

Name: Sensitivity and influence analyses from meta-analysis of measurement properties

Article Title: Submaximal Fitness Test in Team Sports: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Exercise Heart Rate Measurement Properties

Journal: Sports Medicine – Open

Authors: Tzlil Shushan¹, Ric Lovell^{1,2}, Martin Buchheit^{3,4,5,6}, Tannath J. Scott^{7,8}, Steve Barrett⁹, Dean Norris¹ and Shaun J. McLaren^{10,11}

¹ School of Health Sciences, Western Sydney University, Sydney, NSW, Australia

² Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia

³ HIIT Science, Revelstoke, BC, Canada

⁴ French National Institute of Sport (INSEP), Laboratory of Sport, Expertise and Performance (EA 7370), Paris, France

⁵ Kitman Labs, Performance Research Intelligence Initiative, Dublin, Ireland

⁶ Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

⁷ Netball Australia, Victoria, Australia

⁸ Carnegie Applied Rugby Research (CARR) centre, Institute for Sport, Physical Activity and Leisure, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

⁹ Department of Sport Science Innovation, Playermaker, London, United Kingdom

¹⁰ Newcastle Falcons Rugby Club, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

¹¹ Institute of sport, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester UK


Corresponding Author:

Tzlil Shushan


Email: Tzlil21092@gmail.com

Figure S1–4 represent Baujat and Cook's distance plots for influence analysis and potential outliers: **(1)** Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC); **(2)**: Mean Difference (MD); **(3)**: Typical Error (TE); and **(4)**: Correlation Coefficient (r). The horizontal red line represents the value of three times cook's distance mean. The exclusion of potential outliers in all datasets did not have a practically meaningful influence on the results obtained in the original models – ICC: 0.88 (95%CI: 0.84 to 0.92, 95%PI: 0.60 to 0.97), MD: 0.34 (95%CI: 0.02 to 0.7, 95%PI: 0.02 to 0.67), TE: 1.57 (95%CI: 1.37 to 1.8, 95%PI: 0.88 to 2.80), correlation coefficient (r): –0.58 (95%CI: –0.62 to –0.54, 95%PI: –0.73 to –0.37).


1A




1B




2A




2B




3A



3B



4A



4B

