

Name: Heterogeneity and meta-regression results from meta-analysis of measurement properties

Article Title: Submaximal Fitness Test in Team Sports: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Exercise Heart Rate Measurement Properties

Journal: Sports Medicine – Open

Authors: Tzlil Shushan¹, Ric Lovell^{1,2}, Martin Buchheit^{3,4,5,6}, Tannath J. Scott^{7,8}, Steve Barrett⁹, Dean Norris¹ and Shaun J. McLaren^{10,11}

¹ School of Health Sciences, Western Sydney University, Sydney, NSW, Australia

² Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia

³ HIIT Science, Revelstoke, BC, Canada

⁴ French National Institute of Sport (INSEP), Laboratory of Sport, Expertise and Performance (EA 7370), Paris, France

⁵ Kitman Labs, Performance Research Intelligence Initiative, Dublin, Ireland

⁶ Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

⁷ Netball Australia, Victoria, Australia

⁸ Carnegie Applied Rugby Research (CARR) centre, Institute for Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

⁹ Department of Sport Science Innovation, Playermaker, London, United Kingdom

¹⁰ Newcastle Falcons Rugby Club, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

¹¹ Institute of sport, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester UK

Corresponding Author:

Tzlil Shushan

Email: Tzlil21092@gmail.com

Table S1 Heterogeneity statistics from meta-analysis of measurement properties

Measurement Property	Statistic	<i>k</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>I</i> ² ₂ (95% CI)	<i>I</i> ² ₃ (95% CI)	τ ₂ (95% CI)	τ ₃ (95% CI)
Reliability	MD	24	68	0.5% (0.0% to 14.2%)	0.0% (0.0% to 26.4%)	0.09 (0.00 to 0.49)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.72)
	TE	24	51	43.4% (42.8% to 76.8%)	28.4% (0.0% to 78.3%)	0.23 (0.15 to 0.32)	0.18 (0.00 to 0.34)
	ICC	26	53	39.4% (35.0% to 68.3%)	24.4% (0.0% to 72.5%)	0.26 (0.18 to 0.36)	0.20 (0.00 to 0.40)
Convergent Validity	<i>r</i>	28	72	48.3% (33.0% to 62.9%)	0.0% (0.0% to 42.6%)	0.16 (0.11 to 0.21)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.14)

ICC intraclass correlation; *k* number of studies; *MD* mean difference; *n* number of estimates; *r* correlation coefficient; *TE* typical error; τ ₂ tau level 2; τ ₃ tau level 3; *I*²₂ *I*² level 2; *I*²₃ *I*² level 3

Table S2 Meta-regression of measurement properties

Modifying Effect	<i>k</i>	<i>n</i>		Intercept (95%CI) Slope (95%CI)	Predicted Estimate (95%CI)	Interpretation of the Effect	<i>R</i> ² ₂	<i>R</i> ² ₃	<i>p</i> _{MET}
ICC									
Mean age	26	53	18y +3y	1.37 (1.21 to 1.53) 0.06 (−0.04 to 0.15)	0.88 (0.83 to 0.91) 0.89 (0.84 to 0.92)	trivial	0%	11%	0.21
Exercise intensity HRe _x	25	49	80% +5%	1.53 (1.35 to 1.71) −0.1 (−0.20 to −0.01)	0.91 (0.88 to 0.94) 0.89 (0.86 to 0.92)	trivial	0%	52%	0.03
Duration	27	54	4min +2min	1.41 (1.22 to 1.61) −0.04 (−0.11 to 0.03)	0.89 (0.83 to 0.93) 0.88 (0.83 to 0.92)	trivial	0%	0%	0.16
Age category	27	54	Youth Senior	1.36 (1.14 to 1.58) 0.02 (−0.29 to 0.34)	0.88 (0.81 to 0.92) 0.88 (0.81 to 0.93)	trivial	0%	0%	0.87
HR collection method	27	54	Fixed Mean R Mean O	1.33 (1.07 to 1.58) 0.16 (−0.21 to 0.54) −0.09 (−0.50 to 0.32)	0.87 (0.79 to 0.92) 0.90 (0.82 to 0.95) 0.84 (0.72 to 0.92)	trivial	1%	0%	0.37 0.64
TE									
Mean age	25	52	18y +3y	0.50 (0.38 to 0.62) −0.07 (−0.14 to −0.0001)	1.65 (1.43 to 1.89) 1.53 (1.34 to 1.75)	trivial	2%	45%	0.04
Exercise intensity HRe _x	25	52	80% +5%	0.39 (0.21 to 0.57) 0.06 (−0.02 to 0.15)	1.48 (1.24 to 1.76) 1.57 (1.37 to 1.80)	trivial	0%	30%	0.13
Duration	25	52	4min +2min	0.49 (0.34 to 0.64) −0.003 (−0.08 to −0.08)	1.61 (1.20 to 2.17) 1.60 (1.04 to 2.48)	trivial	0%	0%	0.93
Age category	25	52	Youth Senior	0.58 (0.42 to 0.75) −0.22 (−0.47 to 0.03)	1.79 (1.52 to 2.11) 1.44 (1.18 to 1.76)	mostly trivial	6%	25%	0.08
HR collection method	25	52	Fixed Mean R Mean O	0.64 (0.43 to 0.85) −0.22 (−0.50 to 0.05) −0.27 (−0.64 to 0.10)	1.90 (1.54 to 2.33) 1.52 (1.21 to 1.92) 1.45 (1.03 to 2.03)	mostly trivial	0%	28%	0.11 0.14
<i>r</i>									
Mean age	28	71	18y +3y	−0.63 (−0.71 to −0.55) −0.02 (−0.07 to 0.03)	−0.56 (−0.61 to −0.50) −0.57 (−0.61 to −0.53)	trivial	2%		0.42
Exercise intensity HRe _x	28	64	80% +5%	−0.58 (−0.75 to −0.40) −0.05 (−0.16 to 0.05)	−0.52 (−0.64 to −0.38) −0.56 (−0.62 to −0.49)	mostly trivial	12%		0.28
Duration	29	73	4min	−0.62 (−0.71 to −0.53)	−0.55 (−0.62 to −0.48)	trivial	4%		0.20

			+2	-0.08 (-0.21 to 0.05)	-0.60 (-0.66 to -0.54)			
Age category	29	73	Youth	-0.65 (-0.78 to -0.51)	-0.57 (-0.65 to -0.47)	trivial	0%	0.71
			Senior	-0.03 (-0.17 to -0.12)	-0.59 (-0.64 to -0.52)			
Level	23	60	Non-Elite	-0.64 (-0.79 to -0.49)	-0.56 (-0.66 to -0.45)	trivial	5%	0.30
			Elite	-0.08 (-0.26 to 0.09)	-0.62 (-0.73 to -0.48)			
HR collection method	27	69	Fixed	-0.70 (-0.79; -0.62)	-0.61 (-0.66 to -0.55)	trivial	0%	0.27
			Mean R	0.10 (-0.09 to 0.29)	-0.54 (-0.65 to -0.41)			

CI confidence intervals; *ICC* intraclass correlation; *ISRT* interval shuttle run test; *k* number of studies; *min* minute; *MD* mean difference; *Mean O* mean overall, *Mean R* mean range, *n* number of estimates; *p_{MET}* *P* values; *r* correlation coefficient; *R²_[2]* pseudo-R² level 2; *R²_[3]* pseudo-R² level 3; *TE* typical error; *Yo-YoIR1* yo-yo intermittent recovery test level 1; *y* years; *Yo-YoIR2* yo-yo intermittent recovery test level 2; *ICC* and correlation *r* coefficients are presented in fisher's *z* values. *TE* coefficients are presented in log-transformed values. The predicted estimates are presented in the back-transformed original values.

Table S3 Sensitivity analysis

Measurement property	ρ	Estimate	95% CI (lower; upper)	τ^2_2	τ^2_3
ICC	.0	0.88	0.84; 0.91	0.17	0.28
	.1	0.88	0.84; 0.91	0.20	0.26
	.3	0.88	0.84; 0.91	0.23	0.24
	.5	0.88	0.84; 0.91	0.25	0.21
	.7	0.88	0.84; 0.91	0.27	0.19
MD	.0	0.27	−0.15; 0.63	0.00	0.15
	.1	0.38	0.01; 0.74	0.00	0.01
	.3	0.46	0.08; 0.83	0.00	0.00
	.5	0.50	0.13; 0.7	0.00	0.00
	.7	0.53	0.16; 0.9	0.28	0.01
TE	.0	1.6	1.4; 1.8	0.20	0.20
	.01	1.6	1.4; 1.9	0.21	0.21
	.09	1.6	1.4; 1.9	0.21	0.21
	.25	1.6	1.4; 1.9	0.22	0.19
	.5	1.6	1.4; 1.9	0.24	0.17
r	.0	−0.58	−0.62; −0.53	0.12	0.05
	.1	−0.58	−0.62; −0.53	0.12	0.00
	.3	−0.58	−0.62; −0.54	0.13	0.00
	.5	−0.58	−0.62; −0.54	0.15	0.00
	.7	−0.59	−0.63; −0.54	0.17	0.00

CI confidence intervals; *ICC* intraclass correlation; *MD* mean difference; ρ correlation; $\tau_{[2]}$ tau level 2; $\tau_{[3]}$ tau level 3; r correlation coefficient; *TE* typical error. $\rho=.0$ implies using sampling variance. TE ρ are slightly different due to the structure of the covariance formula of ln SD.