

Model Validity Measures

Validity Analysis

	CR	AVE	MSV	MaxR(H)	EIntell	SMOST	PsySaf	Rumm	Creat
EIntell	0.984	0.791	0.635	0.985	0.889				
SMOST	0.974	0.792	0.635	0.978	-0.797***	0.890			
PsySaf	0.866	0.566	0.352	0.881	0.593***	-0.474***	0.752		
Rumm	0.869	0.573	0.245	0.883	-0.495***	0.380***	-0.240**	0.757	
Creat	0.883	0.658	0.099	0.910	0.283***	-0.315***	0.183*	-0.229**	0.811

Validity Concerns

No validity concerns here.

HTMT Analysis

	EIntell	SMOST	PsySaf	Rumm	Creat
EIntell					
SMOST	0.780				
PsySaf	0.609	0.471			
Rumm	0.502	0.374	0.251		
Creat	0.286	0.340	0.205	0.256	

HTMT Warnings

There are no warnings for this HTMT analysis.

References

Significance of Correlations:
† p < 0.100
* p < 0.050
** p < 0.010
*** p < 0.001

Thresholds From:
Hu, L., Bentler, P.M. (1999), "Cutoff Criteria for Fit Indexes in Covariance Structure Analysis: Conventional Criteria Versus New Alternatives" SEM vol. 6(1), pp. 1-55.
Henseler, J., C. M. Ringle, and M. Sarstedt (2015). A New Criterion for Assessing Discriminant Validity in Variance-based Structural Equation Modeling, Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43 (1), 115-135.
Thresholds are 0.850 for strict and 0.900 for liberal discriminant validity.

--If you would like to cite this tool directly, please use the following: Gaskin, J., James, M., and Lim, J. (2019), "Master Validity Tool", AMOS Plugin. [Gaskination's StatWiki](#).