

COREQ (Consolidated criteria for Reporting Qualitative research) Checklist

Manuscript: Perceived Psychological Consequences of Multiple Overlapping Crises in Slovenia: Findings from a Qualitative Study.

Authors: Eva Sedlašek, Meta Lavrič, Lucia Rojs, Polonca Borko, Vita Poštuvan

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. International Journal for Quality in Health Care. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349–357.

No.	Item	Reported on page #
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
Personal Characteristics		
1	Interviewer/facilitator Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	2.2 Procedure, pg. 7; Declarations, pg. 26
2	Credentials What were the researcher's credentials? e.g. PhD, MD	2.5 Reflexivity, pg. 9
3	Occupation What was their occupation at the time of the study?	Reflexivity, pg. 9
4	Gender Was the researcher male or female?	N/A* All authors are female.
5	Experience and training What experience or training did the researcher have?	2.5 Reflexivity, pg. 9
Relationship with participants		
6	Relationship established Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	2.1 Participants, pg. 6
7	Participant knowledge of the interviewer What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	2.1 Participants, pg. 6; 2.6 Ethical issues and consent, pg. 10
8	Interviewer characteristics What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	2.5 Reflexivity, pg. 9–10
Domain 2: Study design		
Theoretical framework		
9	Methodological orientation and Theory What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, content analysis, phenomenology	2.4 Data Analysis, pg. 9
Participant selection		
10	Sampling How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	2.2 Procedure, pg. 7
11	Method of approach How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	2.2 Procedure, pg. 7
12	Sample size How many participants were in the study?	2.1 Participants, pg. 6–7
13	Non-participation How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	N/A
Setting		
14	Setting of data collection Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	2.2 Procedure, pg. 7–8

No.	Item	Reported on page #
15	Presence of non-participants Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	N/A
16	Description of sample What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	2.1 Participants, pg. 6–7
Data collection		
17	Interview guide Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	2.3 Instruments, pg. 8
18	Repeat interviews Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	2.3 Instruments, pg. 8
19	Audio/visual recording Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	2.2 Procedure, pg. 8
20	Field notes Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	2.4 Data Analysis, pg. 9
21	Duration What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	2.2 Procedure, pg. 8
22	Data saturation Was data saturation discussed?	2.2 Procedure, pg. 7; 2.4 Data Analysis, pg. 9
23	Transcripts returned Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	2.2 Procedure, pg. 8
Domain 3: Data analysis and reporting		
Data analysis		
24	Number of data coders How many data coders coded the data?	2.4 Data Analysis, pg. 9
25	Description of the coding tree Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	3 Results, pg. 11
26	Derivation of themes Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	2.4 Data Analysis, pg. 9; 3 Results, pg. 11
27	Software What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	2.4 Data Analysis, pg. 9
28	Participant checking Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	N/A
Reporting		
29	Quotations presented Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	3 Results, pg. 11–17 4 Discussion, pg. 18–22
30	Data and findings consistent Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	3 Results, pg. 11–17; Discussion, pg. 18
31	Clarity of major themes Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	3 Results, pg. 11–17; Discussion, pg. 18
32	Clarity of minor themes Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	3 Results, pg. 11–17; Discussion, pg. 18