

Supplementary Information 2 Land use description and soil parameters

Table S12 A Land use history of the crop fields (all the experimental plots were managed identically, the only difference between the treatments was the lime doses - that created the pH gradient)

Year	Crop	Season	Furrow fertilization		Broadcast fertilization	
			Source (N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O)	Dose (kg ha ⁻¹)	Source	Dose (kg ha ⁻¹)
2010	Black Oat	Winter	12-52-00	200	-	-
2010/11	Corn	Spring/Summer	14-34-00	350	22-00-21 and Urea	225 and 435
2011	Black Oat	Winter	14-34-00	313	-	-
2011/12	Soybean	Spring/Summer	-	-	KCl	125
2012	Black Oat	Winter	19-38-00	260	KCl	120
2012/13	Soybean	Spring/Summer	-	-	-	-
2013	Black Oat	Winter	14-34-00	337	KCl	170
2013/14	Soybean	Spring/Summer	-	-	-	-

Table SI2 B Soil chemical parameters, and plant yield, and greenhouse gas fluxes according to different soil pH (CaCl₂) ranges

Parameter	(unit)	pH range							
		4.0-4.4		4.5-5.0		5.1-5.5		5.6-6.2	
Soil Chemistry									
pH (CaCl ₂)		4.1 ± 0.1	d ¹	4.7 ± 0.2	c	5.2 ± 0.1	b	6.1 ± 0.1	a
pH (H ₂ O)		5.2 ± 0.1	d	5.6 ± 0.2	c	6.0 ± 0.1	b	6.7 ± 0.1	a
H+Al	cmol _c .dm ³	97.6 ± 14.4	a	57.3 ± 11.0	b	39.0 ± 4.1	c	21.0 ± 1.4	d
Al	cmol _c .dm ³	13.1 ± 3.1	a	2.1 ± 1.5	b	0.1 ± 0.1	c	0.0 ± 0.0	c
K	cmol _c .dm ³	4.4 ± 0.5	a	4.0 ± 0.9	a	4.5 ± 0.3	a	3.9 ± 0.5	a
Ca	cmol _c .dm ³	19.1 ± 2.5	d	48.3 ± 14.4	c	74.1 ± 18.4	b	128.5 ± 14.8	a
Mg	cmol _c .dm ³	6.8 ± 1.2	b	16.1 ± 7.6	a	24.4 ± 17.8	ab	15.5 ± 4.9	a
CEC	cmol _c .dm ³	128 ± 15	b	126 ± 10	b	142 ± 27	ab	169 ± 21	a
P	mg.dm ³	38.8 ± 6.0	b	52.5 ± 13.0	a	44.6 ± 8.4	ab	49.0 ± 25.5	ab
B	mg.dm ³	0.45 ± 0.08	a	0.39 ± 0.05	ab	0.38 ± 0.05	ab	0.31 ± 0.06	b
Fe	mg.dm ³	89 ± 36	a	70 ± 20	ab	59 ± 16	b	39 ± 0	b
Mn	mg.dm ³	4.0 ± 0.6	a	3.5 ± 0.8	ab	3.3 ± 0.5	b	3.5 ± 0.4	ab
Cu	mg.dm ³	1.6 ± 0.3	a	1.4 ± 0.2	ab	1.4 ± 0.2	ab	1.2 ± 0.0	b
Zn	mg.dm ³	0.60 ± 0.34	a	0.45 ± 0.09	a	0.59 ± 0.23	a	0.45 ± 0.07	a
SOM	g.dm ³	55 ± 7	a	50 ± 7	a	52 ± 4	a	46 ± 3	a
NO ₃	mg.kg ⁻¹	6.6 ± 0.8	a	6.2 ± 1.4	a	7.1 ± 0.4	a	5.6 ± 0.3	a
Plant Yield									
Soy Yield	kg.ha ⁻¹	4104 ± 311	b	4334 ± 293	ab	4425 ± 538	ab	4717 ± 19	a
Litter	g.m ²	85 ± 22	a	88 ± 44	a	96 ± 47	a	77 ± 41	a
Greenhouse gas									
CO ₂ flux	mg.m ² .h ⁻¹	65 ± 57	a	122 ± 110	a	130 ± 75	a	104 ± 51	a
CH ₄ flux	ug.m ² .h ⁻¹	-8 ± 14	a	-4 ± 22	a	-8 ± 21	a	-24 ± 4	a
N ₂ O flux	uq.m ² .h ⁻¹	4 ± 49	a	25 ± 63	a	-7 ± 64	a	74 ± 62	a

¹ Values with the same letter in one row are not different by Tukey's post-hoc test (p<0.05).

Table SI2 C Soil chemical parameters, plant yield, and greenhouse gas fluxes according to the lime doses

Parameter	(unit)	Lime Dose (kg.ha ⁻¹)							
		0		2250		4500		6750	
<i>Soil Chemistry</i>									
pH (CaCl ₂)		4.2 ±	0.3	4.6 ±	0.2	5.0 ±	0.2	5.3 ±	0.5
pH (H ₂ O)		5.3 ±	0.3	5.5 ±	0.1	5.8 ±	0.1	6.1 ±	0.4
H+Al	cmol _c .dm ³	79 ±	22	62 ±	8	45 ±	5	38 ±	12
Al	cmol _c .dm ³	11.7 ±	4.9	3.1 ±	1.3	0.5 ±	0.3	0.5 ±	0.8
K	cmol _c .dm ³	4.4 ±	0.4	4.1 ±	0.9	4.3 ±	0.7	4.0 ±	0.7
Ca	cmol _c .dm ³	22.9 ±	10.9	42.8 ±	13.7	62.0 ±	11.5	81.4 ±	31.8
Mg	cmol _c .dm ³	9.6 ±	8.0	11.9 ±	2.4	20.7 ±	8.6	21.3 ±	14.8
CEC	cmol _c .dm ³	128 ±	13	123 ±	9	133 ±	8	145 ±	28
P	mg.dm ³	38.9 ±	5.3	50.9 ±	13.8	51.7 ±	14.7	48.9 ±	9.3
B	mg.dm ³	0.4 ±	0.1	0.4 ±	0.0	0.4 ±	0.0	0.4 ±	0.1
Fe	mg.dm ³	85 ±	34	74 ±	21	59 ±	9	61 ±	21
Mn	mg.dm ³	3.9 ±	0.6	3.8 ±	0.5	3.4 ±	0.3	3.1 ±	0.7
Cu	mg.dm ³	1.6 ±	0.2	1.5 ±	0.2	1.5 ±	0.2	1.3 ±	0.2
Zn	mg.dm ³	0.6 ±	0.3	0.5 ±	0.1	0.5 ±	0.1	0.5 ±	0.2
SOM	g.dm ³	54 ±	7	49 ±	5	55 ±	3	48 ±	7
NO ₃	mg.kg ⁻¹	6.7 ±	0.7	6.6 ±	1.3	5.9 ±	1.5	6.5 ±	0.8
<i>Plant Yield</i>									
Soy Yield	kg.ha ⁻¹	4061 ±	300	4206 ±	189	4578 ±	156	4510 ±	436
Litter	g.m ²	79 ±	18	94 ±	44	66 ±	26	81 ±	39
<i>Gas fluxes</i>									
CO ₂ flux	mg.m ² .h ⁻¹	63 ±	51	112 ±	81	94 ±	61	153 ±	114
CH ₄ flux	ug.m ² .h ⁻¹	-12 ±	17	0 ±	27	-2 ±	7	-10 ±	15
N ₂ O flux	ug.m ² .h ⁻¹	1 ±	44	37 ±	70	-16 ±	43	24 ±	62
WC (soil)	(%)	26.6 ±	1.1	26.7 ±	1.0	26.3 ±	1.1	26.5 ±	0.8
Temperature	(C)	25.8 ±	2.3	24.7 ±	3.4	25.4 ±	2.7	26.3 ±	2.6