3                       | 1.82 (1.24, 2.66) | 0.0021  |                   | 1.29 (0.83, 2.00)  | 0.2595 |                   |
| Q4                       | 2.51 (1.76, 3.59) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.35 (0.87, 2.09)  | 0.1823 |                   |
| Test week                |                   |         | 0.9676            |                    |        | 0.8142            |
| <14                      |                   |         |                   |                    |        |                   |
| Q1                       | Reference         |         |                   | Reference          |        |                   |
| Q2                       | 1.10 (0.96, 1.27) | 0.1738  |                   | 0.95 (0.81, 1.11)  | 0.5151 |                   |
| Q3                       | 1.64 (1.44, 1.87) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.21 (1.04, 1.41)  | 0.0159 |                   |
| Q4                       | 2.31 (2.04, 2.63) | <0.0001 |                   | 1.24 (1.06, 1.47)  | 0.0087 |                   |
| 14~20                    |                   |         |                   |                    |        |                   |

6  
Q1

Reference

Reference

Q2

1.37 (0.50, 3.76)

0.5352

1.51 (0.48, 4.74)

0.4807

Q3

1.80 (0.70, 4.61)

0.2218

1.36 (0.46, 4.06)

0.5817

Q4

3.00 (1.21, 7.45)

0.0180

1.85 (0.63, 5.42)

0.2607

518 Non-adjusted model had no adjustments;  
519 Model of age was adjusted for BMI, SBP, DBP, aspirin, depressor, hypertension history, tobacco, alcohol,  
520 parity, IVF, alanine transaminase, uric acid, creatinine, total serum cholesterol, test week, adverse  
521 pregnancy history, and education.  
522 Model of BMI was adjusted for: age, SBP, DBP,, aspirin, depressor, hypertension history, tobacco, alcohol,  
523 parity, IVF, alanine transaminase, uric acid, creatinine, total serum cholesterol, test week, adverse  
524 pregnancy history, and education.  
525 Models of parity and test week were adjusted for age, BMI, SBP, DBP, aspirin, depressor, hypertension  
526 history, tobacco, alcohol, IVF, alanine transaminase, uric acid, creatinine, total serum cholesterol, test  
527 week, adverse pregnancy history, and education.  
528 PE, preeclampsia; Q, quartile.

529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557

558 **Figure legends**

559

560 **Fig. 1** Flowchart illustrating the participant selection process.

561

562 **Fig. 2** The overall relationship between the TyG index and the risk of PE based on  
563 TyG index quartiles. Age, pre-pregnancy BMI, parity, SBP, DBP, aspirin, depressor,  
564 hypertension history, tobacco, alcohol, IVF, ALT, UA, creatinine, TC, FPG, test week,  
565 adverse pregnancy history and education level were adjusted.

566

567 **Fig.3** Stratified fitting curves among different subgroups. The results of the subgroup  
568 analyses were adjusted for age (A), pre-pregnancy BMI (B), parity (C), and test week  
569 (D). PE, preeclampsia; TyG, triglyceride-glucose index; Q, quartile; CI, confidence  
570 interval.