

Comparison between training group and steady group

Duration (min)	Training group	Steady group	Training group	Steady group	Training group	Steady group
	<i>all sessions</i>	<i>all sessions</i>	<i>sessions with corrected GTV_{AI}</i>	<i>sessions with corrected GTV_{AI}</i>	<i>sessions with accepted GTV_{AI}</i>	<i>sessions with accepted GTV_{AI}</i>
	<i>n = 100</i>	<i>n = 200</i>	<i>n = 80</i>	<i>n = 120</i>	<i>n = 20</i>	<i>n = 80</i>
AI supported delineation propagation/ evaluation	7	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	9	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	2
Plan reoptimization	9	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	9	7 $\frac{1}{2}$	9	8
Plan evaluation (+ CBCT2)	5	4	5	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	4
Position verification	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	2	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
RT (+ CBCT3)	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	2 $\frac{1}{2}$

Additional file 7 : Comparison between the training group (P1-5) and steady group (P6-15) considering the duration of different steps from the oART workflow. The number of sessions is indicated by n.